

Appendix III - J

**Kwun Tong District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	54	The representations support the demarcation proposals for all DCCAs in the District.	The supporting views are noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	<p>(a) The representation supports the demarcation proposals for J02, J03, J04, J05, J06, J07, J08, J09, J10, J11, J13, J15, J16, J17, J18, J19, J20, J21, J22, J23, J24, J25, J28, J29, J30, J31, J32, J33, J34 and J35.</p> <p>(b) It objects to splitting the Tsui Ping North Estate into 3 DCCAs (i.e. Tsui Ping, Po Lok and Kwun Tong Central) and puts up proposals on the re-delineation of the boundaries of J01, J26 and J27, which are same as Proposals B and C as set out in item 3 below.</p> <p>(c) It proposes to move Sau Ming House from J14 to J12 since it shares the same transportation network with the public housing blocks in J12 and is located at the same level with Sau On House and Sau Fu House in J12. The proposed move would even out the populations of Sau Mau Ping North and Sau Mau Ping South.</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> See item 3.</p> <p><u>Proposal (c)</u> The representation is accepted in view of the valid reasons given and the populations of both J12 and J14 will fall within the permissible range after the delineation: J12: 21,091, +22.04% J14: 19,537, +13.05%.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
3	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J13 – Hiu Lai J25 – King Tin J26 – Tsui Ping J27 – Po Lok J28 – Yuet Wah J31 – Ting On	2	<p>The representations put forward three proposals for the re-delineation of the boundaries of the current J01 and J25.</p> <p><u>Proposal A</u> It proposes to:</p> <p>(a) keep the boundaries of the current J24 Tsui Ping South and the current J25 Tsui Ping North unchanged except making the following modifications:</p> <p>(i) transferring Hiu Kwong Court, Hiu Ming Court, Hiu Wah Building and Fu Wah Court from the current J12 to the current J25;</p> <p>(ii) moving Lei On Court in the current J23 to the current J24; and</p> <p>(b) transfer the area from Sheung Yee Road to Lai Yip Street in J01 to the current J30 and the remaining area to the current J27.</p> <p><u>Proposal B</u> It proposes to:</p> <p>(a) transfer Tsui Ying House, Tsui Lok House and Tsui Hon House of Tsui Ping South Estate in the current J24 to the current J01; and</p> <p>(b) transfer the remaining blocks of Tsui Ping</p>	<p><u>Proposal A</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) it would cause substantial changes to four DCCAs (i.e. current J12, J23, J27 and J30 (new J13, J25, J28 and J31)), of which the populations are within the permissible range and a change in their boundaries is not necessary; and</p> <p>(ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals of the current J12 (new J13) and J23 (new J25) (see items 1, 11 and 18).</p> <p><u>Proposal B</u> The proposal is not accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>(i) the population of the current J24 is within the permissible range (13,430). The adjustment to its boundary is to help bring the population of the adjoining DCCAs (i.e. current J01 and J25) within the permissible range and vacate a DCCA to alleviate the population overflow of other DCCAs in the District;</p> <p>(ii) Tsui Ping South Estate has been wholly included in the same DCCA since 1999;</p> <p>(iii) the proposal would be tantamount to dissolving the current J24. Unless there are very strong justifications, such dissolution is not recommended; and</p> <p>(iv) there are supporting views in maintaining the seven blocks of Tsui Ping South Estate in the same DCCA (see item 19).</p> <p><u>Proposal C</u> The proposal is not accepted because:</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>South Estate in the current J24 to the current J25.</p> <p><u>Proposal C</u> It proposes to:</p> <p>(a) keep the boundary of the current J24 unchanged; and</p> <p>(b) group four current DCCAs (i.e. J01, J25, J26 and J27) into three DCCAs as follows:</p> <p>(i) moving Tsui Nam House, Tsui Mui House and Tsui Yue House of Tsui Ping North Estate from the current J26 to the current J25;</p> <p>(ii) forming a new DCCA which comprises Po Pui Court, Wo Lok Estate and a cluster of private buildings in the upper part of the current J27; and</p> <p>(iii) transferring a cluster of private buildings in the lower part of the current J27 to the current J01.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(a) under the EAC's demarcation proposals,</p> <p>(i) the community integrity of Tsui Ping North Estate will be affected; and</p> <p>(ii) inclusion of five blocks of Tsui Ping North Estate</p>	<p>(i) it will affect two DCCAs (i.e. the current J26 (new J27) and J27 (new J28)), of which the populations are within the permissible range and a change in their boundaries is not necessary;</p> <p>(ii) grouping the private buildings in the lower part of current J27 (new J28) with the current J01 is geographically undesirable as they are at different levels; and</p> <p>(iii) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposal for new J27 (see items 1 and 20).