

Appendix III - S**Kwai Tsing District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	S01 – Kwai Hing S04 – Lower Tai Wo Hau S05 – Kwai Chung Estate	7	<p>(a) These representations propose to retain Chin Kwai House and Tsz Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate in S05 instead of moving these two blocks to S04.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) the community integrity and residents' sense of belonging can be preserved;</p> <p>(ii) the said buildings are situated at a higher level than S04;</p> <p>(iii) the rights of the residents of Chin Kwai House and Tsz Kwai House will not be neglected; and</p> <p>(iv) putting the said buildings in S04 will cause inconvenience to residents in casting votes, making complaints and taking part in District Council activities.</p> <p>(b) Three of the</p>	<p>(a) The representations are accepted, although the resultant population of S05 would exceed the upper permissible limit (25,797, +49.33%) because:</p> <p>(i) Tsz Kwai House and Chin Kwai House, together with three other blocks of Kwai Chung Estate, are all situated at the same elevated platform, sharing common facilities (eg leisure ground and carpark) under the same management;</p> <p>(ii) valid reasons are provided in the representations (see also item 18);</p> <p>(iii) S04 can then be unaltered; and</p> <p>(iv) the alternatives of moving the two blocks to other adjacent DCCAs such as S01, S03 and S17 have been explored but not considered viable because under these options, the resultant population will also exceed the upper permissible limits and there were also geographical and community differences between these DCCAs and the two blocks.</p> <p>(b) The proposed further changes are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) there are 8 representations that support keeping Kwai Hing Estate in S01 with Kwong Fai Circuit and Kwai</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			representations also propose to group Kwai Chung Estate Phase 1 (Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House), Kwong Fai Circuit, Kwai Fuk Court and Kwai Chung Estate Phase 6 (which is under construction) in the same DCCA; and move Kwai Fuk Court (S05) to S01.	Chung Estate (see item 2(b)); and (ii) if Kwai Fuk Court is moved to S01, since Kwai Chun Court is also required to be moved to S01 (see item 2(b)), the resultant population of S01 will be 22,522 (+30.37%), which would exceed the upper permissible limit.
2	S01 – Kwai Hing S15 – Hing Fong	12	(a) One of the representations proposes to retain Kwai Chun Court in S01 (instead of moving to S15) because: (i) Kwai Chun Court and Kwai Hing Estate share common facilities; (ii) Kwai Chun Court is far away from other estates in S15 such as Kwai Hong Court and Hibiscus Park; and (iii) Kwai Chun Court and Kwai Hing Estate are next to each other and have close community ties. (b) Eight of the representations	(a) The representation is accepted because: (i) the reasons given are considered valid; and (ii) the resultant population of S01 is 20,828 (+20.57%), which still falls within the permissible range. However, further changes will need to be made by moving New Kwai Fong Gardens, Kwai Chung Plaza, Kwai Fong Terrace and some rural villages from S12 to S15, and Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate from S17 to S15 because: (i) it will bring the population of S15 within the permissible limit, otherwise the population of S15 will be 11,297(-34.60%); and (ii) there are 13 representations which propose to move the said buildings from S12 to S15 (please refer to item 6). The resultant population distribution will be:

