

Appendix III - F

**Sham Shui Po District
Summaries of Written Representations**

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | All DCCAs | 7 | These representations support the provisional recommendation on the delineation of all DCCAs in the District, as it has balanced the interests of different types of residents. | The supporting views are noted. |
| 2 | DCCAs in the Western and Northern parts of the district | 1 | The proposals and justifications are the same as those under Options B and C of item 23 raised at the SSPDC Special Meeting. | The representation is accepted with modifications . Please see item 23. |
| 3 | F01 – Po Lai F02 – Cheung Sha Wan F15 – Lai Chi Kok North | 1 | The representation supports the demarcation proposals for F01 and F02. It also suggests to redraw the boundary of F15 at a later stage, as there will be a number of large scale redevelopment projects and resultant population changes in the future. | The supporting view is noted. On the suggestion concerning F15, it is necessary to use the same set of population data with the same basis and same cut-off date in projecting the population for all DCCAs. For this demarcation exercise, the EAC needs to adhere to the population projections as at 30.6.2007. Development beyond this date will not be considered. |
| 4 | F01 – Po Lai F10 – Un Chau F16 – So Uk | 2 | The representations suggest to make slight adjustments to the boundaries of F01, F10 and F16 so that the population of the DCCAs concerned is more evenly distributed: (a) extend the boundary of F01 to include the building blocks surrounded by Cheung Fat Street, Po On Road, Hing Wah Street and Un Chau Street; | These representations are not accepted because the population of F01 is within the permissible limits, and a change in boundary is not necessary. The REO will take the suggestion on polling station into consideration when identifying the venues of polling station for F16. |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>(b) for F10, gives the blocks of building in (a) to F01, takes the area near Hing Wah Street, Un Chau Street, Castle Peak Road and Fuk Wing Street from F16; and</p> <p>(c) for F16, gives the area near Hing Wah Street, Un Chau Street, Castle Peak Road and Fuk Wing Street to F10.</p> <p>One representation also suggests to maintain one of the two polling stations used in the 1999 and 2003 DC elections for F16, making it more convenient for elderly residents in So Uk Estate to go for polling, and to boost up the polling rate.</p> | |
| 5 | <p>F03 – Nam Cheong North</p> <p>F04 – Boundary Street North & Tai Hang Tung</p> <p>F21 – Shek Kip Mei</p> | 1 | <p>The representation suggests to move a cluster of private building blocks in F04 to F03 and F21 as follows:</p> <p>(a) move the buildings at the north of Tai Po Road to F21, and those at the south to F03; or</p> <p>(b) move the buildings at the east of Shek Kip Mei Street to F21, and those at the west to F03; or</p> <p>(c) move the buildings at the north of Tai Po Road and Shek Kip Mei Street to F21, and those at the south to F03; or</p> <p>(d) move the buildings at the north of Tai Po Road and Wong Chuk Street to F21, and those at the south to F03</p> | <p>Proposals (a) and (c) are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) proposal (a) will cause the population of F03 to exceed the upper permissible limit [25,030 (+44.89%)]; and</p> <p>(ii) proposal (c) will cause the population of F04 to exceed the upper permissible limit [23,799 (+37.77%)].</p> <p>Proposals (b) and (d) are accepted with modifications as they are similar to the proposed delineation for F03 under item 23.</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | so as to preserve community ties and to group housing estates of the same type together. | |
| 6 | F03 – Nam Cheong North F05 – Nam Cheong South F07 – Nam Cheong West | 1 | The representation suggests to make the following slight adjustments to F03, F05 and F07 so that the population of these DCCAs will be more evenly distributed: (a) move the street blocks surrounded by Tung Chau Street, Nam Cheong Street, Lai Chi Kok Road and Pei Ho Street from F05 to F07; and (b) move the street blocks surrounded by Un Chau Street, Nam Cheong Street, Cheung Sha Wan Road and Shek Kip Mei Street from F03 to F05. | The representation is not accepted , because the population of F07 is within the permissible limits, and a change in boundary is not necessary. |
