

Appendix III - F

**Sham Shui Po District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for all DCCAs in Sham Shui Po District as the EAC has paid regard to the community identity of these DCCAs and the populations thereof are within the permissible range.	The supporting view is noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) supports the demarcation proposals for all DCCAs in Sham Shui Po District except F10, F16 and F17;</p> <p>(b) opposes the demarcation proposals for F10, F16 and F17 as the demarcation proposal for F10 will adversely affect the local ties between Fortune Estate and Un Chau Estate whereas those for F16 and F17 will disrupt the community ties between So Uk Estate and Lei Cheng Uk Estate;</p> <p>(c) opposes the proposal to group the majority of So Uk Estate in F16 and only one</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p> <p><u>Item (b) and proposal (d)</u> The proposal is not accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>(a) residents of So Uk Estate share common interest with the residents of Un Chau Estate and the former have stronger ties with the latter than the residents of Lei Cheng Uk Estate as the former has been moving to Un Chau Estate under Government's re-housing arrangement;</p> <p>(b) the proposal to group Fortune Estate with Un Chau Estate to form a DCCA will cause Fortune Estate and Hang Chung Court to fall on two DCCAs. Fortune Estate and Hang Chun Court are back to back next to each other and residents of Fortune Estate have maintained close community ties with those of Hang Chun Court. Hence, it is</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			<p>block of it (Camelia House) in F17 as:</p> <p>(i) it will damage the community integrity of So Uk Estate;</p> <p>(ii) it may cause confusion to electors of So Uk Estate on polling day;</p> <p>(iii) it will waste administrative time of government departments in conducting consultation on issues relating to So Uk Estate as two District Council members will be involved; and</p> <p>(iv) the populations of the two DCCAs concerned still deviate from the population quota; and</p> <p>(d) proposes to re-delineate the boundaries of F10, F16 and F17 to preserve community ties and improve the population distribution in the DCCAs concerned as follows:</p> <p>(i) F10- same as the demarcation proposal for F10 but take the cluster of private</p>	<p>undesirable to group Fortune Estate with Un Chau Estate to form a DCCA which does not include Hang Chun Court. However, the population of a DCCA comprising Hang Chun Court, Fortune Estate and Un Chau Estate (23,326) would exceed the upper permissible limit (+34.97%);</p> <p>(c) in view of the above reasons, it is appropriate to group So Uk Estate and Un Chau Estate together in the same DCCA i.e. F16; and</p> <p>(d) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals for F10, F16 and F17. (See items 1, 3-8, 11,16 and 19)</p> <p><u>Item (c)</u> The representation is not accepted as:</p> <p>(a) if Camelia House of So Uk Estate is moved from F17 to F16, it will cause the resultant population of F16 (21,814) to exceed the upper permissible limit (+26.22%) and that of F17 (12,548) to fall below the lower permissible limit (-27.39%); and</p> <p>(b) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals for F16 and F17. (See items 1, 3-8, 11,16 and 19)</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			<p>residential buildings between So Uk Estate and Un Chau Estate from F16 and transfer Fortune Estate to F16;</p> <p>(ii) F16- group Un Chau Estate and Fortune Estate together; and</p> <p>(iii)F17- group So Uk Estate and Lei Cheng Estate together.</p>	
3	<p>F01– Po Lai</p> <p>F06– Nam Cheong Central</p> <p>F10– Fortune</p> <p>F16 – Un Chau & So Uk</p> <p>F17 – Lei Cheng Uk</p>	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) supports the demarcation proposals for F10 and F16 as they have taken into account the re-development of So Uk Estate;</p> <p>(b) proposes to move the construction site reserved for phase V of Un Chau Estate from F01 to F16 as the remaining residents of So Uk Estate will move to phase V by 2012 so that the community integrity of whole Un Chau Estate can be maintained. Moreover, the proposal has no implication on population of the DCCAs concerned as there is no population in the site;</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p> <p><u>Proposal (b)</u> The proposal is not accepted as:</p> <p>(i) it will change the existing boundary of F01, the population of which is within the permissible range and a change in its boundary is not necessary;</p> <p>(ii) for this demarcation exercise, the EAC needs to adhere to the population projection as at 30 June 2011. Development beyond this cut-off date will not be considered; and</p> <p>(iii)there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals for F01 and F16. (See items 1, 3-8, 11,16 and 19)</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			<p>(c) proposes to move Camelia House of So Uk from F17 to F16 as the residents therein will move to Un Chau Estate by 2012 so as to maintain the community integrity of F16;</p> <p>(d) supports the demarcation proposal to move Nam Pont House from F03 to F06; and</p> <p>(e) proposes to move the buildings under the redevelopment project of Urban Renewal Authority (area at the southern end of F06 with Kweilin Street, Pei Ho Street, Tung Chau Street and Hai Tan Street as boundary) from F06 to another DCCA because they will be redeveloped as new residential buildings which will be distinct from the old residential buildings in F06.</p>	<p><u>Proposal (c)</u> See item 2(c).</p> <p><u>Item (d)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p> <p><u>Proposal (e)</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) for this demarcation exercise, the EAC needs to adhere to the population projection as at 30 June 2011. Development beyond this cut-off date will not be considered;</p> <p>(ii) it will affect the boundaries of the DCCAs adjoining F06 (i.e. F05 or F07), the population of which fall within the permissible range and a change in their boundaries is not necessary; and</p> <p>(iii)there are supporting views on the demarcation proposal for F06. (See item 1)</p>
4	F01– Po Lai F10– Fortune F16 – Un Chau & So Uk	8	Same as item (a) and proposal (b) of item 3.	See item (a) and proposal (b) of item 3.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
5	F01– Po Lai F10– Fortune F16 – Un Chau & So Uk F17 – Lei Cheng Uk	5	Same as item (a) and proposals (b) and (c) of item 3.	See item (a), proposals (b) and (c) of item 3.
