

**Appendix II - C**

**Eastern District  
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations**

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	<p>(a) Proposes to reduce the number of seats of the Eastern DC because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● the population of the Eastern District has substantially decreased in recent years and many of its DCCAs' population has been lower than the population quota by more than 20%;</li> <li>● there were too many DC members so the time for them to speak at DC meetings is limited, resulting in insufficient time for discussion of local issues; and</li> <li>● reducing the number of seats would help save government expenses and effectively enhance communication between DC members and local residents.</li> </ul> <p>(b) Proposes to reduce the number of DCCAs in Chai Wan from 11 to 9, including the deletion of C33 (Tsui Tak) and C35 (Kai Hiu) as well as re-delineation of C08 (Tsui Wan), C10 (Siu Sai Wan), C11 (King Yee), C12 (Wan Tsui), C31 (Hing Man), C32 (Lok Hong) and C34 (Yue Wan) so that the population of these DCCAs would be closer to the population quota.</p>	<p><u>Items (a) and (b)</u> Delineation of constituency boundaries should follow the number of elected seats as specified in the DCO (Cap. 547) and the population distribution in the relevant districts. Part of the representation involves amendment to the Ordinance which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the CMAB for reference. Moreover, arrangements on district administration matters are not the relevant factors of consideration in delineating constituencies.</p>

\* W: Number of written representation  
O: Number of oral representation

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
				(c) Proposes to transfer Shan Tsui Court from C32 (Lok Hong) to C31 (Hing Man) to facilitate the residents to seek assistance from their DC member.	<p><u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) the projected population of C31 (Hing Man) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required;</p> <p>(ii) after the proposed adjustment, the projected population of C32 (Lok Hong) (10,214) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-39.79%); and</p> <p>(iii) the delineation proposal must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Objects to the delineation proposals for C05 (Shaukeiwan) and C06 (A Kung Ngam) and proposes to transfer Aldrich Garden from C06 (A Kung Ngam) to C05 (Shaukeiwan) because the location of Aldrich Garden is adjacent to Shau Kei Wan MTR Station in C05 (Shaukeiwan). Moreover, the transferral of Aldrich Garden from C06 (A Kung Ngam) to C05 (Shaukeiwan) could help narrow down the population difference between the two DCCAs.	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because after the proposed adjustment, the projected population of C06 (A Kung Ngam) (9,190) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-45.83%) and that of C05 (Shaukeiwan) (23,248) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+37.04%).</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(b) Holds reservation on the delineation proposals for C07 (Heng Fa Chuen), C08 (Tsui Wan), C12 (Wan Tsui), C13 (Fei Tsui), C31 (Hing Man), C32 (Lok Hong), C33 (Tsui Tak) and C34 (Yue Wan) and considers that, based on the aggregate population, the total number of seats of the above DCCAs is currently one more than required. Therefore, it is proposed to reduce one seat in 2019.</p>	<p><u>Items (b) and (c)</u> Delineation of constituency boundaries should follow the number of elected seats as specified in the DCO (Cap. 547) and the population distribution in the relevant districts. These proposals may involve amendment to the Ordinance and change of the district boundary which do not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred these views to the CMAB and HAD for reference.</p>
				<p>(c) Holds reservation on the delineation proposals for C15 (Braemar Hill), C16 (Fortress Hill), C17 (City Garden), C18 (Provident), C19 (Fort Street), C20 (Kam Ping), C21 (Tanner) and C22 (Healthy Village) and considers that, based on the aggregate population, the total number of seats of the above DCCAs is currently one more than required. Therefore, it is proposed to reduce one seat in 2019. Moreover, to narrow down the population difference between the Eastern and the Wan Chai Districts, it is proposed to transfer these DCCAs to the Wan Chai District in 2019 and to rename the Wan Chai District as the "Harbour" District so as to reflect that Wan Chai and North Point are in the bay area of the central part of the Hong Kong Island.</p>	
				<p>(d) Other than the DCCAs mentioned in items (a) to (c) above, supports the delineation proposals for all DCCAs as they are in</p>	<p><u>Item (d)</u> The supporting view is noted.</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
				line with the EAC's statutory criteria and working principles.	
3	C04 — Aldrich Bay C05 — Shaukeiwan C06 — A Kung Ngam C28 — Sai Wan Ho	1	-	(a) States that some buildings in C05 (Shaukeiwan) and C06 (A Kung Ngam) were demolished or are being re-developed so requests the EAC to take note of such population changes and if necessary, reconsider the delineation of the DCCAs concerned.	<u>Item (a)</u> The EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. The AHSG, chaired by the PlanD and comprising members from various government departments, provided the required projected population figure based on a set of scientific and systematic methodology.
				(b) To preserve the community integrity, proposes to transfer Aldrich Garden from C06 (A Kung Ngam) to C04 (Aldrich Bay) or C05 (Shaukeiwan) because the location of Aldrich Garden is adjacent to Oi Tung Estate and Tung Yuk Court.	<u>Items (b) and (c)</u> These proposals are <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) based on the 2011 original constituency boundary, the projected population of C05 (Shaukeiwan) (12,597) will be slightly below the statutory permissible lower limit (-25.74%), therefore, adjustment to its boundary is necessary. If Aldrich Garden is to be transferred from C06 (A Kung Ngam) to C04 (Aldrich Bay) or C05 (Shaukeiwan), the projected population of C06 (A Kung Ngam) (9,190) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-45.83%) and that of C05 (Shaukeiwan) (23,248) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+37.04%). Moreover, transferring Aldrich Garden from C06 (A Kung Ngam) to C04 (Aldrich Bay) could not solve the problem of population in C05
				(c) Proposes to transfer Tung Tao Court from C04 (Aldrich Bay) to C28 (Sai Wan Ho) because the access to this housing estate is located in C28 (Sai Wan Ho).	

