

Appendix II - D

**Southern District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations**

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on D01 (Aberdeen), D03 (Ap Lei Chau North), D04 (Lei Tung I), D05 (Lei Tung II), D06 (South Horizons East), D07 (South Horizons West), D08 (Wah Kwai), D11 (Pokfulam), D12 (Chi Fu), D13 (Tin Wan), D14 (Shek Yue), D15 (Wong Chuk Hang) as they are in line with the EAC's statutory criteria and working principles.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Supports the provisional recommendation on D02 (Ap Lei Chau Estate), taking into account the community integrity, maintaining the existing boundary unchanged is more feasible.	<u>Item (b)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(c)(i) Supports the provisional recommendations on D09 (Wah Fu South) and D10 (Wah Fu North), taking into account the community integrity, maintaining the existing boundaries unchanged is more feasible; and (ii) Proposes to retain the original names of D09 (Wah Fu South) and D10 (Wah Fu North) as "Wah Fu I" and "Wah Fu II" respectively, which have been adopted for 20 years.	<u>Item (c)(i)</u> The supporting view is noted. <u>Item (c)(ii)</u> This proposal is not accepted because adopting the names of "Wah Fu South" and "Wah Fu North" can clearly reflect the geographical locations of the two DCCAs. Also, D10 (Wah Fu North) has included other private buildings in addition to Wah Fu (II) Estate.

* W: Number of written representation
O: Number of oral representation

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
				(d) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on D16 (Bays Area) and D17 (Stanley & Shek O). Since D17 (Stanley & Shek O) has larger population, the provisional recommendations could reduce the population difference between these two DCCAs. Taking into consideration the cluster of private housing blocks and detached houses along the area of Chung Hom Kok and that in D16 (Bays Area), the provisional recommendations are still considered acceptable.	<u>Item (d)</u> The view is noted.
2	D09 – Wah Fu South D10 – Wah Fu North	-	1	Objects to the proposed names for D09 (Wah Fu South) and D10 (Wah Fu North) and proposes to retain the original names of “Wah Fu I” and “Wah Fu II” respectively, which could clearly reflect the main estates of the two DCCAs, namely Wah Fu (I) Estate and Wah Fu (II) Estate.	Please see item 1(c)(ii).
3	D09 – Wah Fu South D10 – Wah Fu North D15– Wong Chuk Hang D16 – Bays Area D17 – Stanley & Shek O	1	-	(a) Proposes to retain the original names of D09 (Wah Fu South) and D10 (Wah Fu North) as “Wah Fu I” and “Wah Fu II” respectively.	<u>Item (a)</u> Please see item 1(c)(ii).
				(b) Proposes to transfer the old site of Wong Chuk Hang Estate from D15 (Wong Chuk Hang) to D16 (Bays Area), because Wong Chuk Hang Estate has already been demolished, it is anticipated that the population would be greatly increased after its development.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the EAC must adhere to the Administration’s population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. The area in D15 (Wong Chuk Hang) mentioned in the representation has no projected population. The projected population of D15

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>(Wong Chuk Hang) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and</p> <p>(ii) based on the 2011 original constituency boundary shown on the proposed constituency boundary map, the projected population of D17 (Stanley & Shek O) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. To reduce its deviation from the population quota, the EAC proposes to re-delineate the boundary of D16 (Bays Area) to absorb part of the excess population of D17 (Stanley & Shek O).</p>
				<p>(c) Proposes to maintain the existing constituency boundary of D17 (Stanley & Shek O) unchanged because it has been used for many years by EAC to preserve the community ties.</p>	<p><u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is not accepted, because based on the 2011 original constituency boundary shown on the proposed constituency boundary map, the projected population of the DCCAs concerned in 2011 are as follows:</p> <p>D16: 16,270, -5.86% D17: 22,258, +28.79%</p> <p>The respective projected population in 2015 will be as follows:</p> <p>D16: 16,760, -1.20% D17: 23,665, +39.50%</p> <p>As the projected population of D17 (Stanley & Shek O) in 2011 only slightly exceeds the statutory permissible upper limit (+28.79%), after taking into account the community identities and local ties,</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's views
		W	O		
					<p>it was proposed that the population of the DCCA should be allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range. However, the projected population of D17 (Stanley & Shek O) in 2015 will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+39.50%). The EAC has to re-delineate the boundary of the DCCA, with a view to maintaining the population of the DCCA within the statutory permissible range. It is proposed that the housing estates along Chung Hom Kok Road within the original boundary of the DCCA be transferred to the adjacent D16 (Bays Area). After the proposed adjustment, the projected population will be as follows:</p> <p>D16: 18,417, +8.57% D17: 22,008, +29.73%</p> <p>Although the population of D17 (Stanley & Shek O) will still slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, taking into account the community integrity and local ties, it is proposed that the population of the DCCA be allowed to continue to deviate from the statutory permissible range.</p>
4	D16 – Bays Area D17 – Stanley & Shek O	3	-	<p>(a) Object to the transfer of Chung Hom Kok from D17 (Stanley & Shek O) to D16 (Bays Area) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Chung Hom Kok has close community ties with Stanley and the residents of Chung Hom Kok have a strong sense of belonging to Stanley because they use the facilities in D17 (Stanley & Shek O) on a daily basis e.g. shopping, 	<p><u>Item (a)</u> These representations are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) please see item 3(c); and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation proposal must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters and polling station are not the relevant factors of consideration. The EAC has</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>medical care and public transport;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> in 2011, when Chung Hom Kok belonged to D17 (Stanley & Shek O), its population was allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible upper limit; the polling station of D17 (Stanley & Shek O) is near Chung Hom Kok, which is convenient for the electors of Chung Hom Kok. Making reference to the past arrangements, the polling stations of D16 (Bays Area) were located at South Island School in Nam Fung Road and Hong Kong International School in South Bay Close. They are too far away from Chung Hom Kok and it is expected that the voting rate would drop and traffic congestion would happen because the electors of Chung Hom Kok have to travel a long distance to go to the polling stations; and one of the representations considers that the DC member of D17 (Stanley & Shek O) has his/her office near Chung Hom Kok, which is convenient for him/her to serve the residents of Chung Hom Kok. 	<p>referred these views on polling station arrangements to the REO for follow-up.</p>
				<p>(b) One representation proposes to transfer Shek O from D17 (Stanley & Shek O) to the Eastern District because the residents of Shek O and Shau</p>	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal involves alteration of the district boundary which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				Kei Wan are having closer ties with each other in terms of daily life and have no direct connections with Stanley in respect of geographical location and daily life.	view to the HAD for consideration.