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>support:</p> <p>(i) to move Kwai Hing Estate from S15 to S01, together with Kwong Fai Circuit and part of Kwai Chung Estate; and</p> <p>(ii) to put Kwai Chun Court, Kwai Hong Court and Sun Kwai Hing Gardens in S15 as in the provisional recommendations.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) the area covered by the existing S01 is too large, and the elected DC member is thus not able to take care of all residents efficiently;</p> <p>(ii) the said estates are close to each other and have common concern in environmental, transport, community and housing matters;</p> <p>(iii) the elected DC member can focus his duties on the two public housing estates in S01; and</p> <p>(iv) it can help to</p>	<p>S01 : 20,828 (+20.57%) S15 : 20,538 (+18.89%)</p> <p>(b) The supporting views regarding Kwai Hing Estate are noted. However, Kwai Chun Court should be retained in S01 because:</p> <p>(i) if Kwai Chun Court is not retained in S01, Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate, New Kwai Fong Gardens, Kwai Chung Plaza and Kwai Fong Terrace cannot be moved to S15 as proposed in a number of representations (see items 3 and 6) because the resultant population of S15 will then be 23,962 (+38.71%), which exceeds the upper permissible limit; and</p> <p>(ii) there is a representation (item (a) above) proposing the change.</p> <p>(c) The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the resultant population of S01 will be 22,925 (+32.71%), which would exceed the upper permissible limit; and</p> <p>(ii) there are eight representations which support to move Sun Kwai Hing Gardens to S15 (see item (b) above).</p> <p>(d) The REO will bear this point in mind when identifying polling stations for residents of the area.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>resolve existing problems of Kwai Hing Estate in the areas of transport, housing, security and leisure.</p> <p>(c) One of the representations objects to move Sun Kwai Hing Gardens from S01 to S15 because the existing DC member is familiar with the affairs of the estate and has established a good relationship with its residents.</p> <p>(d) Two representations propose to retain the CNEC Ta Tung School(中華傳道會許大同學校) as the polling station for Kwai Hing Estate because:</p> <p>(i) such retention can facilitate the elderly and the disabled to cast their votes on the polling day; and</p> <p>(ii) it can avoid abstaining residents from voting.</p>	
3	S02 – Kwai Shing East Estate	5	(a) These representations propose to move High Prosperity Terrace from S03 to S17 (or to S02 as in one representation),	(a) The proposals on moving High Prosperity Terrace from S03 to S17 is accepted because: <p>(i) S03 can remain unaltered; and</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	S03 – Upper Tai Wo Hau S17 – Kwai Shing West Estate		<p>because:</p> <p>(i) the said estate is located at the hilltop and is geographically isolated;</p> <p>(ii) High Prosperity Terrace is geographically closer to S17;</p> <p>(iii) most of the residents come from Kwai Shing West Estate, and they often use the transport and shopping facilities in Kwai Shing West Estate; and</p> <p>(iv) it is difficult for candidates to conduct electioneering activities, and for the elected DC member to carry out his duties in this estate.</p> <p>(b) Four of the representations also object to move Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate to S17, because the building is not close to S17 geographically.</p> <p>(c) One representation further proposes that Shing Ka House of</p>	<p>(i) High Prosperity Terrace is geographically closer to S17.</p> <p>(b) The proposal to remove Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate from S17 is accepted. It is further suggested to move it to S15 because:</p> <p>(i) it will bring the population of S17 within the permissible limit:</p> <p>S15 : 20,538 (+18.89%) S17 : 20,100 (+16.35%)</p> <p>otherwise the population of S17 will be 23,116 (+33.81%); and</p> <p>(ii) Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate, together with Kwai Hong Court and Sun Kwai Hing Gardens are still grouped in one single DCCA.</p> <p>(c) Proposal (c) is not accepted, because it will affect the unaltered boundary of S02.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			Kwai Shing East Estate (S02), instead of Block 12 of the estate, should be moved to S17, because the building is geographically close to S17.	