6	F01– Po Lai F10– Fortune F16 – Un Chau & So Uk	5	The representation: (a) supports the demarcation proposal for F16 as the residents of So Uk Estate have been moving to Un Chau Estate under the So Uk Estate re-development project; (b) proposes to move the site reserved for phase V of Un Chau Estate from F01 to F16 to facilitate district management because the whole Un Chau Estate will be managed by the same property management company; (c) takes the view that it is appropriate to assign So Uk Estate and Lei Cheng Uk Estate in two separate DCCAs as they belong to different	<u>Items (a), (c) and (d)</u> The supporting views are noted. <u>Proposal (b)</u> See proposal (e) of item 3.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			<p>types of housing estate with different ways of management; and</p> <p>(d) supports the demarcation proposal for F10 because it comprises private residential buildings near Hing Wah Street, Po On Street, Cheung Wah Street and Cheung Sha Wan Road, private housing under Home Ownership Scheme and some new private residential developments planned to be constructed.</p>	
7	F01– Po Lai F10– Fortune F16 – Un Chau & So Uk	3	<p>Same as item (a) and proposal (b) of item 6</p> <p>Two representations also indicate support to the demarcation proposal for F10.</p>	<p>See item (a) and proposal (b) of item 6.</p> <p>The supporting views are noted.</p>
8	F01– Po Lai F16 – Un Chau & So Uk	12	<p>Same as item 6(a) and proposal (b) of item 3</p>	<p>See item 6(a) and proposal (b) of item 3.</p>
9	F10– Fortune F15 – Lai Chi Kok North	1	<p>The representation proposes to move Sea Panorama Court and Charming Garden from F15 to F10 because:</p>	<p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(a) the proposal will not bring about any improvement on community, geographical or</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			<p>(a) it is inconvenient for their residents to seek assistance from the DC member of F15 whose office is located at Hoi Lai Estate; and</p> <p>(b) it is more convenient for the residents to seek help from the DC member of Un Chau Estate whose office is near Sea Panorama Court and Charming Garden.</p>	<p>development considerations; and</p> <p>(b) there are supporting views on demarcation proposals for F10 and F15. (See items 1,3-7 and 11)</p>
10	<p>F10– Fortune</p> <p>F15 – Lai Chi Kok North</p> <p>F16 – Un Chau & So Uk</p> <p>F17 – Lei Cheng Uk</p>	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) proposes to group Fortune Estate and Un Chau Estate into the same DCCA to maintain the community integrity as both are public housing estates and they were grouped in the same DCCA in the past;</p> <p>(b) proposes to group the private buildings in F10 (including the Sparkle, Hang Chun Court, Lai Bo Garden etc.), F15 (including Charming Garden, Sea Panorama Court, quarters of post office etc) and F16 (including Hing Wah Apartment, Scenic Court, Shun Fat Building, Shining Court etc.) to form a DCCA comprising solely private buildings to maintain community</p>	See item (b) and proposal (d) of item 2.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			<p>integrity; and</p> <p>(c) proposes to move the whole So Uk Estate from F16 to F17 because it could maintain the community integrity of So Uk Estate and improve the population distribution between F16 and F17.</p>	
11	<p>F10– Fortune</p> <p>F16 – Un Chau & So Uk</p>	3	Same as item 3 (a).	See item 3 (a).
12	<p>F10– Fortune</p> <p>F16 – Un Chau & So Uk</p>	2	<p>The representation opposes the demarcation proposal for F10 and proposes to group Fortune Estate, Hang Chun Court together with Un Chau Estate because:</p> <p>(a) Fortune Estate, Hang Chun Court and Un Chau Estate were grouped in the same DCCA in the past;</p> <p>(b) there has been frequent cooperation between the residents' associations of Fortune Estate and those of Un Chau Estate; and</p> <p>(c) the demarcation proposal for F10 will cause difficulties for the DC member concerned to provide</p>	<p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(a) the resultant population of the proposal (23,326) would exceed the upper permissible limit (+34.97%); and</p> <p>(b) there are supporting views on demarcation proposals for F10 and F16. (See items 1, 3-8, 11,16 and 19)</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			service as the DCCA comprises different types of building including public housing estates, old private buildings and new private buildings.	
13	F10– Fortune F16 – Un Chau & So Uk F17 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) considers that the present demarcation proposal for F10 is unfair to the residents of Fortune Estate as it was grouped together with Un Chau Estate in the same DCCA in the past and the residents of these estates already have the understanding that they could seek assistance from the same DC member;</p> <p>(b) opposes the splitting of So Uk Estate into two DCCAs of F16 and F17 as it will cause confusion to the electors during DC election and adversely affect the efficiency of the DC members concerned in providing service to the residents; and</p> <p>(c) proposes to move So Uk Estate from F16 to F17 together with Lei Cheng Uk Estate because:</p> <p>(i) it will improve the population</p>	See item (b) and proposal (d) of item 2.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			<p>distribution between these two DCCAs;</p> <p>(ii) So Uk Estate and Lei Cheng Uk Estate were grouped in the same DCCA in the past, and</p> <p>(iii) there may be nuisances affecting the residents of Lei Cheng Uk Estate when So Uk Estate is demolished two years later, and it would facilitate the DC member concerned to deal with these matters when the two estates are in the same DCCA.</p>	
14	F16 – Un Chau & So Uk F17 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	Same as proposal (c) of item 3.	See item proposal (c) of item 3.
15	F16 – Un Chau & So Uk F17 – Lei Cheng Uk	2	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) opposes the demarcation proposals for F16 and F17 because:</p> <p>(i) splitting So Uk Estate into two DCCAs with Camelia House in F17 and the remaining</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> See item (c) of item 2.</p> <p><u>Proposal (b)</u> See item (b) and proposal (d) of item 2.</p> <p><u>Item (c)</u> Factors with political implications will not be taken into consideration in the demarcation exercise.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			<p>buildings in F16 would cause confusion to the Housing Department in managing the estate and for the government to collect opinions of the residents; and</p> <p>(ii) the population of F16 is large which may affect the efficiency of service to be provided by the DC member concerned. Also, as the residents of So Uk Estate only account for about 15% of the population of F16, their rights will be affected adversely;</p> <p>(b) proposes to group So Uk Estate together with Lei Cheng Uk Estate to form a DCCA as:</p> <p>(i) So Uk Estate is geographically close to Lei Cheng Uk Estate and residents of the two estates share the use of the same public facilities such as shopping centres and schools; and</p> <p>(ii) it will improve the population distribution between F16 and</p>	

