

Appendix III – C

**Eastern District
Summaries of Written Representations**

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | All DCCAs | 1 | <p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) objects to the demarcation proposals for C04 and C06 and proposes to retain the Aldrich Garden in C04 because:</p> <p>(i) Aldrich Garden is not close to C06 geographically since it is separated from A Kung Ngam by the Island Eastern Corridor;</p> <p>(ii) Aldrich Garden and Oi Tung Estate in C04 share community identity and maintain local ties;</p> <p>(iii) the history of development of the Aldrich Garden and A Kung Ngam is different that the former was newly developed together with Oi Tung Estate since 2001 while the latter were old buildings built in 60s and 70s; and</p> <p>(iv) the population in C06 may be increased rapidly in future due to the redevelopment of old buildings;</p> | <p><u>Item (a)</u></p> <p>The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) if the boundary of the current C05 (which has been modified and renamed as C04 under the EAC's demarcation proposal) remains unchanged, its population (29,684) will greatly exceed the upper permissible limit (+71.76%);</p> <p>(ii) if the existing boundary of the C06 remains unchanged, its population (11,711) will exceed the lower permissible limit (-32.24%); hence</p> <p>(iii) there is a need to put Aldrich Garden in C06 to enable the current C05 and C06 to fall within the permissible range; and</p> <p>(iv) for this demarcation exercise, the EAC must adhere to the population projection as at 30 June 2011. Any developments beyond this cut off date will not be taken into account.</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u></p> <p>The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the resultant population of C28 (12,943) will fall below the lower permissible limit (-25.11%); and</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | <p>(b) suggests that the Orchards should be moved from C28 to C26 because the Orchards was included in C26 in 1999 and 2003, and</p> <p>(c) supports the demarcation proposals for all DCCAs in the district except C04, C06, C26 and C28.</p> | <p>(ii) it would affect the existing boundaries of C26 and C28, which should not be altered as their populations are within the permissible range.</p> <p><u>Item (c)</u></p> <p>The supporting view is noted.</p> |
| 2 | <p>C04 – Aldrich Bay</p> <p>C05 – Shaukeiwan</p> <p>C06 – A Kung Ngam</p> | 33 | <p>(a) These representations object to the demarcation proposal for C06 under which Aldrich Garden is to be moved to C06.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) Aldrich Garden and C06 are with different demographic features and historical background, and the residents have different culture and social needs;</p> <p>(ii) the transfer of Aldrich Garden to C06 will thin out the resources for the community in C06 due to the population increase; and</p> <p>(iii) the Aldrich Garden is far away from A Kung Ngam and they are separated</p> | <p><u>Item (a)</u> See item 1(a).</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) if Aldrich Garden is moved to C05, the resultant population of C05 (24,265) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+40.41%);</p> <p>(ii) the resultant population of C06 (11,886) will fall below the lower permissible limit (-31.22%) if Aldrich Garden is moved to C05 and a cluster of private buildings located alongside the Wang Wa Street are retained in C06; and</p> <p>(iii) there is supporting view on the demarcation proposal for C05 as well as objection to the suggestion of transferring Aldrich Garden from the current C05 to the current C04 (which has been modified and renamed as C05 under the EAC's demarcation proposal) (see item 7).</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | <p>by Island Eastern Corridor and Shau Kei Wan Main Street East.</p> <p>(b) Twenty-eight of the representations also propose to move Aldrich Garden from C06 to C05 and to retain a cluster of private buildings alongside Wang Wa Street in C06;</p> <p>(c) Twenty-seven of the representations further propose to move a cluster of private buildings located alongside To Wan Lane, Kam Wa Street and Shau Kei Wan Main Street East from C05 to C06 to increase the population of C06; and</p> <p>(d) One of the representations suggests that the boundary of the current C06 be maintained.</p> | <p><u>Item (c)</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) if Aldrich Garden is not moved to C06, the population of the current C05(29,684) will greatly exceed the upper permissible limit (+71.76%) and the population of C06 (11,711) will exceed the lower permissible limit (-32.24%);</p> <p>(ii) although the transfer of a cluster of private buildings located alongside To Wan Lane, Kam Wa Street and Shau Kei Wan Main Street East from the current C04 to C06 instead of moving Aldrich Garden to C06 may alleviate the population shortfall of C06, the population overflow of the current C05 (29,684, +71.76%) will remain unresolved; and</p> <p>(iii)there is supporting view for the demarcation proposal for C05 (see item 7).</p> <p><u>Item (d)</u> The representation is not accepted because if the existing boundary of the C06 remains unchanged, the population of C06 (11,711) will fall below the lower permissible limit (-32.24%).</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 3 | C04 – Aldrich Bay C06 – A Kung Ngam C26 – Nam Fung C28 – Kornhill Garden | 4 | Same as (a) and (b) in item 1. | See (a) and (b) in item 1. |
| 4 | C04 – Aldrich Bay C05 – Shaukeiwan C06 – A Kung Ngam C08 – Tsui Wan C10 – Siu Sai Wan C36 – Yue Wan | 1 | The representation: (a) <u>C04, C05 and C06</u> (i) proposes to move Aldrich Garden from C04 to C05 instead of C06 because the geographical link and community ties between the Aldrich Garden and A Kung Ngam are relatively weak; and (ii) proposes to separate C05 from C06 by Shau Kei Wan Main Street East so that a more even distribution of populations of these two DCCAs can be achieved; (b) <u>C08 and C10</u> proposes to maintain the existing boundaries of C08 and C10 if the populations of these two DCCAs are within the permissible range | <u>Item (a)</u> The proposal is not accepted because: (i) the resultant population of C05 (24,265) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+40.41%); (ii) there is supporting view for the demarcation proposal for C05 as well as objection to the suggestion of transferring Aldrich Garden from C04 to C05 (see item 7), and (iii) C05 and C06 are separated by Shau Kei Wan Main Street East. <u>Item (b)</u> The proposal is not accepted because: (i) the populations of both C08 (12,266) and C10 (12,682) fall below the lower permissible limit, which necessitate a change to their boundaries; and (ii) there is supporting view for the demarcation proposals for C08, C10 and C36 (see item 1). |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | <p>because the parts that newly transferred to them have closer ties with C36; and</p> <p>(c) <u>other DCCAs</u></p> <p>supports the demarcation proposals for all other DCCAs in the district.</p> | <p><u>Proposal (c)</u></p> <p>The supporting view is noted.</p> |
| 5 | <p>C13 – Fei Tsui</p> <p>C33 – Hing Man</p> | 1 | <p>The representation proposes to maintain the existing boundary of C33 and objects to the move of Lok Hing House and Yu Hing House to C13 because:</p> <p>(a) residents of Lok Hing House and Yu Hing House used to vote at the polling station at Hing Wah Community Hall in C33 and it will be inconvenient for the elderly residents to access the polling station in C13;</p> <p>(b) re-delineation of boundaries of C13 and C33 is not necessary as there is no change of the populations of these two DCCAs.</p> | <p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) by maintaining the status quo for C33, the population of C13 (12,079) will fall below the lower permissible limit (-30.11%);</p> <p>(ii) there is supporting view on the demarcation proposals for C13 and C33 (see items 1 and 4); and</p> <p>(iii) the REO will take note of the representation when identifying venues for the polling station in C13.</p> |

