

Appendix III - K

**Tsuen Wan District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	1	The representation: (a) supports the demarcation proposals for K01, K02, K03, K04, K05, K06, K08, K09, K10, K11, K12, K13, K14, K15, K16 and K17 because the EAC has paid regard to the community integrity of the DCCAs and the populations thereof are within the permissible range; and (b) objects to including Tsuen Tak Garden in K07 since such move will undermine the community integrity.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted. <u>Item (b)</u> The representation is not accepted because: (i) it is necessary to move Tsuen Tak Garden from K08 to K07 to alleviate the population shortfall of K07 (11,352, -34.31%); and (ii) there are supporting views on the transfer of Tsuen Tak Garden to K07 (see items 7 and 8(a)).
2	K01 – Tak Wah K02 – Yeung Uk Road	1	The representation proposes to move the area to the south of Yeung Uk Road (mainly comprising the Nina Tower, the Dynasty and the Tsuen Wan Park) from K01 to K02.	The representation is not accepted because the populations of both K01 and K02 are within the permissible range and a change in their boundaries is not necessary.
3	K03 – Hoi Bun	1	The representation proposes to revert the boundary of K03 to that adopted from 1994 to 2004 because the estimated population of K03 will increase to around 40,000 upon the completion of a new development and the elected DC member will be difficult to serve K03 with such a large population. The aforesaid development will bring around 8,000 to	The representation is not accepted because: (a) the population of K03 falls within the permissible range and a change in its boundary is not necessary; and (b) the EAC needs to adhere to the population projection as at 30 June 2011 in the demarcation exercise and developments beyond this cut-off date will not be considered.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			12,000 people to K03 by 2012.	
4	K04 – Clague Garden K05 – Fuk Loi	1	The representation suggests that only the buildings to the north of Tso Kung Square should be moved to K05 and the buildings to the south of Tso Kung Square should be retained in K04 because the latter buildings have close connection with K04.	The representation is accepted in view of the valid reason given and the populations of both K04 and K05 are still within the permissible range: K04: 14,217, -17.74% K05: 13,461, -22.11%.
5	K04 – Clague Garden K05 – Fuk Loi K07 – Tsuen Wan Centre K09 – Lai To K10 – Lai Hing K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West K12 –	1	The representation proposes to: (a) (i) transfer the area to the north of Hoi Shing Road (i.e. Moon Lok Dai Ha, Tsuen Wan Plaza, Heung Wo Street and Tai Pa Street) from K04 to K05; (ii) group the remaining portion of K04 (i.e. the area to the south of Hoi Shing Road) together with the current K10, which includes Bayview Garden, Belverde Phase III and Serenade Cove, as a new DCCA; and	The representation is not accepted because: <u>Item (a)</u> (i) the population of the proposed K05 will exceed the upper permissible limit (22,831, +32.11%); and (ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposal for K07 (see items 7 and 8(a)). It is noteworthy that “荃錦花園” as mentioned in the representation cannot be identified. <u>Items (b) and (c)</u> Please refer to item 12. <u>Item (d)</u> The population of the proposed “Tsuen Wan Rural East” will exceed the upper permissible limit (23,582, +36.45%).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	Tsuen Wan Rural East		<p>(iii) transfer “荃錦花園” to K07 or Bayview Garden in K10 to K09 if the proposals at (a)(i) or (a)(ii) above will cause the populations of the DCCAs concerned to exceed the statutory limit;</p> <p>(b) allow Ma Wan to become a single constituency called “Ma Wan”;</p> <p>(c) form a new DCCA called “Tsuen Wan Rural West” which comprises the residential buildings along Tsing Lung Tau, i.e. from Hong Kong Garden to Sea Crest Villa Phase I; and</p> <p>(d) form another new DCCA called “Tsuen Wan Rural East” which covers the area from Lido Garden to Hanley Villa.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) a more even distribution of populations of the DCCAs in the district can be achieved;</p> <p>(ii) the community integrity of each DCCA can be maintained; and</p> <p>(iii) the proposed</p>	

