

Appendix III - T

**Islands District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	2	The representations support the demarcation proposals for all DCCAs in the district.	The supporting views are noted.
2	T02 – Yat Tung Estate North T03 – Yat Tung Estate South	1	<p>The representation proposes to:-</p> <p>(a) move Hong Yat House (a block of Yat Tung (I) Estate) from T02 to T03 where other blocks of Yat Tung (I) Estate are located because:</p> <p>(i) in line with the different phases of development of the estate, all blocks of Yat Tung (I) Estate and all blocks of Yat Tung (II) Estate should be put in T03 and T02 respectively; and</p> <p>(ii) the population intake of Yat Tung (I) Estate and Yat Tung (II) Estate has largely been completed, and the resultant populations of T02 and T03 will not exceed the permissible limits after moving Hong Yat House from T02 to T03, and</p> <p>(b) change the names of</p>	<p><u>Proposals (a) and (b)</u></p> <p>The proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of both T02 and T03 fall within the permissible range and a change in their boundaries for the reasons given in a(i) and (ii) is considered not necessary;</p> <p>(ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for T02 and T03 (see item 1); and</p> <p>(iii) as the boundaries of T02 and T03 remain unchanged, there is no need to change their names.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			T02 and T03 to ‘逸東二邨’ and ‘逸東一邨’ respectively following the adoption of the proposal (a) above.	
3	T04 – Tung Chung North T05 – Tung Chung South	1	The representation proposes to: (a) separate Caribbean Coast from Coastal Skyline, Le Bleu Deux and Seaview Crescent and let it form an individual DCCA as its estimated population is about 20,000; or (b) if proposal (a) above is not accepted, group Caribbean Coast together with Coastal Skyline and Le Bleu Deux to form a DCCA and put Seaview Crescent together with Tung Chung Crescent in T05 because both of them were under the same development project.	<u>Proposal (a)</u> The proposal is not accepted because the population of Caribbean Coast (11,450) falls below the lower permissible limit (-33.75%). <u>Proposal (b)</u> The proposal is not accepted because: (i) the resultant population of T05 (21,841) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+26.38%); (ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for T04 and T05 (see item 1).
4	T04 – Tung Chung North T05 – Tung Chung South	1	The representation proposes to put Seaview Crescent and Tung Chung Crescent, which were under the same development project, in the same DCCA, by: (a) moving Seaview Crescent from T04 to T05 so that more private housing estates will be included in T05, causing the DC member of the DCCA to balance the interests	<u>Proposals (a) and (b)</u> The proposals are not accepted because: (i) it is not desirable to put the Seaview Crescent and Tung Chung Crescent in the same DCCA as they are separated by North Lantau Highway geographically; (ii) the resultant population of T05 (21,841) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+26.38%) if Seaview Crescent is moved from

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>of residents of both private and public housing estates in handling their requests; or</p> <p>(b) moving Tung Chung Crescent from T05 to T04 so that only private housing estates of Tung Chung are put in T04.</p>	<p>T04 to T05 in proposal (a);</p> <p>(iii) the resultant population of T04 (27,035) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+56.43%) if Tung Chung Crescent is moved from T05 to T04 in proposal (b); and</p> <p>(iv) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for T04 and T05 (see item 1).</p>
5	<p>T04 – Tung Chung North</p> <p>T05 – Tung Chung South</p>	1	<p>The representation:</p> <p>(a) opines that the population forecast of T04 (22,048) has been severely underestimated although the population forecast of T05 (18,558) appears accurate and reliable; and</p> <p>(b) proposes to move Seaview Crescent from T04 to T05 because:</p> <p>(i) the Seaview Crescent and the Tung Chung Crescent were under the same development project and are both managed by MTR; and</p> <p>(ii) the populations of T04 and T05 will be evenly distributed.</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u></p> <p>In this demarcation exercise, the EAC has to rely on the population figures provided by the AHSG. AHSG had conducted comprehensive researches before compiling the relevant data by a systematic methodology. For the reason of fairness and consistency, the EAC considers it necessary to use the same set of population distribution projections with the same basis and the same cut-off date (i.e. 30 June 2011) for all DCCAs;</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u></p> <p>The proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the resultant population of T05 (21,841) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+26.38%); and</p> <p>(ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for T04 and T05 (see item 1).</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
6	T04 – Tung Chung North T05 – Tung Chung South	1	The representation opines that the populations of Coastal Skyline and Caribbean Coast have increased rapidly in recent years and therefore proposes to: (a) group Coastal Skyline together with Caribbean Coast to form a DCCA; and (b) move Seaview Crescent from T04 to T05 because it will ensure that the future elected DC member of T04 will not be overburden with work.	<u>Proposals (a) and (b)</u> The proposals are not accepted because: (i) the resultant population of T05 (21,841) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+26.38%); and (ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for T04 and T05 (see item 1).
7	T09 – Cheung Chau South T10 – Cheung Chau North	1	The representation proposes to provide one extra DCCA to Cheung Chau in addition to the existing T09 and T10 because the current population of Cheung Chau is more than 30,000 due to the rapid increase in population since 2006.	The representation is not accepted because: (i) there is no case for addition of one DCCA in Cheung Chau as the populations of both T09 and T10 fall below the lower permissible limit; and (ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for T09 and T10 (see item 1).

Islands District
Oral Representation Received at the Public Forum on 14 December 2010

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC 's views</i>
8	T02 – Yat Tung Estate North T03 – Yat Tung Estate South	1	Same as item 2. The representation further elaborates that possible confusion to residents living in Hong Yat House about the constituency boundary and polling station can be avoided if Hong Yat House is to be moved from T02 to T03.	See item 2. Electors will be notified of their respective constituency and polling station prior to the election.