| 7 | F04 – Boundary Street North & Tai Hang Tung F20 – Nam Shan | 4 | (a) Two representations propose to include Tai Hang Tung Estate, Nam Sham Estate, Tai Hang Sai Estate and Ample Building to form a DCCA, and separate them from Yau Yat Tusen because: (i) the four estates/buildings are geographically close to each other; (ii) the residents share similar community facilities and there is strong community connection among them; (iii) Tai Hang Tung Estate, Nam Sham Estate and | The representations are accepted with modifications i.e. Tai Hang Tung Estate, Nam Sham Estate, Tai Hang Sai Estate and Ample Building will be grouped as a DCCA because the justifications are considered valid, although there are supporting views under (c). However, the new F20 would need to take up a few blocks of private buildings near Tai Po Road (details in item 23). The resultant population of the new F04 and F20 will be: F04 : 21,345 (+23.56%) F20 : 21,350 (+23.59%) As the new F20 includes not only Nam Shan Estate and Tai Hang Tung Estate but also Tai Hang Sai Estate, to better reflect |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>Tai Hang Sai Estate are occupied by residents of similar backgrounds and community needs; and</p> <p>(iv) under the provisional recommendations, the Ample building is separated from the majority of private buildings in the Nam Cheong area by a hill, making it difficult to travel between the two areas.</p> <p>(b) One of the representations elaborates that it:</p> <p>(i) objects to the provisional proposal on F04 under which Tai Hang Tung Estate, Boundary Street, and a cluster of private buildings near Fuk Wa Street, Fuk Wing Street and Tai Po Road are included to form a DCCA because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the said cluster of private buildings is geographically separated by a hill from Tai Hang Tung Estate; and ● there is little community connection between the two groups of residents, as they use different community facilities and have | <p>the major estates in the constituencies, it is recommended to change the name of F20 to “Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai” (南山、大坑東及大坑西).</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | | | <p>different concern on housing matters;</p> <p>(ii) objects to the provisional proposal on F20 under which part of Pak Tin Estate, Nam Shan Estate and Tai Hang Sai Estate are grouped as a DCCA because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the two groups of residents are from different communities and there is no direct links of transportation; and ● they share different community facilities (e.g. shopping centres, transportation network and community halls) and face different social problems. <p>(iii) considers that the delineation of F04 and F20 destroys the community integrity and makes it difficult for the respective DC members to serve the geographically separated area efficiently. It may also be necessary to set up at least two polling stations in each constituency in view of the dumb-bell shape.</p> | |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <p>(c) Two representations support the provisional demarcation proposal on F04 and F20 respectively because:</p> <p>(i) it preserves the community identity and sense of belonging of residents in areas of proximity; and</p> <p>(ii) the population in F04 and F20 fall within the permissible range.</p> | |
| 8 | F10 – Un Chau F16 – So Uk | 1 | <p>The representation suggests to make modifications to the boundaries of F10 and F16 by:</p> <p>(a) giving the area to the north of Castle Peak Road to F16 and that to the south to F10; or</p> <p>(b) giving the area to the north of Un Chau Street to F16 and that to the south to F10,</p> <p>so that the population of the two DCCAs can be more evenly distributed and community ties can be preserved.</p> | <p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) suggestion (a) will cause the population of F10 to reach 23,065 (+33.52%) which exceeds the upper permissible limit; and</p> <p>(ii) suggestion (b) will result in the population of F16 to be 22,552 (+30.55%) which exceeds the upper permissible limit.</p> |
