## <u>Appendix III - Q</u>

Item	DCCAs	No. of	Representations	EAC's views
no.	concerned	representations		
1	All DCCAs	1	Supports EAC's provisional demarcation proposal for the District.	The supporting view is noted.
2	Q04 – Hang Hau East Q05 – Hang Hau West	1	Supports EAC's provisional demarcation proposals for Q04 and Q05.	The supporting view is noted.
3	Q04 – Hang Hau East	1	Supports EAC's provisional demarcation proposal for Q04. The representation further suggests to include Fu Tau Chau Village, Shui Bin Tsuen and Tai Wan Tau Village in the list of major estates under Q04 in the boundary description, so that residents concerned will be aware that they belong to 04.	The supporting view is noted. Views regarding the boundary descriptions are <b>accepted</b> to enhance clarity.
4	Q06 – Wan Po	1	Supports EAC's provisional demarcation proposals for Q06. The representation further suggests to designate Tseung Kwan O Methodist Primary School as the polling station for Q06.	The supporting view is noted. REO will take the suggestion into consideration when identifying the venues of polling stations for Q06.
5	Q07 – Wai Do	1	Supports EAC's provisional demarcation proposals for Q07.	The supporting view is noted.

## Sai Kung District Summaries of Written Representations

Item no.	DCCAs concerned	No. of representations	Representations	EAC's views
6	Q08 – Kin Ming Q09 – Choi Kin Q23 – Kwong Ming	1	Suggests to put Choi Ming Court, Kin Ming Estate and Kwong Ming Court in one DCCA, as the area covering these estates is small and there is no need to have one District Council member for each estate.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because the resultant population of the combined DCCA would be 53,271, which would exceed the upper permissible limit (+208.37%).
7	Q12 – Nam On Q20 – Fu Nam	3	<ul> <li>(a) Two representations suggest to move Residence Oasis from Q12 to Q20 and East Point City from Q20 to Q12 in view of their geographical locations, and support the demarcation of other constituencies for the District in general.</li> <li>(b) One representation suggests to retain the existing Q16 (ie Fu Yu), and put Residence Oasis, On Ning Garden, Nan Fung Plaza and La Cite Noble in the new Q12 in order to maintain community integrity.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(a) The representations are not accepted because the resultant population of Q12 would be 21,961, which would exceed the upper permissible limit (+27.13%). The supporting view for other DCCAs is noted.</li> <li>(b) The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of Q12 would be 21,961, which would exceed the upper permissible limit (+27.13%).</li> </ul>
8	Q19 – Hau Tak Q20 – Fu Nam	2	The representations suggest to move Yu Ming Court from Q20 to Q19 as Yu Ming Court has close community tie with Hau Tak Estate in Q19.	The representations are <b>not</b> <b>accepted</b> because these two estates have been in different constituencies since the 1999 District Council Election, and the resultant population of Q19 would be 23,839, which would exceed the upper permissible limit (+38%).
9	Q21 – Tak Ming	1	Supports EAC's provisional demarcation proposals for Q21.	The supporting view is noted.