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
					<p>(Shaukeiwan) (12,597) which is below the statutory permissible lower limit;</p> <p>(ii) the projected population of C04 (Aldrich Bay) and C28 (Sai Wan Ho) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not required; and</p> <p>(iii) there is a view supporting the delineation proposals for C04 (Aldrich Bay) and C28 (Sai Wan Ho) (Please see item 2(d)).</p>
4	C04 — Aldrich Bay C05 — Shaukeiwan C06 — A Kung Ngam	1	-	<p>(a) Considers that the EAC's delineation proposals for Shaukeiwan district has not considered the community characteristics, history, ties among residents and community integrity of Shaukeiwan. It is proposed that the EAC should first consult the public on the basis of the 2011 constituency boundaries and collect their views before working on the delineation exercise. This would make the delineation proposals more in line with community development.</p> <p>(b) Disagrees with the transferral of Aldrich Garden to C06 (A Kung Ngam) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>residents of Aldrich Garden have less sense of belonging to C06 (A Kung Ngam);</li> </ul>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> In respect of the 2015 DC Election, the EAC must adhere to the statutory criteria, and the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in reviewing the constituency boundaries. The EAC should also have regard to community identities, preservation of local ties and the physical features of the relevant DCCAs. Moreover, according to the requirement in section 19 of the EACO, the EAC should review the existing boundaries of DCCAs and put forward provisional recommendations for public consultation.</p> <p><u>Items (b) and (c)</u> These representations are <b>not accepted</b>. The delineation proposals must be based on objective data of population distribution. In view of the population and geographical factors, it is inevitable to form a DCCA with more than one</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>residents of Aldrich Garden may have more concerns on the integration and development of C04 (Aldrich Bay); and</li> <li>DC member of C06 (A Kung Ngam) is difficult to serve two or more different communities.</li> </ul>	community. Moreover, arrangements on district administration matters are not the relevant factors of consideration in delineating constituencies.
				(c) Proposes that the EAC should take into account the development of the entire Main Street East, the 70-year history of the community and the close community ties between Main Street East and C06 (A Kung Ngam) when delineating C05 (Shaukeiwan) and C06 (A Kung Ngam).	
5	C05 — Shaukeiwan  C06 — A Kung Ngam  C29— Lower Yiu Tung	1	-	<p>Proposes that C05 (Shaukeiwan) should absorb population from C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) while the boundary of C06 (A Kung Ngam) should remain unchanged because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>in respect of community integrity, the location of the stand-alone buildings in C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) is close to C05 (Shaukeiwan). Besides, residents of the former DCCA conduct their daily activities in C05 (Shaukeiwan); and</li> <li>Ming Wah Dai Ha located in C06 (A Kung Ngam) would be re-built. This would affect the population of the adjacent buildings which have been transferred to C05 (Shaukeiwan).</li> </ul>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) based on the 2011 original constituency boundary, the projected population of C06 (A Kung Ngam) (19,841) will exceed the population quota (+16.96%) and the projected population of C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) (16,389) will be below the population quota (-3.39%). Therefore, it is more desirable to transfer the population of C06 (A Kung Ngam) to C05 (Shaukeiwan) to make the population of the latter fall within the statutory permissible range;</p> <p>(ii) the proposal made in the representation will make the projected population of C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) further deviate from the population quota. The proposal is also</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
					<p>not clearly better in terms of preserving community identities and local ties;</p> <p>(iii) the EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. The development thereafter should not be taken into account; and</p> <p>(iv) there is a view supporting the delineation proposal for C29 (Lower Yiu Tung) (Please see item 2(d)).</p>