| 9 | F11 – Lai Chi Kok South F15 – Lai Chi Kok North | 1 | <p>The representation suggests to move “Aqua Marine” from F11 to F15 because:</p> <p>(a) the community integrity of Hoi Lai Estate can be preserved; and</p> <p>(b) the EAC’s current proposal will increase the workload of the respective DC member.</p> | <p>The representation is not accepted because the population of F15 will be 25,472 (+47.45%), which would exceed the upper permissible limit.</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | It also suggests to change the name of F11 to "Hoi Lai" as Hoi Lai Estate is the major estate in the DCCA. | |
| 10 | F12 – Mei Foo South F13 – Mei Foo Central | 1 | The representation suggests to include: (a) Mei Foo Sun Chuen Phases 3 and 4 and Manhattan Hill in F12; and (b) Mei Foo Sun Chuen Phases 1, 2 and 7 in F13 because: (i) the possible confusion of residents in Mei Foo Sun Chuen can be avoided; (ii) it facilitates convenience in communication between DC members and Owners' Corporation; and (iii) it only involves a limited number of blocks, and the impact on the change of population of the two DCCAs concerned will be small. | The representation is not accepted because: (i) the population of F12 and F13 is within the permissible limits, and a change in the existing boundaries is not necessary; and (ii) electors will be formally notified of their respective constituency prior to the election, thereby preventing confusion. |
| 11 | F15 – Lai Chi Kok North F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen F21 – Shek Kip Mei | 1 | The representation suggests to group Caldecott, the Caldecott Hill, Villa Carlton, and Monte Carlton (in F15), with Dynasty Heights, Beacon Heights and Chak On Estate to form a new DCCA because: (a) the Caldecott, the Caldecott Hill, Villa Carlton and Monte Carlton are distant from the majority of | The representation is accepted with modifications . Please refer to item 12 for details. |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | <p>building blocks near Cheung Sha Wan Road of F15. The two areas do not have strong local ties; and</p> <p>(b) the geographical separation between the two said areas might make residents living in the northern part of Ching Cheung Road be abstained from voting.</p> <p>It also suggests to rename F15 as "Lai Chi Kok".</p> | |
| 12 | <p>F18 – Sheung Pak Tin</p> <p>F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen</p> <p>F21 – Shek Kip Mei</p> | 9 | <p>These representations propose to retain the former F15 (Lung Ping) and object to divide it into three parts.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(a) Chak On Estate and Shek Kip Mei Estate are separated by Pak Tin Estate (F18) and a hill;</p> <p>(b) geographically, Chak On Estate, Dynasty Heights in F21 and Beacon Heights in F19 form a small community and cannot be separated;</p> <p>(c) the said estates in (b) share common resources and facilities (e.g. transport facilities) and has been in the same DCCA for many years;</p> <p>(d) community ties and integrity cannot otherwise be maintained;</p> <p>(e) it is difficult for the residents of Chak On</p> | <p>The representations are accepted with modifications by retaining the majority of residential developments including Chak On Estate, Dynasty Heights, Beacon Heights, Villa Carlton, Monte Carlton, Blocks 9-11 and 13 of Pak Tin Estate in one DCCA, but still moves the Yau Yat Tsuen area and Student Hostel of the City University from the existing F15 to F19, because:</p> <p>(i) the resultant populations of the new F15 (Lai Chi Kok North) and new F21 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) are 20,563(+19.03%) and 17,111 (-0.95%) respectively, which fall within the permissible range;</p> <p>(ii) the community ties among Chak On Estate, Dynasty Heights and Beacon Heights can be maintained;</p> <p>(iii) reasons (a) – (d) are considered valid;</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>Estate to seek assistance from the DC member;</p> <p>(f) residents of Beacon Heights may be neglected as it would be difficult for them to reach the DC member for assistance, and they will be abstained from voting if the polling station is in Yau Yat Tsuen.</p> | <p>(iv) there are supporting views on the delineation of F19 under item 14 (i.e. support to move Yau Yat Tsuen area and the Student Hostel of the City University to F19); and</p> <p>(v) a similar delineation proposal has been raised by the Sham Shui Po District Council (please refer to Option A in item 23).</p> |