4	S06 – Shek Yam S08 – Shek Lei Extension S09 – Shek Lei S10 – Tai Pak Tin	8	<p>(a) These representations suggest to retain Kwai Chung Fa Yuen, Tin On House and Kwai Fat Building in S10, and not to move Block 11 of Shek Lei (II) Estate from S09 to S10. The reasons are as follows:</p> <p>(i) the population of S10 should not exceed the upper permissible limit as the Apex (雍雅軒) is still under construction; and</p> <p>(ii) the community integrity can be preserved.</p> <p>(b) one representation further suggests that S08 should contain Shek Lei (I) Estate only;</p> <p>(c) two representations further suggest that the private buildings in S09 should be moved to S10; and</p> <p>(d) one representation further suggests to retain Greenknoll Court (S12) in S08.</p>	<p>(a) The representations are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) while the population of the Apex and the nearby industrial estates is very small, the population of the unaltered S10 is 23,395 (+35.43%), which exceeds the upper permissible limit. Even if the population of the Apex and the nearby estates is taken out, S10 still exceeds the upper permissible limit and its boundary is thus required to be adjusted;</p> <p>(ii) if Block 11 of Shek Lei (II) Estate is retained in S09, the resultant population of S09 will be 23,079 (+33.60%), which would exceed the upper permissible limit; and</p> <p>(iii) Block 11 of Shek Lei (II) Estate is an interim housing block, its community ties with other blocks of the same estate is not strong.</p> <p>For (b) to (d), the representations are not accepted because the resultant population of S09 will be 26,321 (+52.36%), which would exceed the upper permissible limit.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>The reasons given for items (b) to (d) are that such grouping:</p> <p>(i) will preserve community integrity and facilitate community management;</p> <p>(ii) will make the boundaries clear and easy to be understood and avoid confusion;</p> <p>(iii) will avoid the situation of three DC members serving one estate; and</p> <p>(iv) will facilitate the residents and the elderly to seek assistance from DC members or make complaints.</p>	
5	S12 – Fong Yiu S13 – Lai Wah S16 – Lai King	6	<p>(a) Five representations suggest to group the Wonderland Villas (S13) and the nearby estates in S12, such as Regency Park and Wah Yuen Chuen, into the same DCCA.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) the said estates are closer to each other and belong to the same DCCA in the past;</p> <p>(ii) the Wonderland Villas is geographically far</p>	<p>(a) The representations are accepted with modifications because:</p> <p>(i) reasons (i) and (ii) are considered valid;</p> <p>(ii) the resultant population of S13 is 14,733 (-14.71%), which still falls within the permissible range; and</p> <p>(iii) the community integrity can be better maintained.</p> <p>In addition to the changes proposed in these representations, we recommend moving New Kwai Fong Gardens, Kwai Chung Plaza, Kwai Fong Terrace and some rural villages from S12 to S15, because:</p> <p>(i) it will bring the population of</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>away from the other estates in S13, and the needs and concern of residents in the Wonderland Villas are different from those of the other estates in S13; and</p> <p>(iii) Under the provisional recommendation for S13, the Wonderland Villas will be neglected, and the DC member cannot efficiently attend to its affairs.</p> <p>(b) One representation suggests:</p> <p>(i) to put both Wonderland Villas (S13) and Wah Yuen Chuen (S12) in S13, or S16 if the permissible limit is exceeded; and</p> <p>(ii) to move Lai Yiu Estate (S12) to S16</p> <p>because:</p> <p>(i) the area covered by S12 is too large, as the Wonderland Villas, Wah Yuen Chuen and Lai Yiu Estate are located at the</p>	<p>S12 within the permissible limit, otherwise the population of S12 will be 23,871(+38.18%);</p> <p>(ii) there are 13 representations (item 6) which propose to move the above private buildings from S12 to S15;</p> <p>(iii) the community integrity of S12 can be maintained; and</p> <p>(iv) the modified proposal will not result in an increased number of affected DCCAs.</p> <p>The resultant population distribution will be:</p> <p>S12 : 17,646 (+2.15%) S13 : 14,733 (-14.71%)</p> <p>(b) The proposal on grouping Wonderland Villas with Wah Yuen Chuen is accepted (ie item (b)(i)), but they are grouped in S12 instead of S13 or S16 because there are five representations proposing the change (see item (a) above).</p> <p>As for the proposal to move Lai Yiu Estate to S16 (ie item (b)(ii)), it is not accepted because the resultant population of S16 will be:</p> <p>S16 : 22,905 (+32.59%)</p> <p>which would exceed the upper permissible limit.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>top, middle and lower part of the hill respectively, while 葵芳圍 is located at the bottom of the hill and is far away from the others; and</p> <p>(ii) it is difficult for candidates to conduct electioneering activities, and for the elected DC member to carry out his duties in view of the extensive area covered.</p>	