6	C05 — Shaukeiwan  C06 — A Kung Ngam	-	1	<p>Proposes to retain several buildings in Main Street East, which have already been transferred to C05 (Shaukeiwan), in C06 (A Kung Ngam) and to transfer Aldrich Garden from C06 (A Kung Ngam) to C05 (Shaukeiwan) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the location of Aldrich Garden is adjacent to C05 (Shaukeiwan) such as Shau Kei Wan MTR Station. Moreover, there is no close community ties between Aldrich Garden and Ming Wah Dai Ha in C06 (A Kung Ngam); and</li> <li>it could make the population of both DCCAs more even by transferring Aldrich Garden from C06 (A Kung Ngam) to C05 (Shaukeiwan).</li> </ul>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because after the proposed adjustment, the projected population of C06 (A Kung Ngam) (9,843) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-41.98%) and the projected population of C05 (Shaukeiwan) (22,595) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+33.19%).</p>
7	C05 — Shaukeiwan  C06 — A Kung Ngam	1	-	<p>Proposes to transfer all buildings on Main Street East, including Eastway Towers located in C06 (A Kung Ngam) and Perfect Mount Gardens and Shau Kei Wan Centre which are already located in C05 (Shaukeiwan) to C05 (Shaukeiwan). For C06 (A Kung</p>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b>. The EAC agrees that the proposal made in the representation could make the population of C05 (Shaukeiwan) fall within statutory permissible range and could narrow down the population difference between the DCCA and C06 (A</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
				<p>Ngam), it would include Aldrich Garden, Ming Wah Dai Ha, Brilliant Court, Heung Yuen Gardens and A Kung Ngam Village because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● under the provisional recommendations, C05 (Shaukeiwan) is one of the DCCAs in the Eastern District having the smallest population. Its projected population is 13,350 (-21.89%). C06 (A Kung Ngam), on the other hand, is one of the DCCAs in the Eastern District having the largest population with the projected population of 19,188 (+13.11%). These two DCCAs are adjacent to each other, therefore their population should be more fairly distributed to minimise the deviation;</li> <li>● in the 2011 DC Election, the number of electors in C05 (Shaukeiwan) was nearly half of that in C06 (A Kung Ngam). The number of electors could be more evenly distributed after transferring the entire Main Street East to C05 (Shaukeiwan);</li> <li>● the coverage of C06 (A Kung Ngam) is too wide which is unfair to the candidates contesting in the DCCA;</li> <li>● the polling station of C05 (Shaukeiwan) is the Shau Kei Wan Government Primary School on Main Street East, which in fact is located in C06 (A Kung Ngam). For residents near the school, they are required to cast their vote at the polling station in Ming Wah Dai</li> </ul>	<p>Kung Ngam). However, after balancing the relevant factors, the EAC considers that the provisional recommendations are more desirable than the representation because:</p> <p>(i) since 1994, the Main Street East has been delineated in both C05 (Shaukeiwan) and C06 (A Kung Ngam). The buildings near Main Street East in C06 (A Kung Ngam), for example, Ming Wah Dai Ha have established certain local ties with Main Street East;</p> <p>(ii) there is no objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is clearly better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities and local ties; and</p> <p>(iii) arrangements on district administration matters and polling station are not the relevant factors of consideration in delineating constituencies. The EAC has referred the view on polling station arrangements to the REO for follow-up.</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
				<p>Ha uphill in C06 (A Kung Ngam), which is unreasonable;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Main Street East is an ageing district and the elderly of C05 (Shaukeiwan) could cast their vote in proximity while the elderly of C06 (A Kung Ngam) are required to walk hundreds of steps to vote in Ming Wah Dai Ha. This is unfair to them and would discourage them to vote; and</li> <li>● C06 (A Kung Ngam) and C05 (Shaukeiwan) are only separated by a driveway, i.e. Main Street East. This makes it difficult for the coming DC member to distinguish his/her clientele, thus rendering him/her incapable of using the resources effectively.</li> </ul>	
8	C06 — A Kung Ngam	1	-	<p>Objects to the delineation of C06 (A Kung Ngam) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Main Street East is a long-established community. Its social network is crucial to maintaining community ties and sense of belonging. However, the EAC's delineation proposal would make the Main Street East community apart which is unfavourable to its community development; and</li> <li>● Aldrich Garden and Main Street East are two different communities of different demographic make-up. They have been put under the same DCCA since 2011. This has prevented DC resources for district administration from being put to good use.</li> </ul>	This representation is <b>not accepted</b> . Please see items 4(b), 4(c) and 7.