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
			F17; and (c) considers that the present demarcation proposal will unfairly benefit the existing DC member of So Uk constituency to win in the forthcoming DC election as he lives in Un Chau Estate.	

Sham Shui Po District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 17 December 2010

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
16	F01 – Po Lai F10 – Fortune F16 – Un Chau & So Uk F17 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	Same as item 6(a) and proposals (b) and (c) of item 3. In addition, the representation supports the demarcation proposal for F10 and considers that it is not unusual to have both public housing estates and private residential buildings grouped within a DCCA.	See item 6(a) and proposals (b) and (c) of item 3. The supporting view is noted.
17	F01– Po Lai F16 – Un Chau & So Uk	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposal for F16 except that the site reserved for phase V of the Un Chau Estate should be moved from F01 to F16.	The supporting view is noted. See proposal (b) of item 3.
18	F10 – Fortune F16 – Un Chau & So Uk F17 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	The representation opposes the demarcation proposals for F10, F16 and F17 and proposes to : (a) group Fortune Estate, instead of So Uk Estate, together with Un Chau Estate to maintain community integrity because: (i) both the Fortune Estate and Un Chau Estate are public housing estates; (ii) they were grouped in the same DCCA in the past; and (iii) there is a cluster of private	See item (b) and proposal (d) of item 2.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>residential buildings separating So Uk Estate and Un Chau Estate;</p> <p>(b) put the whole So Uk Estate to F16 or F17 as the splitting of So Uk Estate into two DCCAs of F16 and F17 will cause confusion to the electors in the estate on polling day and in seeking assistance from relevant DC members; and</p> <p>(c) group So Uk Estate together with Lei Cheng Uk Estate to form a DCCA as their resident profiles are the same and they were in the same constituency many years ago.</p>	
19	F16 – Un Chau & So Uk	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposal for F16.	The supporting view is noted.
20	F16 – Un Chau & So Uk F17 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposal for F16 except that Camelia House of So Uk Estate should be moved from F17 to F16.	The supporting view is noted. See item (c) of item 2.
21	F16 – Un Chau & So Uk F17 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	The representation opposes the demarcation proposals for F16 and F17 and proposes to move the whole So Uk Estate from F16 to F17 because:	See items (b) and (c) and proposal (d) of item 2.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>(a) under the demarcation proposals, only Camelia House of So Uk Estate is grouped in F17 while the rest of the estate is grouped in F16 which will create confusion to the DC members of the two DCCAs when providing services to the residents of the estate;</p> <p>(b) the large number of population of F16 may adversely affect the service provided by the DC member concerned who may not have time to deal with the problems arising from the redevelopment of the So Uk Estate; and</p> <p>(c) reasons same as item 15(a).</p>	