| 13 | F18 – Sheung Pak Tin | 2 | <p>(a) One representation:</p> <p>(i) basically supports the delineation of F18, as the four blocks which are transferred to F20 are newly built, but considers that it will be best if all blocks of Pak Tin Estate can be kept in F18;</p> <p>(ii) considers that On Tin House should better be retained in F18, as residents of On Tin House are elderly persons and it may be difficult for them to adapt to the new demarcation boundary; and</p> <p>(iii) suggests that the name of the DCCA “Sheung Pak Tin”(上白田) should be renamed as “Pak Tin” to avoid the misconception that there is another DCCA named “Ha Pak Tin”(下白田).</p> <p>(b) Another representation suggests to maintain the status quo of F18 so as to</p> | <p><u>Proposal (a)(i)</u> The proposal is not accepted because maintaining the status quo would cause the population in F18 to exceed the upper permissible limit [24,091 (+39.46%)].</p> <p><u>Proposal (a)(ii)</u> The proposal is accepted. However, to bring the population of F18 within the permissible range, other blocks of Pak Tin Estate will be transferred to another DCCA (see item 12).</p> <p><u>Proposal (a)(iii)</u> The proposal is not accepted. As the new F21 is suggested to rename as “Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin”(please see item 23), the new F18 is suggested to be named as “Ha Pak Tin”.</p> <p>Proposal (b) is not accepted as the population of the existing F18 is 24,091(+39.46%) which exceeds the upper permissible limit.</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | preserve the community identity and local connections, and that it should be named as "Pak Tin". | |
| 14 | F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen | 5 | <p>These representations support the provisional recommendation on the delineation of F19 because:</p> <p>(a) residents of common characteristics and needs can be put in the same DCCA;</p> <p>(b) public and private housing estates will not be mixed together;</p> <p>(c) the elected DC member can better serve the Yau Yat Tsuen area; and</p> <p>(d) it can motivate future development.</p> | The supporting views are noted. In revising the boundary of F19 under item 12 above, the core housing estates in F19 are basically retained. |
| 15 | F20 – Nam Shan F21 – Shek Kip Mei | 1 | The representation proposes to move Tai Hang Tung Estate to F20, and then move a cluster of private buildings bounded by Pak Tin Street, Wai Lun Street and Nam Cheong Street from F20 to F21, so as to preserve the community ties of the respective areas. It also suggests that F20 and F21 should be named as "Nam Shan and Tai Hang Tung" and "Shek Kip Mei and Boundary Street North" respectively. | The representation is accepted with modifications . Please refer to item 7. |

**Sham Shui Po District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 15 August 2006**

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 16 | F01 – Po Lai F10 – Un Chau F16 – So Uk | 1 | Same as item 4. | Please see item 4. |
| 17 | F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Boundary Street North & Tai Hang Tung F14 – Mei Foo North | 1 | <p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) proposes to maintain the west boundary of the existing F04 (ie retain Nam Cheong Street as the boundary in F04);</p> <p>(b) considers that it is inappropriate to include Tai Hang Tung Estate in F04; and</p> <p>(c) proposes to change the district boundaries of Sham Shui Po District and Kwai Tsing District, so that Wah Lai Estate and Lai Yan Court in S13 are included in F14, because:</p> <p>(i) residents of the two estates share the community facilities of F14; and</p> <p>(ii) the names of the estates imply that the estates belong to the Sham Shui Po District.