</p> <p>It is necessary to revise the boundaries of three DCCAs (i.e. current J01 Kwun Tong Central, current J24 Tsui Ping South and current J25 Tsui Ping North) and to merge them into two DCCAs because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of both current J01 and current J25 exceed the lower permissible limit: current J01:11,260, -34.85% current J25:12,046, -30.30%; and</p> <p>(ii) there is a need to vacate one DCCA to alleviate the population overflow of other DCCAs in the District.</p> <p>Under the EAC's demarcation proposals, the number of DCCAs affected has been kept to a minimum.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>in the proposed J01, which mainly comprises the centre of Kwun Tong, will easily cause confusion and delays from the angle of district administration because the areas mentioned above belong to two different area committees;</p> <p>(b) similar types of buildings should be grouped in the same DCCAs for maintaining community integrity; and</p> <p>(c) the EAC has allowed other DCCAs in the same District to exceed the permissible limits for preserving community integrity and should therefore adopt the same principle for Tsui Ping North Estate.</p>	
4	<p>J01 – Kwun Tong Central</p> <p>J13 – Hiu Lai</p> <p>J26 – Tsui Ping</p> <p>J27 – Po Lok</p>	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) objects to splitting the Tsui Ping North Estate into 3 DCCAs (i.e. Tsui Ping, Po Lok and Kwun Tong Central); and</p> <p>(b) proposes to transfer Hiu Kwong Court and Hiu Ming Court to the Tsui Ping North DCCA (i.e. the current J25) for alleviating its population shortfall, which is similar to</p>	See item 3.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			sub-item (a)(i) of Proposal A as set out in item 3 above.	
5	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J26 – Tsui Ping	1	The representation: (a) objects to including five blocks of Tsui Ping North Estate (i.e. Tsui Tsz House, Tsui Lau House, Tsui Cheung House, Tsui Pak House and Tsui On House) in the new J01; and (b) requests the boundary of the current J25 be maintained.	See item 3.
6	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J26 – Tsui Ping	1	(a) The representation agrees to the extension of the current J01 to Tsui Ping Estate since the integrity of community could be maintained. (b) It further suggests that fewer blocks of Tsui Ping Estate be included in the new J01 because: (i) the decrease in population of Kwun Tong town centre is only temporary; (ii) the elected DC member of the new J01 has to provide a very wide scope of service as this DCCA has a large mobile and working population; and (iii) the elected DC member has to	<u>Item (a)</u> The representation is in line with the EAC's demarcation proposal. The supporting view is noted. <u>Item (b)</u> The proposal is not accepted because the EAC shall as required by the law ensure that the population in each proposed DCCA is as near to the population quota as practicable and the population of J01 (17,080, -1.17%) is close to the population quota. Inclusion of fewer blocks of Tsui Ping North Estate in J01 will make the population less close to the population quota which does not meet the aforesaid statutory requirement.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			follow up the community problems in connection with the re-development of Yue Man Square.	
7	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J26 – Tsui Ping J27 – Po Lok	1	Same as item 2(b).	See item 3.
8	J02 – Kowloon Bay J03 – Kai Yip J06 – Sheung Choi J32 – Ngau Tau Kok J33 – To Tai	6	(a) These representations propose that: (i) the area of J02 be extended to incorporate Upper and Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estates in J32, Choi Ying Estate in J06, Tak Bo Garden in J03, Jade Field Garden and/or Amoy Gardens in J33; and (ii) more than one elected DC member should be allowed to be returned for each DCCA so that the electors of J02 can have a wider choice of candidates and the fairness of election can be promoted. (b) One of the representations also	<u>Item (a)(i)</u> The representation is not accepted because: (i) the change will cause the population of J02 to exceed the upper permissible limit: ● J02 incorporating Upper and Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estates (28,948, +67.50%); ● J02 incorporating Choi Ying Estate (23,417, +35.50%); (ii) the transfer of Tak Bo Garden to J02 will cause the population of J03 to exceed lower permissible limit (11,602, -32.87%); (iii) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposal for J32 (see items 1, 2 and 21); and (iv) the population of J33 is within the permissible range and a change in its boundary is not necessary.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			suggests that the polling station (i.e Telford Gardens Community Centre) be relocated to the Hall of City University of Hong Kong, which is also in the vicinity of Telford Gardens.	<u>Item (a)(ii)</u> The proposal would involve the amendments to the District Councils Ordinance (Cap 547) which falls outside the purview of the EAC. <u>Item (b)</u> The suggestion falls outside the scope of the consultation exercise and has been forwarded to the REO for reference.