6	S12 – Fong Yiu S15 – Hing Fong	13	<p>(a) These representations object to move New Kwai Fong Gardens, Kwai Chung Plaza and Kwai Fong Terrace from S15 to S12.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) to preserve the community integrity;</p> <p>(ii) the said estates (S12) together with Kwai Tsing Theatre and Metroplaza in S15 are the core community;</p> <p>(iii) the residents of the said estates</p>	<p>(a) The representations are accepted with modifications because:</p> <p>(i) reasons (i)-(iv) are considered valid; and</p> <p>(ii) the resultant population of S12 and S15 are still within the permissible range.</p> <p>In addition to the changes proposed in this representation, we recommend moving the Wonderland Villas from S13 to S12, Kwai Chun Court from S15 to S01, the rural villages from S12 to S15, and Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate from S17 to S15 because:</p> <p>(i) there are ten representations (items 2, 3 and 5) which propose such changes; and</p> <p>(ii) the community integrity can be better maintained;</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>share common transport and leisure facilities and had common concerns;</p> <p>(iv) the said estates are far away from Lai Yiu Estate (S12);</p> <p>(v) the population of S12 and S15 is uneven;</p> <p>(vi) it is difficult for the elected DC member to understand the needs of residents from the estates which are newly included in the DCCA, thereby affecting the efficiency of his work;</p> <p>(vii) it is inconvenient for the residents to seek assistant from the DC member; and</p> <p>(viii) it is inconvenient for tenants of Kwai Chung Plaza to seek help from two different DC members.</p> <p>(b) One representation also proposes to:</p> <p>(i) rename S12 as</p>	<p>The population of S12 and S15 will be :</p> <p>S12 : 17,646 (+2.15%) S15 : 20,538 (+18.89%)</p> <p>(b) The proposal on renaming S12 (ie item (b)(i)) is accepted, but the name Wah Lai (華麗) is recommended instead because:</p> <p>(i) New Kwai Fong Gardens and Kwai Fong Terrace, which contain the word 'Fong' are moved to S15; and</p> <p>(ii) the major residential development in S12 now includes 華景山莊 and 麗瑤邨.</p> <p>Item (b)(ii) is not accepted because if the GreenKnoll Court is moved to S08 (the only adjoining DCCA in North-east area of Kwai Chung), the resultant population of S08 will be 22,497 (+30.23%), which would exceed the upper permissible limit.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>Lai Fong, as the proposed name of "Fong Yiu" cannot reflect the community identity and does not sound well;</p> <p>(ii) move GreenKnoll Court out of S12 as its residents mostly use the transport and community facilities of the North-east area of Kwai Chung, and have weak community ties with those in Lai Yiu and Kwai Fong.</p>	
7	S14 – Cho Yiu	2	The representations support the provisional recommendation of S14 because it can maintain the community integrity and enhance the sense of belonging of residents.	The supporting views are noted.
8	S14 – Cho Yiu S16 – Lai King	2	<p>(a) The representations suggest to move the Clothing Industry Training Centre, Lai King Community Hall and Asbury Methodist Primary School from S14 to S16 because:</p> <p>(i) the primary school is under the management of Lai King Estate, which is in S16; and</p> <p>(ii) such grouping</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> The representations on the minor adjustment of boundaries are accepted because the reasons given are considered valid, and no population is involved in the said premises.</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>will enhance the unity of administration.</p> <p>(b) One representation also supports the name of S16.</p>	
9	S20 – Tsing Yi Estate	2	The representations support the provisional recommendation on S20.	The supporting views are noted.
10	S21 – Greenfield S25 – Tsing Yi South	4	<p>These representations propose to:</p> <p>(a) group Cheung Wang Estate (S25), Mount Haven (S25) and the village areas (S21) into a DCCA; and</p> <p>(b) group Rambler Crest (S25), Greenfield Garden (S21), Grand Horizon (S21) and Serene Garden (S21) into another DCCA;</p> <p>because:</p> <p>(i) the area covered by S25 is large, and it is not reasonable to group all such estates in the same DCCA;</p> <p>(ii) Rambler Crest, Greenfield Garden and Grand Horizon are all private housing estates;</p> <p>(iii) the grouping should satisfy the population requirement;</p>	<p>Although the population of such grouping falls within the permissible range, the representations are not accepted because it will affect the unaltered boundary of S21.</p> <p>The supporting views on the delineation proposals of the other constituencies are noted.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>(iv) Rambler Crest is geographically separated from the other estates in S25; and</p> <p>(v) the lifestyle of the residents in Rambler Crest, Cheung Wan Estate and Mount Haven are different.</p> <p>(c) one representation supports the provisional recommendations on other constituencies.</p>	
11	S22 – Cheung Ching	3	<p>(a) The representations support the provisional recommendation on S22. The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) the estates share common facilities;</p> <p>(ii) Mayfair Gardens is close to Cheung Ching Estate;</p> <p>(iii) the population is within the permissible range; and</p> <p>(iv) it is convenient for residents to seek assistance from the DC member.</p>	<p>(a) The supporting views are noted.</p> <p>(b) The proposal on renaming S22 is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the major population of S22 belongs to Cheung Ching Estate, and the existing name of “Cheung Ching” is able to reflect the community identity of S22; and</p> <p>(ii) electors have got used to the existing name.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			(b) one representation further suggests to rename S22 as "Mei Ching".	