</p> | <p><u>Proposal (a)</u> The representation is not accepted, because the change will cause the population of F04 to exceed the upper permissible limit: F04 : 23,758(+37.53%)</p> <p><u>Proposal (b)</u> The representation is accepted, and Tai Hang Tung Estate will be moved to the new F20 because:</p> <p>(i) the community integrity of F20 can be better preserved; and</p> <p>(ii) there are six representations proposing such a change (see items 7(a)-(b), 18 and 30).</p> <p><u>Proposal (c)</u> The subject is outside the EAC's jurisdiction.</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 18 | F04 – Boundary Street North & Tai Hang Tung F18 – Sheung Pak Tin F20 – Nam Shan | 2 | Same as item 7(a). The reasons given are: (a) it will increase the sense of belonging of residents; (b) Pak Tin Estate and Nam Shan Estate is far away from each other; and (c) The resultant population of F20 should be within the permissible range. | Please see item 7. |
| 19 | F15 – Lai Chi Kok North F21 – Shek Kip Mei | 1 | Same as item 11. | Please see item 11. |
| 20 | F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen F21 – Shek Kip Mei | 3 | (a) These representations support the provisional recommendation on F19 (Same as item 14). The reasons given are: (i) the residents of the Beacon Heights in F19 will usually use the transport facilities near Festival Walk (F19) and seldom visit Chak On Estate; (ii) the provisional recommendation on F19 will not affect its community ties and middle-class residents will not be neglected; (iii) F19 containing estates of similar nature will benefit the residents | <u>Proposal (a)</u> The supporting views are noted (see item 14). <u>Proposal (b)</u> The proposal to include Dynasty Heights in provisional F19 as well is not supported because the resultant population of F19 will be 22,294 (+29.05%), which exceeds the upper permissible limit (please also see item 23). |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | <p>and the DC member;</p> <p>(iv) the existing F19 contains public and private housing estates and the rights of some residents are ignored;</p> <p>(v) the DC member is able to reflect the rights of residents; and</p> <p>(vi) it is necessary to adjust the boundaries of some DCCAs as the population in Sham Shui Po West greatly increases.</p> <p>(b) One representation suggests to include Dynasty Heights (F21) in F19 as well if the population allows.</p> | |
| 21 | <p>F18 – Sheung Pak Tin</p> <p>F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen</p> <p>F21 – Shek Kip Mei</p> | 4 | Same as item 12. | See item 12. |
| 22 | Working principles of De-marcation | 1 | This representation suggests that apart from considering the population factor, community ties and integrity should also be considered in delineating the boundaries. | In drawing up the demarcation proposals, the EAC has adhered closely to the statutory criteria under the EAC Ordinance and its working principles which include factors such as preservation of community ties and integrity. |

**Views Expressed by District Council Members
at the Special Meeting of the Sham Shui Po District Council on 9 August 2006**

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 23 | DCCAs in the Western and Northern parts of district | 3 | <p>These representations propose to consider the three options provided by the Sham Shui Po District Council during the special meeting and further refine the options in order to meet the population requirement.</p> <p>A gist of the options and their justifications are as follows –</p> <p><u>Option A</u></p> <p>It proposes to:</p> <p>(a) retain the existing F15 with modifications by:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(i) moving the estates between Lung Cheung Road and Grandeur Road including Mount Beacon, Student Hostel of the City University, Tak Chee Yuen and Parc Oasis etc to F19; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(ii) taking Blocks 9-11 and 13 of Pak Tin Estate, and renaming F15 as “Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin”;</p> <p>(b) group the five DCCAs in Nam Cheong area (F03 and F05-F08) into four;</p> <p>(c) modify F21 by:</p> | <p>These representations are accepted with modifications.