

Appendix III - M

**Yuen Long District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	2	The representations support the demarcation proposals for all the DCCAs in Yuen Long District because the EAC has paid regard to the community integrity of the DCCAs and the populations thereof are within the permissible range.	The supporting views are noted.
2	M01 – Fung Nin M07 – Shap Pat Heung North	1	<p>The representation objects to transferring the area bounded by Tai Shu Ha Road West and Kiu Hing Road including Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village from M07 to M01 because:</p> <p>(i) Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village are located in rural area which is different from the Yuen Long town area in M01 in terms of traditional culture, rights and community relations of residents;</p> <p>(ii) it will undermine the close connection established between Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village and Shap Pat Heung Rural Committee and lead to an overlap of consultative machinery which will adversely affect the efficiency of public administration; and</p> <p>(iii) the population of M01 (21,555) is already</p>	<p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(a) it is necessary to adjust the boundary of the current M07 by transferring from this DCCA:</p> <p>(i) the area bounded by Ma Tong Road, Tai Tong Road and Tai Shu Ha Road East to the current M01;</p> <p>(ii) the area bounded by Tai Shu Ha Road West and Kiu Hing Road including Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village to the current M01; and</p> <p>(iii) Kwan Lok San Tsuen to the current M05</p> <p>to alleviate the population overflow (25,433, +47.16%) of the current M07. If the area mentioned at (a) (ii) above is retained in the current M07, the resultant population of the DCCA (22,296) would exceed the upper permissible limit (+29.01%).</p> <p>(b) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			close to the upper permissible limit (+24.73%). It is likely that its boundary has to be re-delineated and moving Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village to other DCCA may be required again in the next demarcation exercise. This will cause confusion and unfairness to the residents of Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village.	for M01 and M07. (See item 1); and (c) for this demarcation exercise, the EAC must adhere to the population projection as at 30 June 2011. Any developments beyond this cut-off date will not be considered.
3	M01 – Fung Nin M07 – Shap Pat Heung North M28 – San Tin	1	The representation proposes to: (a) transfer the area bounded by Tai Shu Ha Road West and Kiu Hing Road including Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village from M01 to M07 because: (i) Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village are located in rural area which is different from the Yuen Long town area in M01 in terms of traditional culture, rights and community relations of residents; and (ii) residents of Ma Tin Pok and Fraser Village are used to seek assistance from and express their views to the DC member of the current M07. (b) move Nam Sang Wai	<u>Proposal (a)</u> See item 2. <u>Proposal (b)</u> The proposal is not accepted as: (i) it will affect the boundary of M28, the population of which falls within the permissible range and it is not necessary to change its boundary; and (ii) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals for M01, M07 and M28. (See item 1.)

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>from M28 to M07 because:</p> <p>(i) Nam Sang Wai belongs to village area of Shap Pat Heung; and</p> <p>(ii) residents of Nam Sang Wai are used to seek assistance from and express their views to the DC member of the current M07.</p>	
4	M14 – Shui Wah M15 – Chung Wah	2	<p>The representations propose that the whole Tin Wah Estate should form a DCCA because:</p> <p>(a) the community integrity and sense of belonging of the residents of Tin Wah Estate can be enhanced; and</p> <p>(b) splitting the estate into two DCCAs would confuse the residents of the estate as they will be served by two DC members.</p>	<p>The representations are not accepted because:</p> <p>(a) if a separate DCCA is delineated for Tin Wah Estate, the resultant population (12,006) would fall below the lower permissible limit (-30.53%); and</p> <p>(b) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals for M14 and M15. (See item 1)</p>
5	M21 – Ching King M25 – Kingswood South M26 – Chung Pak	5	<p>The representations support the demarcation proposals for M25 and M26. The reasons given are:</p> <p>(a) the demarcation proposal for M25 has maintained the community integrity in the DCCA which comprises the three residential estates of Kingswood Villas South (ie Locwood Court, Sherwood Court and Chestwood Court) and Tin Lai Court.</p>	The supporting views are noted.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>Community ties have been established amongst the aforesaid estates because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) they have been grouped in the same DCCA since 1994; and (ii) residents of the three residential estates of Kingswood Villas South share the use of common facilities, such as transport facilities and club house, and served by the same management company. They have common interests on issues relating to their daily lives; and <p>(b) the formation of a new DCCA (i.e. M26) which comprises part of Tin Chung Court, Central Park Towers and Central Park Towers provides scope to cater for the future increase in population to be brought about by the residential development being built near Tin Wing MTR Station and Central Park Towers. This can minimise the adjustments to the boundaries of existing DCCAs in the southern part of Tin Shui Wai that may be required in the 2015 demarcation exercise.</p> <p>One representation also supports the demarcation proposal for M21 because</p>	

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>the formation of a new DCCA (i.e. M21) which comprises Tin Ching Estates and Vianni Cove provides scope to cater for the future increase in population to be brought about by the residential development being built near Vianni Cove. This can minimise adjustments to the boundaries of the existing DCCAs in the northern part of Tin Shui Wai that may be required in the next demarcation exercise.</p>	
6	<p>M25 – Kingswood South</p> <p>M26 – Chung Pak</p>	4	<p>The representations:</p> <p>(a) object to grouping the Central Park Towers and Central Park Towers II (private residential estates) and part of Tin Chung Court (an estate under Home Ownership Scheme) into one new DCCA as M26 because difference in the nature of buildings may cause divergence of views from the residents in the DCCA on issues relating to their interests and even leads to unfair situations and conflict of interests in future; and</p> <p>(b) propose to group the Central Park Towers and Central Park Towers II together with the Chestwood Court in M25 because they are built by the same developer and managed by the same property management company.</p>	<p>The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the resultant population of M25 (25,666) would exceed the upper permissible limit (+48.51%); and</p> <p>(ii) there are supporting views on the demarcation proposals for M25 and M26. (See items 1 and 5.)</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
7	M29 – Kam Tin M30 – Pat Heung North M31 – Pat Heung South	1	The representation proposes to: (a) transfer the part of Tai Kong Po Tsuen which currently falls within M29 to M30 as the entire Tai Kong Po Tsuen should be included in Pat Heung North i.e. M30; and (b) to transfer the part of Wang Toi Shan Lo Uk Tsuen which currently falls within M31 to M30 as the entire Wang Toi Shan Lo Uk Tsuen should be included in Pat Heung North i.e. M30.	The representation is accepted because the community integrity of the Tai Kong Po Tsuen and Wang Toi Shan Lo Uk Tsuen can be preserved. The resultant populations of M29, M30 and M31 are as follows: M29: 10,324 (-40.26%) M30: 12,072 (-30.15%) M31: 16,151 (- 6.54%) The EAC recommends that M29 and M30 be allowed to exceed the lower permissible limit because the justifications for their deviation in the last demarcation exercise (i.e. preservation of community integrity/identity) have remained valid.

Yuen Long District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 14 December 2010

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of Representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
8	M21 – Ching King M25 – Kingswood South M26 – Chung Pak	1	Same as item 5.	See item 5.