

Appendix III - E

**Yau Tsim Mong District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) objects to the demarcation proposals for E14 and E15 because they will undermine the community integrity and proposes that Dundas Street and Yin Chong Street should be included in E15; and</p> <p>(b) supports the demarcation proposals for all other DCCAs in the district, including E1 to E13 and E16 to E17.</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the population of E14 (15,444) is within the permissible range (-10.64%) and a change in its boundary is not necessary; and</p> <p>(ii) the resultant population of E15 (23,636) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+36.77%).</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p> <p>It is noted that contradictory views are given in the representation on demarcation proposal for E16 since part of Dundas Street and Yin Chong Street, which are included in E16, are proposed to be moved to E15 while it supports the proposed boundary for E16.</p>
2	All DCCAs	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) <u>E08 and E10</u></p> <p>(i) supports the demarcation proposal for E08;</p> <p>(ii) suggests E08 be renamed as ‘大角咀西’ or with a name associated with ‘海輝道’; and</p> <p>(iii) proposes to move Harbour Green from E08 to E10 as</p>	<p><u>Items (a)(i)</u> The supporting view is noted. However, there are contradictory views in the representation because while it gives support to the demarcation proposal for E08, it also proposes changes to its boundary.</p> <p><u>Item (a)(ii)</u> The proposal is not accepted as the name currently adopted for E08 is more representative and can reflect the landmark MTR station in the DCCA.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>it belongs to the community of E10.</p> <p>(b) <u>E01-E07, E09, E11-E13 and E17</u></p> <p>supports the demarcation proposals for these DCCAs.</p>	<p><u>Item (a)(iii)</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the community identity can be maintained in E08 and E10 under the EAC's demarcation proposal as the same type of housing is included in both DCCAs; and</p> <p>(ii) there is supporting view for the demarcation proposals for E08 and E10 (see item 1).</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p>
3	All DCCAs	1	<p>The representation opposes to the demarcation proposals for all DCCAs and proposes changes as follows:</p> <p>(a) <u>E01</u></p> <p>delineates the boundary along Harbour City, Canton Road, Jordan Road, Cox's Road, Austin Road and Nathan Road;</p> <p>(b) <u>E02</u></p> <p>(i) includes the area bounded by Jordan Road, Canton Road and Tsim Sha Tsui Fire Station; and</p> <p>(ii) changes the name as '柯士甸' as most of the residents often use the facilities around the Austin Road West;</p>	<p><u>Proposals (a) and (b)</u> The proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) they are not feasible as the areas of the proposed E01 and E02 are overlapped;</p> <p>(ii) the Union Square in E01 is left out and not included in either one of the two DCCAs; and</p> <p>(iii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for E01 and E02 (see items 1 and 2).</p> <p><u>Proposals (c) and (d)</u> The proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the proposed boundaries of E03 and E04 are not complete; and</p> <p>(ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for E03 and E04 (see items 1 and 2).</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>(c) <u>E03</u></p> <p>(i) delineates the boundary along Yan Cheung Road, Pak Hoi Street, Nathan Road and Jordan Road; and</p> <p>(ii) changes the name as ‘佐敦’ as this area is near the old Jordan DCCA;</p> <p>(d) <u>E04</u></p> <p>includes the area bounded by Pitt Street, Nathan Road, Pak Hoi Street and Yan Cheung Road;</p> <p>(e) <u>E05</u></p> <p>(i) includes a cluster of private buildings located along Ferry Street, Argyle Street, Nathan Road and Pitt Street;</p> <p>(ii) changes the name as ‘旺角西’; and</p> <p>(iii) separates it from E13 with Argyle Street as the boundary of both DCCAs because the traffic at Argyle Street is busy;</p> <p>(f) <u>E06</u></p> <p>(i) includes Hermitage, Hoi Fu Court and Charming Garden Phase 1; and</p>	<p><u>Proposals (e), (f) and (g)</u></p> <p>The proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) they will affect the existing boundaries of E05 to E07, the populations of which are within the permissible range and a change in their boundaries is not necessary;</p> <p>(ii) the resultant population of the proposed E05 (25,198) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+45.80%);</p> <p>(iii) the resultant population of the proposed E07 (12,293) will fall below the lower permissible limit (-28.87%); and</p> <p>(iv) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for E05 to E07 (see items 1 and 2).</p> <p><u>Proposal (h)</u></p> <p>The proposal for E08 is in line with the EAC's demarcation proposal which includes the areas to the west of West Kowloon Highway. The supporting view is noted.</p> <p><u>Proposal (i)</u></p> <p>The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) it will single-out Chung Yew Building, which is one of the buildings collectively named as “八中樓” and separate it from the other four buildings of the group in the north of Chung Wui Street that have been put together in the same DCCA since 1994; and</p> <p>(ii) there is supporting view for the</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>(ii) rename it as ‘海榮’;</p> <p>(g) <u>E07</u></p> <p>(i) includes Central Park, Park Avenue and Charming Garden Phase 2; and</p> <p>(ii) change the name as ‘富柏’;</p> <p>(i) <u>E08</u></p> <p>includes the areas to the west of West Kowloon Highway;</p> <p>(i) <u>E10</u></p> <p>takes also the area bounded by Kok Cheung Street, Chung Wui Street, Tai Kok Tsui Road and Wai On Street from E11;</p> <p>(j) <u>E11 and E12</u></p> <p>(i) separates E11 and E12 by Tung Chau Street; and</p> <p>(ii) moves the whole industrial area of Bedford Road from E12 to E11 for better population distribution and community connection;</p> <p>(k) <u>E15</u></p> <p>adjusts the southern boundary to cover Dundas Street and Waterloo Road.</p>	<p>demarcation proposal for E10 (see item 1).</p> <p><u>Proposal (j)</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) it will not bring about notable improvement on population distribution and community ties; and</p> <p>(ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for E11 and E12 (see items 1 and 2).</p> <p><u>Proposal (k)</u> The proposal is not accepted because the resultant population of the proposed E15 (23,636) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+36.77%).</p>