</p> <p><u>Option A</u></p> <p>The proposal to group the five DCCAs in Nam Cheong area into four will:</p> <p>(i) affect the unaltered boundaries of three DCCAs (F05, F06 and F07); and</p> <p>(ii) cause the resultant population of F07 (Nam Cheong West) to exceed the upper permissible limit, as the population will be 21,945 (+27.03%).</p> <p><u>Options B and C</u></p> <p>The population of the DCCAs concerned will fall within the permissible range, but the proposed F19 in Options B and C (named as “Nam Cheong Tung and Yau Yat Tsuen” and “Kowloon Tsai and Yau Yat Tsuen” in Options B and C respectively) will affect the provisional recommendation on F19, for which 13 representations supporting the delineation have been received (see items 14, 20 and 39).</p> <p>However, it is considered that there are some valid grounds for the proposed changes. To bring the population of all DCCAs concerned within the permissible range and keep the number of affected DCCAs to</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>(i) moving the buildings near Wai Chi Lane in F21 to F18;</p> <p>(ii) taking the redevelopment area of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F20; and</p> <p>(iii) taking a cluster of private buildings from F03, and renaming F21 as "Shek Kip Mei & Un Chau Street North"; and</p> <p>(d) move Tai Hang Tung Estate from F19 to F20, and renaming F20 as "Nam Shan & Tai Hang Tung".</p> <p><u>Option B</u></p> <p>It proposes to:</p> <p>(a) retain the existing F15 with modifications by:</p> <p>(i) taking Village Gardens from F19; and</p> <p>(ii) moving the estates near Caldecott including Villa Carlton and Monte Carlton to Lai Chi Kok North (ie the provisional F15).</p> <p>(b) dissolve F04 by</p> <p>(i) moving a cluster of private building in F04 to F03(ie same as provisional F03); and</p> | <p>the minimum, <i>it is therefore recommended to combine Options A and B along the thinking of the counter-proposals with further modifications:</i></p> <p>(i) accept the proposed boundaries on the areas in Yau Yat Tsuen, Pak Tin and Lung Ping in Option A and the proposed boundaries on the Nam Shan area in Option B;</p> <p>(ii) dissolve the existing F04 so that it will be absorbed by F03, new F04, F05 and F20; and</p> <p>(iii) F06 to take up some street blocks from F05 consequently (note: F05 and F06, which are originally unaltered, have to be slightly adjusted to bring the population of DCCAs concerned within the permissible limit).</p> <p>Details of the DCCAs with major changes are as follow:</p> <p><u>F04</u> <u>(Shek Kip Mei & Nam Cheong East)</u> Includes Shek Kip Mei Estate and some buildings of the existing F04. F04 is suggested to rename as Shek Kip Mei & Nam Cheong East, as Shek Kip Mei Estate is moved to F04.</p> <p><u>F18 (Ha Pak Tin)</u> Includes Pak Tin Estate (except Blocks 9-11 and 13) and the buildings near Wai Chi Lane.</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | <p>(ii) moving the remaining part of F04 to F19, and renaming F19 as “Nam Cheong East & Yau Yat Tsuen”;</p> <p>(c) move the redevelopment area of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F20 to F21;</p> <p>(d) move Tai Tin House, Lai Tin House and Wan Tin House of Pak Tin Estate from F18 to F21, and rename F21 as “Shek Kip Mei & Ha Pak Tin”; and</p> <p>(e) move Tai Hang Tung Estate from F19 to F20, and renaming F20 as “Nam Shan & Tai Hang Tung”.</p> <p><u>Option C</u></p> <p>It proposes to:</p> <p>(a) retain the existing F15;</p> <p>(b) dissolve the existing F20 by:</p> <p>(i) moving Nam Shan Estate to F19 and renaming F19 as “Kowloon Tsai & Yau Yat Tsuen”; and</p> <p>(ii) moving Tai Hang Sai Estate and Ample Building to F04 and renaming F04 as “Nam Cheong East & Tai Hang Sai”;</p> <p>(c) same as (d) of Option B; and</p> | <p><u>F19 (Yau Yat Tsuen)</u> Includes Yau Yat Tsuen, Tak Chi Yuen and Student Hostel of the City University.</p> <p><u>F20 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai)</u> Includes Nam Shan Estate, Tai Hang Tung Estate, Tai Hang Sai Estate, Ample Building and some private buildings of the existing F04.</p> <p><u>F21 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin)</u> Includes Dynasty Heights, Beacon Heights, Chak On Estate, the estates near Caldecott including Villa Carlton and Monte Carlton, and Blocks 9-11 and 13 of Pak Tin Estate.