

Appendix III - H

**Wong Tai Sin District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	H02 – Lung Kai H08 – Tung Tau H09 – Tung Mei H20 – King Fu H21 – Choi Wan East H23 – Choi Wan West H24 – Chi Choi H25 – Choi Hung	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a)(i) objects to delineating the King Kung House of Choi Wan Estate into H23 because King Kung House and Yok Yu House are inseparable in terms of architectural structure; and</p> <p>(a)(ii) suggests that if the move of King Kung House is really necessary, Yok Yu House and Fung Chak House of Choi Wan Estate should also be moved to H23;</p> <p>(b) supports the proposal of moving Kingsford Terrace from H20 to H24;</p> <p>(c) objects to delineating the Kam Wah House and Luk Ching House of Choi Hung Estate into H25 because the population of H25 should be contained within the permissible limit. Suggests to retain the existing boundary of H25;</p>	<p>(i) Representation (a)(i) is accepted because of the need to preserve community integrity and taking into account that King Kung House and Yok Yu House are architecturally together, although the resultant population of H23 will hence exceed the lower permissible limit (12,063, -30.17%).</p> <p>For (a)(ii), the suggestion is not feasible as the population of H21 would be 9,772, which exceeds the lower permissible limit (-43.43%).</p> <p>(ii) Representation (c) is not accepted because the resultant population of H25 would be 12,190, which exceeds the lower permissible limit (-29.44%).</p> <p>(iii) Representation (e) on the renaming the H02 as “Lung Ha” is accepted.</p> <p>(iv) The supporting views of (b) and (d) are noted.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>(d) supports the proposal of moving Kai Tak Garden from H02 to H09, Wing Tung House and Wai Tung House of Tung Tau (II) Estate from H09 to H08; and</p> <p>(e) as Kai Tak Garden is removed from H02, it suggests that H02 can be renamed as “Lung Ha”, “Lung Har” or “Lung South”.</p>	
2	H04 – Fung Wong	1	The representation supports that the boundary for H04 should remain unchanged.	The supporting view is noted.
3	H13 – Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching H14 – Chuk Yuen South H15 – Chuk Yuen North	3	<p>The representations reiterate the objection to maintain the existing boundaries of H13 and H15, in which 2 blocks of Chuk Yuen (North) Estate are placed in H13. They request the EAC to re-consider the previous suggestions which are as follows:</p> <p>(a)(i) to form a DCCA by grouping Ying Fuk Court and three or four blocks of Chuk Yuen (North) Estate; and</p> <p>(a)(ii) to group the remaining blocks of the Estate to form another DCCA; or</p> <p>(b) to revert to the 1999 DCCA</p>	<p>The representation is not accepted because it would involve the addition of one seat for the Wong Tai Sin DC which is outside EAC's jurisdiction.</p> <p>Also, the population of H13, H14 and H15 are within the permissible range:</p> <p>H13: 20,157 (+16.68%) H14: 17,108 (-0.97%) H15: 18,832 (+9.01%)</p> <p>It is not necessary to change the existing boundaries.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			boundaries for Chuk Yuen (North) Estate.	
4	H21 – Choi Wan East H22 – Choi Wan South H23 – Choi Wan West	2	The representations object to delineating the King Kung House of Choi Wan Estate into H23 because: (a) King Kung House and Yok Yu House are inseparable in terms of management, maintenance and public facilities; (b) if Choi Wan Estate is separated into three DCCAs, electors of Choi Wan Estate will become the minority in each DCCA; (c) the location of the polling station which is well familiar to electors would be affected; and (d) the normal operation of the Estate Management Advisory Committee and peaceful atmosphere of the estate would be affected.	See item 1.
5	H24 – Chi Choi H25 – Choi Hung	1	The representation objects to delineating the Kam Wah House and Luk Ching House of Choi Hung Estate into H25 because: (a) the community integrity of Choi Hung Estate would be hampered; and (b) Choi Hung Estate is	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of H25 would be 12,190, which exceeds the lower permissible limit (-29.44%). The EAC considered moving all the four blocks of Choi Hung Estate, namely Luk Ching House, Kam Wah House, Chi Mei House and Tan Fung House, from H24 to H25. However, the resultant population of

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>mainly inhabited by elderly residents and any change to the boundary of this DCCA will affect the electors' desire for voting because they are familiar with the location of the polling station.</p>	<p>H24 would be 11,639, which exceeds the lower permissible limit (-32.63%).</p>

Wong Tai Sin District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 15 August 2006

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
6	H02 – Lung Kai H08 – Tung Tau H09 – Tung Mei H20 – King Fu H21 – Choi Wan East H23 – Choi Wan West H24 – Chi Choi H25 – Choi Hung	1	Same as item 1.	See item 1.
7	H04 – Fung Wong	1	The representation suggests to move Fung Chuen Court from H06 to H04 because: (a) the population of H04 is low; and (b) it is better for the residents of Fung Chuen Court in terms of the community identity and local ties.	The representation is not accepted as the population of both DCCAs are within the permissible range: H04: 14,930 (-13.57%) H06: 19,584 (+13.37%) It is not necessary to change the existing boundaries.
8	H21 – Choi Wan East H23 – Choi Wan West	1	Same as item 1(a).	See item 1.