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
9	C20 — Kam Ping  C21 — Tanner  C33 — Tsui Tak	1	-	(a) Proposes to transfer Island Place from C20 (Kam Ping) to C21 (Tanner) because the residents of C20 (Kam Ping) conduct their daily activities in C21 (Tanner) and have a closer tie with this DCCA in terms of transportation and community relationship.	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the projected population of C20 (Kam Ping) and C21 (Tanner) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their boundaries is not required.
				(b) Proposes that the EAC should take into account the mobile population (i.e. those engaged in economic activities) in the delineation exercise as the DC member of C33 (Tsui Tak) is required to assist and participate in various kinds of industrial and commercial activities organised by industrial and commercial buildings and shopping centers in the DCCA, in addition to serving the residential population.	<u>Item (b)</u> The EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in reviewing the constituency boundaries. The AHSG, chaired by the PlanD and comprising members from various government departments, provided the required projected population figure based on a set of scientific and systematic methodology. The population figure refers to population living in Hong Kong (including usual residents and mobile residents) but not including the mobile population as mentioned in the representation.
10	C20 — Kam Ping  C21 — Tanner	2	-	Propose to adjust the north-eastern boundary of C20 (Kam Ping) from Tin Chiu Street to Kam Hong Street so as to transfer Island Place from C20 (Kam Ping) to C21 (Tanner). The proposal could make the distribution of population of both DCCAs more even and the residents' affairs in both sides of Tanner Road be handled by the same DC member.	Please see item 9(a). Moreover, the delineation proposal must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters are not the relevant factors of consideration.
11	C32 — Lok Hong  C33 — Tsui Tak	1	-	Proposes to transfer Koway Court and Bayview Park from C33 (Tsui Tak) to C32 (Lok Hong) because these two housing estates are close to Greenwood Terrace located in C32 (Lok Hong). Residents of Greenwood Terrace and Neptune	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) after the proposed adjustment, the projected population of C33 (Tsui Tak) (10,507) will be below the statutory

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				Terrace frequently use Koway Court's shopping centre and its facilities nearby. If the above two housing estates are transferred to C32 (Lok Hong), it would be more efficient for one DC member to handle the problems of the DCCA.	permissible lower limit (-38.06%); and (ii) the delineation proposal must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters are not the relevant factors of consideration.