9	J08 – Shun Tin	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposal for J08.	The supporting view is noted.
10	J12 – Sau Mau Ping North J14 – Sau Mau Ping South	1	The representation: (a) supports the demarcation proposals for J12 and J14; and (b) suggests that J12 and J14 should each be provided with a polling station to facilitate the electors.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted. <u>Item (b)</u> The suggestion is beyond the scope of the consultation exercise and has been forwarded to the REO for reference.
11	J13 – Hiu Lai	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposal for J13.	The supporting view is noted.
12	J14 – Sau Mau Ping South	1	The representation states that it has no comments on the demarcation proposal for J14 but considers that the polling station for J14 should be conveniently located.	The view on the designation of polling station has been forwarded to the REO for reference.
13	J15 – Hing Tin J16 – Lam Tin J17 – Kwong Tak	3	The representations support the demarcation proposals for J15, J16, J17, J18 and J19.	The supporting views are noted.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	J18 – Ping Tin J19 – Pak Nga			
14	J16 – Lam Tin	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposal for J16.	The supporting view is noted.
15	J16 – Lam Tin J18 – Ping Tin J21 – Yau Tong Central J22 – Chui Cheung	1	The representation proposes to: (a) transfer Ping Chun House of Ping Tin Estate from J16 to J18 to minimise the population deviation and to preserve the completeness of Ping Tin Estate; and (b) rename J21 and J22 as “Yau Lai” and “Yau Tong Central” respectively since the J22 covers a large area of the existing Yau Tong Central and it would reflect the inclusion of Yau Mei Court in the J22.	<u>Item (a)</u> The representation is not accepted because: (i) the populations of both J16 and J18 are within the permissible range and a change in their boundaries is not necessary; and (ii) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals for the J16 and J18 (see items 1, 2, 13, 14 and 16). <u>Item (b)</u> (i) The proposal to rename J21 as “Yau Lai” is accepted because the DCCA comprises solely housing blocks of Yau Lai Estate. (ii) However, the proposal to rename J22 is not accepted because the name of “Chui Cheung” can better reflect the major developments in J22 than the name of “Yau Tong Central”.
16	J18 – Ping Tin	5	The representations support the demarcation proposal for J18.	The supporting views are noted.
17	J20 – Yau Tong East J21 – Yau Tong Central	8	The representations support the demarcation proposals for J20, J21, J22 and J23.	The supporting views are noted.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	J22 – Chui Cheung J23 – Yau Tong West			
18	J25 – King Tin	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposal for J25.	The supporting view is noted.
19	J26 – Tsui Ping	20	The representations support the retention of the whole Tsui Ping South Estate in J26.	The representations are in line with the EAC's demarcation proposal. The supporting views are noted.
20	J27 – Po Lok	3	The representations support the demarcation proposal for J27.	The supporting views are noted.
21	J32 – Ngau Tau Kok	2	The representations support the demarcation proposal for J32.	The supporting views are noted.
22	Population of DCCAs	2	The representations doubt whether the populations of J14 Sau Mau Ping South and J33 To Tai constituencies are accurate.	In this demarcation exercise, the EAC has to rely on the population figures provided by the AHSG. AHSG had conducted comprehensive researches before compiling the relevant data by a systematic methodology. For the reason of fairness and consistency, the EAC considers it necessary to use the same set of population distribution projections with the same basis and the same cut-off date (i.e. 30 June 2011) for all DCCAs.

Kwun Tong District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 17 December 2010

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
23	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J26 – Tsui Ping	1	Same as item 3.	See item 3.
24	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J26 – Tsui Ping	1	Same as item 6.	See item 3.
25	J02 – Kowloon Bay J06 – Sheung Choi J32 – Ngau Tau Kok J33 – To Tai	1	Same as item 8(a)(i) and 8(a)(ii).	See item 8(a)(i) and 8(a)(ii).