12	S22 – Cheung Ching S23 – Cheung Hong S24 – Shing Hong S25 – Tsing Yi South	4	(a) These representations propose to split the original S25 into two parts along Tsing Yi Road West, and rename the 2 DCCAs as Tsing Yi South and Tsing Yi West; and (b) one representation further suggests to dissolve S23, and put part of it in S22 (renamed as Ching Hong) with the remaining part in S24 (renamed as Cheung Hong). Details are as follows: <u>(i) Tsing Yi South</u> Includes Tsing Wah Court, Mayfair Gardens and Rambler Crest <u>(ii) Tsing Yi West</u> Includes Cheung Wang Estate, Mount Haven and Liu To Village <u>(iii) Ching Hong</u> Includes the original S22 and four buildings of Cheung Hong Estate in S23(Hong Wing House, Hong Wah House, Hong Fu House and Hong Tai House) <u>(iv) Cheung Hong</u> Includes the original S24 and five buildings of Cheung Hong Estate in	The representations are not accepted because: (a) the population of the proposed constituency Cheung Hong under item (iv) of the representation is 21,799 (+26.19%), which exceeds the upper permissible limit; (b) the unaltered boundary of S24 will be affected; and (c) there are 12 representations (items 11 and 13) supporting the provisional recommendations on S22-S24, and one representation that objects to this counter proposal (item 13(d)).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>S23 (Hong Kwai House, Hong Wo House, Hong Ping House, Hong On House and Hong Shing House)</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) since the area covered by S25 is very large, dividing it into two parts will enable the elected DC members to focus his work in serving the residents;</p> <p>(ii) the lifestyle of residents in Mayfair Gardens and Ching Wah Court is similar and the estates are the core community in Tsing Yi South; and</p> <p>(iii) Cheung Ching Estate, Cheung Hong Estate and Ching Shing Court (ie original S22-S24) can be combined into two DCCAs based on their total population.</p>	
13	<p>S23 – Cheung Hong</p> <p>S24 – Shing Hong</p> <p>S25 – Tsing Yi South</p>	9	<p>These representations:</p> <p>(a) agree to move Ching Wah Court from S25 to S23 because:</p> <p>(i) the population of S23 has decreased; and</p> <p>(ii) Ching Wah Court and Cheung Ching Estate have close community ties, and share common</p>	<p><u>Proposals (a) and (c)</u> The supporting views are noted.</p> <p><u>Proposal (b)</u> The proposal on renaming S23 is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the major population of S23 belongs to Cheung Hong Estate, the existing name is able to reflect the community identities of S23; and</p> <p>(ii) electors have got used to the existing name.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>facilities;</p> <p>(iii) the provisional recommendation is reasonable and there is no better alternatives;</p> <p>(iv) the community integrity can be better maintained; and</p> <p>(v) it can facilitate residents to reflect their views on community facilities.</p> <p>(b) one representation further proposes to rename S23 as Wah Hong or Hong Wah;</p> <p>(c) one representation supports the provisional recommendation of S24; and</p> <p>(d) one representation objects to item 12 because it is not consider to be reasonable.</p>	<p><u>Proposal (d)</u> The proposal is accepted. Please see item 12 for details.</p>