</p> <p>The resultant population will be:</p> <p>F04 : 21,345 (+23.56%) F18 : 18,394 (+6.48%) F19 : 17,961 (+3.97%) F20 : 21,350 (+23.59%) F21 : 17,111 (-0.95%)</p> <p>and that of F03, F05 and F06 which are slightly adjusted will be:</p> <p>F03 : 21,308 (+23.35%) F05 : 21,568 (+24.85%) F06 : 21,307 (+23.34%)</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | | | <p>(d) same as b(i) and (c) of Option B.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) Under provisional recommendations,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Shek Kip Mei Estate and Chak On Estate are separated by Pak Tin Estate (in F18); ● Tai Tin House, Lai Tin House and Wan Tin House of Pak Tin Estate and Nam Shan Estate are separated by a playground; and ● the buildings near Boundary Street North and Tai Hang Tung Estate are separated by a hill, and <p>(ii) the proposals will preserve community integrities.</p> | |
| 24 | All DCCAs | 4 | <p>These representations support the provisional recommendations on Sham Shui Po District because:</p> <p>(a) the boundaries of 10 DCCAs remain unaffected although the population of the District has greatly increased; and</p> <p>(b) only one public housing estate (Pak Tin Estate) is put in two DCCAs under the provisional recommendations.</p> | The supporting views are noted. |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 25 | F01 – Po Lai F10 – Un Chau F16 – So Uk | 1 | Same as item 4. | See item 4. |
| 26 | F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Boundary Street North & Tai Hang Tung F05 – Nam Cheong South F06 – Nam Cheong Central F07 – Nam Cheong West F12 – Mei Foo South F13 – Mei Foo Central F14 – Mei Foo North | 1 | The representation proposes to: (a) group F03-F07 (five DCCAs) into four DCCAs, or combine the five DCCAs in Shek Kip Mei area to four DCCAs; or (b) group F12-F14 (three DCCAs) into two DCCAs, because it can provide one extra DCCA for the existing F11 and will not destroy the community integrity. | <u>Proposal (a)</u> The proposals to re-delineate the DCCAs in Shek Kip Mei area are accepted with modifications (Please see item 23 for details). <u>Proposal (b)</u> The representation is not accepted because the average population of F12-F14 is above 24,192 (+40.04%), which exceeds the upper permissible limit. |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 27 | F03 – Nam Cheong North F05 – Nam Cheong South F07 – Nam Cheong West | 1 | Same as item 6. | Please see item 6. |
| 28 | F04 – Boundary Street North & Tai Hang Tung | 2 | These representations object to the name of F04, and one of them proposes to rename F04 as “Nam Cheong East & Tai Hang Tung”. | The representations are accepted , but since the boundaries in Shek Kip Mei area are modified, we recommend renaming F04 as “Shek Kip Mei & Nam Cheong East” because Shek Kip Mei Estate is moved to the new F04 (see item 23). |
| 29 | F04 – Boundary Street North & Tai Hang Tung F18 – Sheung Pak Tin F20 – Nam Shan F21 – Shek Kip Mei | 3 | These representations object to the provisional recommendation on F04, F20 and F21. The reasons given are: (a) the buildings in the west area of F04 and Tai Hang Tung Estate are separated by a hill; (b) Nam Shan Estate and the buildings near Wai Chi Lane in F20 are separated by a park/playground; and (c) Shek Kip Mei Estate and Chak On Estate in F21 are separated by F18 and a hill. It is therefore considered that the community integrity will be adversely affected. One of the representations suggest to add | The representations are accepted . Please see item 23 for details. |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | the buildings along Shek Kip Mei Street and Nam Cheong Street to item 1 of Option B in the proposals sent to the REO before the meeting, so as to meet the population requirement. | |
| 30 | F04 – Boundary Street North & Tai Hang Tung F20 – Nam Shan | 1 | Same as item 7(a). | See item 7(a). |
| 31 | F05 – Nam Cheong South F06 – Nam Cheong Central F07 – Nam Cheong West F08 – Fu Cheong | 1 | The representation supports to keep the boundaries near Lai Chi Kok Road and Tung Chau Street unchanged. | The supporting view is noted. |