Eastern District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 17 December 2010

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---|
| 6 | C04 – Aldrich Bay C05 – Shaukeiwan C06 – A Kung Ngam | 1 | <p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) proposes to move Aldrich Garden from C04 to C05 instead of C06 because:</p> <p>(i) the development background and community identity of the Aldrich Garden and A Kung Ngam are different; and</p> <p>(ii) the Aldrich Garden is geographically closer to C05 than C06;</p> <p>(b) objects to moving Tung Fai Building, Shui Hing Court, Tung Ho Building, Shun King Building and two other buildings at Wang Wa Street to C05 and proposes to retain them in C06 because residents of these buildings have closer connection with A Kung Ngam; and</p> <p>(c) considers it more appropriate to move those buildings located alongside Shau Kei Wan Main Street East from C05</p> | <p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the aim of re-delineating the boundaries of C04 and C06 is to alleviate the population shortfall (-32.24%) of C06 and the substantial population overflow (+71.76%) of C04;</p> <p>(ii) if proposals (a), (b) and (c) are adopted, it will seriously affect the long established community integrity and local ties of C05, particularly those residents of the buildings along Shau Kei Wan Main Street East which have been grouped together with the rest of the buildings in the current C04 since 1994; and</p> <p>(iii) there is supporting view for the demarcation proposal for C05 as well as an objection to the suggestion of transferring Aldrich Garden from C04 to C05 (see item 7).</p> |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | to C06 for alleviating the population shortfall of C06 because these buildings have closer ties with C06. | |
| 7 | C05 – Shaukeiwan | 1 | The representation: (a) supports the demarcation proposal for C05; and (b) objects to the suggestion of transferring Aldrich Garden from C04 to C05 instead of C06 because the Aldrich Garden which is a Home Ownership Scheme Housing Estate does not share any community identity with the private buildings in C05. | The supporting view of (a) and the representation of (b), which supports the EAC's demarcation proposals for C04 and C05, are noted. |
| 8 | C13 – Fei Tsui C33 – Hing Man | 1 | The representation: (a) proposes to maintain the existing boundary of C33 and retain Lok Hing House and Yu Hing House in C33 because: (i) the transfer of Lok Hing House and Yu Hing House to C13 will adversely affect the community integrity of C33 though it may preserve the community identity of Hing Wah (II) Estate in C13; and | The representation is not accepted because: (i) by maintaining the status quo for C33, the population of C13 (12,079) will fall below the lower permissible limit (-30.11%); (ii) the transfer of Lok Hing House and Yu Hing House to C13 will group all houses of Hing Wah (II) Estate in one DCCA, thus enhancing its community identity; and (iii) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals for C13 and C33 (see items 1 and 4). |

| <i>Item no.</i> | <i>DCCAs concerned</i> | <i>No. of representations</i> | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's views</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | | | <p>(ii) the estimated populations of C13 and C33 are within the permissible range;</p> <p>(b) notwithstanding (a) above, supports the EAC's demarcation proposal for C33 if there are reasonable grounds for the proposed change of its boundaries; and</p> <p>(c) raises the concern that C33 may be dissolved in the next demarcation exercise since it may have to merge with C34 or C35, the populations of which are dropping.</p> | |