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			arrangements would be in the best interests of the residents of Ma Wan and the residential buildings along Castle Peak Road.	
6	K04 – Clague Garden K05 – Fuk Loi K10 – Lai Hing K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East	1	<p>The representation proposes to:</p> <p>(a) transfer the buildings to the north of Hoi Shing Road from K04 to K05 (i.e. same as item 5(a)(i));</p> <p>(b) form a new DCCA by grouping Belverde Phase III, Bayview Garden, the adjoining industrial area in K10 together with the remaining portion of K04 (i.e. the area to the south of Hoi Shing Road);</p> <p>(c) move Bellagio in K12 to K10;</p> <p>(d) put Ma Wan and the north of Lantau Island together as a single DCCA, i.e. a new K11; and</p> <p>(e) move the remaining area of Tsing Lung Tau in K11 to K12.</p> <p>The reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) a more even distribution of populations of K04, K05, K10, K11 and K12 can be achieved;</p> <p>(ii) the proposal will facilitate the elected DC members to provide services to the residents of the</p>	See items 5(a) and 12.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			DCCAs concerned; and (iii) the community integrity of the DCCAs can be maintained.	
7	K07 – Tsuen Wan Centre	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposal to transfer Tsuen Tak Garden from K08 to K07 to alleviate the population shortfall of K07.	The supporting view is noted.
8	K07 – Tsuen Wan Centre K08 – Allway	1	(a) The representation supports the demarcation proposal to move Tsuen Tak Garden from K08 to K07; and (b) suggests that Summit Terrace be moved from K08 to K07 since it is difficult for the elected DC member to provide service to the residents of K08 which covers an extensive area with a large population.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted. <u>Item (b)</u> The representation is not accepted because: (i) the resultant population of K08 (15,448, -10.61%) will not be close to the population quota as compared with that under the EAC's demarcation proposal (18,273, +5.73%); and (ii) there is a supporting view on the demarcation proposal for K07 (see item 7).
9	K10 – Lai Hing K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East	1	The representation makes the following suggestions to enhance the rural identity of K12 and the efficiency of the management of the area: (a) grouping Rhine Terrace, Rhine Garden, Sham Tseng Village, Sham Tseng Kau Tsuen, Sham Tseng San Tsuen, Sham Tseng Commercial New Village, Shu On Terrace, Tsing Fai	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of K10 will exceed the upper permissible limit (23,762, +37.50%).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			Tong New Village, Sham Tseng East Village, Tsing Fai Tong (Old Village) and Sheung Tong in K12; and (b) transferring Bellagio from K12 to K10.	
10	K10 – Lai Hing K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East	1	The representation objects to including the Rhine Terrace in K10 and suggests that it should be retained in K12 to preserve the local ties with the community of Sham Tseng.	The representation is not accepted because the Rhine Terrace is in the inner area of K10 and therefore the Rhine Garden, which is next to it, will have to be moved together so that it can be included in K12. If so, the resultant population of K12 will largely exceed the upper permissible limit (24,515, +41.85%).
11	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West	1	The representation supports the retention of Ma Wan in K11.	The supporting view is noted.
12	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West	16	The representations suggest that Ma Wan itself should form a single constituency and consider that Ma Wan and Tsing Lung Tau should not be put together under the same DCCA. The reasons are as follows: (a) one of the representations states that Ma Wan and the part of Tsing Lung Tau in K11 have different traffic problems; (b) two of the representations consider that Ma Wan needs an elected DC member who is a local resident and who	The representations are not accepted because it would involve the addition of one elected seat for Tsuen Wan District Council, which is outside the EAC's jurisdiction and there are no viable options. The following options have been explored by the EAC to see if it is possible to put Ma Wan and the North of Lantau Island together to form a single constituency. (i) One option is to dissolve K06 by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● moving Tsuen King Garden to the current K07 and grouping Discovery Park with Belverede Garden Phase III, Serenade Cove and the adjoining industrial area in the current K10; or