14	<p>S22 – Cheung Ching</p> <p>S23 – Cheung Hong</p> <p>S24 – Shing Hong</p> <p>S25 –</p>	1	<p>The representation proposes to:</p> <p><u>Proposal (a)</u></p> <p>(i) group Tsing Wah Court (S23), Mayfair Gardens (S22) and Rambler Crest (S25) into the same DCCA;</p> <p>(ii) move Mount Haven (S25) to S24; and</p> <p>(iii) move Cheung Wang</p>	<p>Proposal (a) is not accepted because the resultant population of S23 and S26 will be:</p> <p>S23 : 12,788(-25.97%) S26 : 28,891(+67.24%)</p> <p>which would be outside the permissible range.</p> <p>Proposal (b) is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the resultant population of S23</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	Tsing Yi South S26 – Cheung Hang		Estate from S25 to S26. or <u>Proposal (b)</u> (i) same as proposal a(i); (ii) maintain the existing S24 and S26; and (iii) group Mount Haven, Cheung Wang Estate and Liu To Area into a new DCCA. The reasons given are: (i) it is difficult for the elected DC member to carry out his duties efficiently in view of the extensive area covered by the DCCA; (ii) under the provisional recommendation, S25 contains different types of estates including public housing, private buildings and rural villages and their residents have different needs; and (iii) the suggested grouping will perverse the community integrity.	will be 12,788(-25.97%), which would fall below the lower permissible limit; and (ii) one DCCA has to be dissolved in return, and this may affect a large number of unaltered DCCAs.

Kwai Tsing District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 16 August 2006

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
15	All DCCAs	1	The representation considers that the provisional recommendations on constituency boundaries of Kwai Tsing District are reasonable and acceptable.	The supporting view is noted.
16	S01 – Kwai Hing S04 – Lower Tai Wo Hau S05 – Kwai Chung Estate	1	The representation considers that Kwai Chung Estate should be put in two instead of three DCCAs.	The representation is accepted (See item 1(a)).
17	S02 – Kwai Shing East Estate S03 – Upper Tai Wo Hau S17 – Kwai Shing West Estate	1	The representation proposes to: (a) move Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate (S17) to S02 because the resultant population will not exceed the upper permissible limit; and (b) same as item 3(a), the reason given is that the estate is geographically closer to these two DCCAs.	<u>Item (a)</u> The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of S02 will be 22,325(+29.23%), which would exceed the upper permissible limit. Block 12 is recommended to be moved to S15 instead. Please see item 3(b) for details. <u>Item (b)</u> See item 3(a).
18	S04 – Lower Tai Wo Hau S05 – Kwai Chung	2	Same as item 1(a). The reasons given are: (a) the two buildings and the other parts of S04 are separated by a slope, and it is	See item 1(a).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	Estate		<p>difficult for the residents to seek assistance from the DC member, especially the elderly;</p> <p>(b) it is difficult for the elected DC member of S04 to take care of these two buildings; and</p> <p>(c) it can avoid dividing Kwai Chung Estate into three parts, thereby help maintain its integrity.</p>	
19	S08 – Shek Lei Extension S09 – Shek Lei S10 – Tai Pak Tin	2	Same as item 4(a)-(c).	See item 4(a)-(c).
20	S21 – Greenfield S25 – Tsing Yi South	2	Same as item 10.	See item 10.
21	S22 – Cheung Ching S25 – Tsing Yi South	1	<p>Same as item 11(a). The reasons given are:</p> <p>(a) the residents share the facilities of Cheung Ching Estate; and</p> <p>(b) Mayfair Gardens is close to Cheung Ching Estate.</p>	See item 11(a).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
22	S22 – Cheung Ching S23 – Cheung Hong S24 – Shing Hong S25 – Tsing Yi South	1	Same as item 12.	See item 12.
23	S23 – Cheung Hong S25 – Tsing Yi South	1	Same as item 13(a) and (d).	See item 13(a) and (d).
24	General	1	The representation proposes to cut one DCCA in Tsing Yi area and to add one in Kwai Chung area.	The representation is not accepted because the approach will affect an unacceptable number of unaltered DCCAs.
25	Number of elected seats	1	This representation proposes to increase the number of elected seats instead of cutting or combining certain DCCAs.	The subject is outside the EAC's jurisdiction.