

Appendix III- G

**Kowloon City District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for all DCCAs in Kowloon City district because the EAC has paid regard to the community integrity of the DCCAs and the populations thereof are within the permissible range.	The supporting view is noted.
2	G02 – Ma Hang Chung G12 – Hoi Sham	1	<p>The representation proposes to move Grand Waterfront from G02 to G12. The reasons are as follows:-</p> <p>(a) As both Grand Waterfront and Wyler Gardens in G12 are located along To Kwa Wan Road, issues relating to the daily lives of the residents of Grand Waterfront such as transportation facilities and environmental hygiene always concern Wyler Garden as well.</p> <p>(b) The residents of Grand Waterfront seldom use the community facilities in Ma Hang Chung area in G02 as Grand Waterfront is</p>	<p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(a) the proposal will affect the boundary of G12, the population of which falls within the permissible range and a change in its boundary is not necessary;</p> <p>(b) transfer of Grand Waterfront which comprises several modern and high-rise residential buildings, to G12 may not be conducive to the community identity of the DCCA as Grand Waterfront and the old private residential buildings commonly found in G12 are different types of housing; and</p> <p>(c) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposal for G02 and G12. (See items 1 and 7.)</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>separated from Ma Hang Chung area by the former cattle depot.</p> <p>(c) As the population of G02 is mainly concentrated near Ma Tau Chung Road, the DC member of G02 will be particularly concerned with the interests of residents in that area and may neglect the interests of the residents of Grand Waterfront.</p>	
3	G10 – Lung Shing	1	<p>The representation supports the demarcation proposal for G10 which keeps its boundary unchanged because:</p> <p>(a) the population of G10 falls within the permissible range;</p> <p>(b) the majority of the residents in G10 is living in old private buildings and this uniformity in resident profile can facilitate the DC member of the DCCA to plan and provide services in an efficient manner; and</p> <p>(c) residents of G10 know well the</p>	The supporting view is noted.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			DCCA to which they belong and any change in its existing boundary will cause confusion to them.	
4	G10 – Lung Shing	5	<p>The representations support the demarcation proposal for G10 which keeps its boundary unchanged because:</p> <p>(a) the residents of G10 have got used to seek assistance from the DC member of the DCCA concerned and any change in the existing boundary of G10 will cause confusion and inconvenience to the residents; and</p> <p>(b) there is uniformity both in the nature of the buildings and profile of the residents in G10.</p>	The supporting views are noted.
5	G10 – Lung Shing	5	<p>The representations support the demarcation proposal for G10 which keeps its boundary unchanged because:</p> <p>(a) not much changes in the population of G10 have taken place since 2003; and</p> <p>(b) the residents of G10 are mainly middle or lower class people and</p>	The supporting views are noted.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			hence the DC member can focus on looking after their needs.	
6	G10 – Lung Shing	19	<p>The representations support the demarcation proposal for G10 which keeps its boundary unchanged because:</p> <p>(a) there are not much changes in the population of G10 which is within the permissible range and any change in its boundary may cause confusion to the residents; and</p> <p>(b) the uniformity in the nature of the buildings and profile of the residents in G10 can facilitate the DC concerned to draw up proposals to plan, manage and improve public facilities.</p>	The supporting views are noted.
7	G12 – Hoi Sham	5	<p>The representations support the demarcation proposal for G12 which keeps its boundary unchanged because:</p> <p>(a) there are not much changes in the population of G12 which is within the permissible range;</p> <p>(b) the uniformity in</p>	The supporting views are noted.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>the nature of the buildings and profile of the residents in G12 can facilitate the DC member concerned to offer suggestions on planning, and improvement of public facilities as well as district management; and</p> <p>(c) G12 mainly comprises the same kind of old private residential buildings and therefore the demarcation proposal is reasonable.</p>	