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>knows its ways of living to represent the residents of Ma Wan;</p> <p>(c) twelve of the representations state that the population of Ma Wan has exceeded 10,000 which is sufficient enough to form a DCCA;</p> <p>(d) one of the representations points out that:</p> <p>(i) the population of Ma Wan is similar to that of K06 and more than the populations of other seven DCCAs of the same District; and</p> <p>(ii) the population of Ma Wan far exceeds that of some outlying islands and each of them has become a single constituency; and</p> <p>(e) thirteen of the representations state that there is no direct transport link between Ma Wan and Tsing Lung Tau anymore.</p>	<p>● transferring Discovery Park to the current K05 mainly comprising Fuk Loi Estate.</p> <p>This could vacate a DCCA for Ma Wan and the north of Lantau Island after making consequential adjustments to the boundaries of K11 and K12. In addition, the number of DCCAs affected would be kept to a minimum and the populations of DCCAs would be maintained within the permissible range. However, the population of K06 (16,644, -3.69%) is within the permissible range and close to the population quota. Thus, dissolution of K06 is not recommended unless there are very strong justifications. Besides, there are supporting views on the delineation of K07 (see items 7 and 8(a)).</p> <p>(ii) Some representations propose to dissolve K04 by moving part of the K04 to K05 and grouping the remaining area of K04 together with part of the K10 (as set out in items 5(a), 6(a) and 6(b)) to vacate a DCCA. However, it would cause the population of K05 to exceed the upper permissible limit (22,831, +32.11%).</p> <p>(iii) Some representations propose that the part of Tsing Lung Tau in K11 be merged with that in K12 and the population of the revised K12 be allowed to exceed the upper permissible limit (see items 13 and 17). The proposal will cause the population of K12 to greatly exceed the upper permissible</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
				limit (25,939, +50.09%) and there is no strong justification for the EAC to recommend the population of the revised K12 to exceed the upper permissible limit. The transfer of the excessive population (around 4,300) of the revised K12 to the nearby DCCA (i.e. K10) is also impracticable since the latter's population is already very close to the upper permissible limit(20,550, +18.91%) and such transfer would cause the population of K10 (around 24,800, +43.50%) to greatly exceed the upper permissible limit.
13	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East	3	The representations: (a) propose that Ma Wan itself should form a single constituency since its population has exceeded 16,000; (b) consider that the part of Tsing Lung Tau in K11 can be transferred to K12; and (c) suggest that the population of K12 be allowed to exceed the upper permissible limit after incorporating the part of Tsing Lung Tau. The reasons given are: (i) the community integrity of Hong Kong Garden would be affected since the demarcation proposal will separate it to fall on two DCCAs (i.e. in K11 and K12); (ii) Ma Wan and Tsing Lung Tau belong to two different	See item 12.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>communities without any connection between their local resident organisations. Also, there is no direct transport link between these two areas; and</p> <p>(iii) one representation adds that a residential development should be wholly included in the same DCCA. The split of a residential development to fall on two DCCAs will confuse the residents.</p>	
14	<p>K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West</p> <p>K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East</p>	1	<p>The representation objects to the demarcation proposals for K11 and K12. It considers that the proposed transfer of Block 1 to 6 of Hong Kong Garden to K12 is undesirable as it will be served by two different elected DC members.</p>	<p>The representation is not accepted because retaining Block 1 to 6 of Hong Kong Garden in K11 will cause the population of K11 to exceed the upper permissible limit (23,097, +33.65%).</p>
15	<p>K14 – Lei Muk Shue East</p> <p>K16 – Shek Wai Kok</p>	2	<p>The representation objects to transferring Wo Yi Hop Lane from K14 to K16. The reasons given are:</p> <p>(a) Wo Yip Hop Lane is geographically closer to Lei Muk Shue Estate than Shek Wai Kok; and</p> <p>(b) the residents' desire to vote will be affected since they used to cast their votes in the polling station setting up in Lei Muk Shue Estate and it would cause inconvenience to them if they need to vote in a polling station in Shek Wai Kok.</p>	<p>(a) The representation is not accepted because it is necessary to re-delineate the boundaries of K14 and K16 to bring their populations within the permissible range. The population of K14 and K16 will exceed the upper and lower permissible limits respectively as shown below if their existing boundaries are maintained:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">K14: 22,174, +28.31%; K16: 12,957, -25.03%.</p> <p>(b) The view on the polling station for the residents of Wo Yip Hop Lane has been forwarded to the REO for reference.</p>

Tsuen Wan District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 14 December 2010

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
16	K04 – Clague Garden K05 – Fuk Loi	2	The representations propose to transfer the buildings to the north of Tso Kung Square to K05 because: (a) such a demarcation is already able to alleviate the population shortfall of K05; and (b) the buildings to the south of Tso Kung Square has close connection with Moon Lok Dai Ha in K04.	See item 4.
17	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East	1	The representation: (a) proposes to transfer the remaining part of Hong Kong Garden in K11 to K12 and allow the population of K12 to exceed the upper permissible limit for maintaining its community integrity; and (b) considers that Ma Wan and the North of Lantau Island can be formed a single DCCA.	See item 12.
18	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East	3	The representations propose that Ma Wan itself should form a single constituency. The reasons are given as follows: (a) one of the representations considers that the population of Ma Wan is more than that of	See item 12.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>other seven DCCAs in Tsuen Wan District;</p> <p>(b) one of the representations states that Ma Wan is geographically separated from other areas;</p> <p>(c) one of the representations claims that Ma Wan and Tsing Lung Tau areas have their own community identity and the needs of residents of these two areas are different;</p> <p>(d) one of the representations considers that it is unfair to the residents of Ma Wan if the elected DC member will not attend to their needs; and</p> <p>(e) one of the representations points out that such demarcation will help resolve the district administration of Ma Wan.</p>	
19	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West	1	The representation proposes to transfer Ma Wan to Kwai Tsing District since residents of Ma Wan rely on the transportation network connecting these two areas.	The proposal involves alteration of district boundaries, which is outside the EAC's jurisdiction.
20	K14 – Lei Muk Shue East K15 – Lei Muk Shue	1	The representation proposes: (a) to move Kwai Shue House, Chung Shue House and Chuk Shue House of Lei Muk Shue Estate from K14	The representation is not accepted because the population of K15 is within the permissible range and a change in its boundary is not necessary.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	West		<p>to K15; and</p> <p>(b) to transfer Yeung Shue House and Toa Shue House of Lei Muk Shue Estate from K15 to K14</p> <p>to have a better line of demarcation of the upper and lower Lei Muk Shue Estate and avoid confusion caused to the local residents.</p>	