| 32 | F10 – Un Chau F16 – So Uk | 1 | The representation objects to item 4. | See item 4. |
| 33 | F11 – Lai Chi Kok South | 2 | Same as item 9. | See item 9. |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | F15 – Lai Chi Kok North | | | |
| 34 | F12 – Mei Foo South F13 – Mei Foo Central F14 – Mei Foo North | 1 | The representation considers that: (a) adjusting boundaries of the DCCAs should be avoided if the population falls within the permissible range; and (b) grouping F12-F14 into two DCCAs (ie item 26(b)) is impossible because the average population exceeds the permissible range. | <u>Item (a)</u> In line with EAC's working principles. <u>Item (b)</u> The view is noted. |
| 35 | F14 – Mei Foo North | 2 | These representations support to move the Nob Hill from Kwai Tsing District to F14, because: (a) the community integrity can be preserved; and (b) residents of the estate use the transport facilities of Mei Foo. | The supporting views are noted, but delineation of district boundary is outside the EAC's jurisdiction. |
| 36 | F15 – Lai Chi Kok North F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen | 1 | The representation objects to the provisional recommendation on F15 and F19, because residents of Beacon Heights(F19), Villa Carlton and Monte Carlton in F15 may be abstained from voting. | The representation is accepted . Please see item 23 for details. |
| 37 | F15 – Lai Chi Kok North F18 – Sheung Pak Tin | 2 | Same as item 12. The additional reasons given are: (a) the same polling station was designated for Chak On Estate, Dynasty Heights (F21) and Beacon Heights (F19) in the 2003 DC | The representations are accepted with modifications . Please see item 12 for details. |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen F21 – Shek Kip Mei | | <p>Election(as they were in the same DCCA);</p> <p>(b) the transportation network in Monte Carlton, Villa Carlton and the buildings near Caldecott in F15 is connected to that near Shek Kip Mei MTR station; and</p> <p>(c) the management companies of buildings in F15 object to the provisional recommendation.</p> | |
| 38 | F15 – Lai Chi Kok North | 1 | <p>The representation proposes to move the buildings in the north of Ching Cheung Road to the Sham Shui Po east area, instead of F15 (Lai Chi Kok North) (Similar to item 11),</p> <p>because:</p> <p>(a) there is not much community ties between the buildings and F15 (Lai Chi Kok North); and</p> <p>(b) the community integrity can be maintained.</p> | See item 11. |
| 39 | F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen | 5 | Same as item 20(a). | See item 20(a). |
| 40 | F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen | 2 | The representation considers that the “class-segregation” approach (社區階級) should not be adopted in delineating F19. | EAC will adhere to the statutory criteria stipulated under the EAC Ordinance and working principles on the demarcation exercise. |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|
| 41 | F19 – Yau Yat Tsuen F21 – Shek Kip Mei | 1 | Same as item 20(b). | Please see item 20(b). |
| 42 | F20 – Nam Shan F21 – Shek Kip Mei | 1 | The representation proposes to move Tai Tin House, Lai Tin House and Wan Tin House of Pak Tin Estate from F20 to F21. | The representation is accepted with modifications (Please see item 23). |
| 43 | Working principles for de-marcatation | 2 | These representations propose that: (a) preservation of community integrity and the approaches which will affect the least number of residents should be used as the main principles for demarcation; and (b) the changes of boundaries should be avoided if the population falls within the permissible range. | In line with EAC's working principles. |
| 44 | Designat-ion of polling stations | 1 | The representation proposes to set up additional polling stations for some DCCAs in view of geographical factors. | The REO will take this into consideration when identifying the locations of polling stations. |
| 45 | Number of elected seats | 5 | These representations propose to increase the number of DCCAs in Sham Shui Po District to absorb the sharp increase of population of Lai Chi Kok area. | The subject is outside the EAC's jurisdiction. |