

Appendix III - A**Central and Western District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	1	The representation supports the EAC's demarcation proposals for all DCCAs in the district as they are in line with the statutory criteria and working principles.	The supporting view is noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) objects to the demarcation proposals for A09 and A15 and proposes to maintain the existing boundary of A09 because:</p> <p>(i) the estimated population of A09 is 20,803 which does not exceed the upper permissible limit; and</p> <p>(ii) moving the area surrounding South Lane from A09 to A15 will severely affect the coordination for community development; and</p> <p>(b) supports the demarcation proposals for all other DCCAs in the district as the EAC has paid regard to the community identity of the DCCAs and the populations of them are within the permissible range.</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) if the boundary of the current A09 remains unchanged, its population (22,100) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+27.88%); and</p> <p>(ii) there is supporting view for demarcation proposals for A09 and A15 (see item 1).</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
3	A07 – Kwun Lung A08 – Sai Wan A09 – Belcher A10 – Shek Tong Tsui A15 – Water Street	1	The representation proposes to re-delineate the boundaries of A07, A08, A09, A10 and A15 to alleviate the population overflow of A09 and to achieve a better population distribution in these DCCAs.	The representation is not accepted because: (i) it will affect the unaltered boundaries of A07, A08 and A10, the population of which are within the permissible range and a change in their boundaries is not necessary; (ii) under the demarcation proposals, the boundaries of A09 and A15 are adjusted to enable the population of A09 to fall below the upper permissible limit; and (iii) there is supporting view for the demarcation proposals for A09 and A15 (see item 1).
4	A09 – Belcher	1	The representation objects to the demarcation proposal for A09 and proposes to maintain its existing boundary because: (a) there is no significant change in population in A09 in recent years; (b) due to the transfer of part of South Lane and Hillview Garden from A09 to A15, residents who live in these areas have to cast their vote in the polling station of A15, which is on the other side of a hill. This will adversely affect the desire of the electors to vote; and (c) the estimated population of A15 does not exceed the	The representation is not accepted because: (i) the population of the current A09 is 22,100 (+27.88%) which exceeds the upper permissible limit; (ii) re-delineating the boundaries of A09 and A15 aims at alleviating the population overflow of A09; and (iii) there is supporting view for the demarcation proposals for A09 and A15 (see item 1). The REO will take note of the representation when identifying venues for setting up a polling station for electors of A15.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			lower permissible limit.	
5	A09 – Belcher A15 – Water Street	6	<p>These representations oppose the demarcation proposal for A09 and propose to maintain its existing boundary because if the five buildings, namely Sik On Building, Hillview Garden, Jadeview Court, Nam Cheong Building and Nam Wah Mansion at South Lane are moved to A15, the interest of the residents concerned will be severely affected as they need to communicate frequently with DC member, MTRC and government departments on matters relating to MTRC West Island Line, which will have an entrance at South Lane that will have an impact on the aforesaid buildings.</p> <p>One representation elaborates that the impact brought about by the construction of MTRC West Island Line on people living in South Lane and The Belcher is indeed an issue of contention between the residents affected and the MTRC. Relocating the aforesaid five buildings from A09 to A15 would affect the residents' negotiation with the MTRC.</p>	See item 4.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
6	A09 – Belcher A15 – Water Street	1	<p>The representation objects to the demarcation proposal for A09 and proposes to maintain its existing boundary for the same reasons as set out in item 5 above as well as the following:</p> <p>(a) dividing South Lane into two DCCAs hampers the social harmony, community homogeneity, planning and development of the area surrounding South Lane; and</p> <p>(b) the estimated population of A09 is 20,803 which does not exceed the upper permissible limit.</p>	See item 4.

**Central & Western District
Oral Representation Received at the Public Forum on 17 December 2010**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
7	A09 – Belcher	1	The representation proposes to maintain the existing boundary of A09 because the EAC's demarcation proposal will cause the South Lane to fall on two DCCAs which will adversely affect the community integrity and social harmony of A09.	See item 4.