

Appendix III - P

**Tai Po District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) suggests that P19 be moved from Tai Po District to either Sai Kung District or Sha Tin District because the DCCA has already connected with these two districts; and</p> <p>(b) supports the demarcation proposals for other DCCAs in Tai Po district.</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> The suggestion is not accepted because one of the criteria adopted by the EAC as stipulated by the law is that the EAC must follow the existing boundaries of the districts.</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p>
2	All DCCAs	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) opposes the demarcation proposals for P09 and P10 because:</p> <p>(i) transferring a portion of villages and low-density residential developments from P10 to P09 will adversely affect the community integrity established in the respective DCCAs. Currently, P09 contains only public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates whereas P10 is mainly comprised of villages and</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> Please see item 3.</p> <p>Furthermore, for this demarcation exercise, the EAC must adhere to the population projection as at 30 June 2011. Any development beyond this cut off date will not be considered.</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>low-density housing;</p> <p>(ii) moving villages to P09 will separate the villagers from their community in P10 where many burial grounds for indigenous inhabitants are located; and</p> <p>(iii) residents will find it hard to adapt to changes in future since the affected villages and low-density housing may be transferred back to P10 due to the population increase in P09 arising from the completion of the residential developments near Hong Kong Science Park next to P09; and</p> <p>(b) supports the demarcation proposals for all other DCCAs in the district as the EAC has paid regard to the community integrity of these DCCAs and the populations of them are within the permissible range.</p>	

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
3	P09 – Wang Fuk P10 – Tai Po Kau	1	<p>The representation objects to moving CARE Village from P10 to P09 for preserving its community identity because:</p> <p>(a) the villagers do not share community identity with the residents of public housing estates in P09;</p> <p>(b) the village is a member of the Tai Po Rural Committee and the residents strive to maintain their traditional culture;</p> <p>(c) the proposal will cause CARE Village and the burial ground of its residents to fall on two different DCCAs and the villagers are concerned that the operation of the burial ground may be affected.</p>	<p>The representation is accepted. Having regard to the valid reasons given in (a) to (c), it is considered desirable to retain CARE Village in P10 for preservation of its local ties and community integrity.</p> <p>However, a modified proposal for P09 is recommended. Since the population of P09 (12,813) will fall below the lower permissible limit (-25.86%) if CARE village is not moved from P10 to P09, and in order to alleviate this population quota shortfall in P09 after retaining CARE Village in P10, it is recommended that two residential developments, namely Redland Garden and Daisyfield, be moved from P10 to P09.</p> <p>The resultant populations will be: P09: 13,007 (-24.74%) and P10: 14,219 (-17.72%) respectively, which fall within the permissible range.</p>
4	P09 – Wang Fuk P10 – Tai Po Kau	1	<p>The representation objects to moving the cluster of buildings including CARE Village, Trackside Villas and Riverain Bayside from P10 to P09 for the following reasons:</p> <p>(a) The residents of CARE Village and Riverain Bayside do not share community identity with those of Kwong Fuk Estate and Wang Fuk Court in P09. All along, they have closer connection</p>	<p>The representation is partially accepted. Please see item 3.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>with P10 as they share the same rural cultures;</p> <p>(b) Most of the residents of CARE Village were previously fishermen lived in Yuen Chau Tsai and were relocated to their present residence. They are indigenous inhabitants and their rights to burial are protected under Article 40 of the Basic Law. However, the proposal will cause CARE Village and the burial grounds of its residents to fall on two different DCCAs;</p> <p>(c) CARE Village is far away from Kwong Fuk Estate and Wang Fuk Court and is separated from them by the Tolo Highway. The proposal ignores the “physical features” of the two areas.</p>	
5	P09 – Wang Fuk P10 – Tai Po Kau	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) objects to moving CARE Village from into P09 because:</p> <p>(i) CARE Village, being an indigenous inhabitants’ village, is different from the rest of P09 in terms of its tradition and rural culture;</p>	<p>The representation is accepted. Please see item 3.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>(ii) it will be difficult for residents in P10 and the residents of CARE Village to reach consensus over district affairs since CARE Village and Kwong Fuk Estate and Wang Fuk Court belong to two heterogeneous communities with different culture, value and service needs;</p> <p>(iii) CARE Village and Kwong Fuk Estate and Wang Fuk Court are two different types of residential development (i.e. while the former is low-density small houses, the latter is large high-rise public housing / Home Ownership Scheme estates with a dense population); and</p> <p>(iv) there is no direct transport link between the two areas; and</p> <p>(b) requests the EAC to consider transferring other areas into P10.</p>	

Tai Po District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 14 December 2010

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
6	P09 – Wang Fuk P10 – Tai Po Kau	1	Same as item 3. The representation further proposes to retain CARE Village in P10.	Please see item 3.
7	P09 – Wang Fuk P10 – Tai Po Kau	1	Same as item 4.	Please see item 3.