

Appendix II - F

**Sham Shui Po District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations**

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) <u>F01 (Po Lai)</u> Proposes to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 out of the DCCA, and transfer the original location of So Uk Estate and the area in the north of Castle Peak Road to F01 (Po Lai), and rename as "Po Lai and So Uk" for preserving the community identity.	<p>Items (a) and (b)</p> <p>These proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the projected population of F01 (Po Lai), F02 (Cheung Sha Wan), F18 (Un Chau & So Uk) and F19 (Lei Cheng Uk) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not required; and</p> <p>(ii) there is a view supporting the delineation proposal for F01 (Po Lai) (please see item 2(a)).</p>
				(b) <u>F02 (Cheung Sha Wan)</u> Proposes to transfer the areas covering Tonkin Street, Castle Peak Road, Pratas Street and Cheung Sha Wan Road from F01 (Po Lai) to F02 (Cheung Sha Wan) for achieving balanced population distribution between the two DCCAs.	
				(c) <u>F05 (Nam Cheong East) and F06 (Nam Cheong South)</u> Proposes to transfer the area in the north of Cheung Sha Wan Road from F06 (Nam Cheong South) to F05 (Nam Cheong East) because:	<p>Item (c)</p> <p>This proposal is not accepted because after the proposed adjustment, the projected population of F05 (Nam Cheong East) (21,966) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+29.49%).</p>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the projected population of F06 (Nam Cheong South) is relatively more than that of F05 (Nam Cheong East), it would achieve balanced population distribution between the two DCCAs; and 	

* W: Number of written representation
O: Number of oral representation

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> geographically, there is a highway separating the two DCCAs. 	
				<p>(d) <u>F11 (Fortune)</u> Proposes to include in the areas covering Tung Chau Street, Tonkin Street, Cheung Sha Wan Road, Hing Wah Street, Lai Chi Kok Road and Fat Tseung Street, and take up Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) because the time of intake of both the Un Chau Estate Phase 5 and the Cheung Sha Wan Estate was within the same year.</p>	<p><u>Item (d)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the EAC considers it undesirable to transfer the buildings from F01 (Po Lai) to F11 (Fortune) because the projected population of F01 (Po Lai) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required;</p> <p>(ii) by keeping the number of affected DCCAs to a minimum, the EAC proposes to re-delineate the boundaries of F11 (Fortune) and the adjacent two DCCAs with excess population, and to create a new DCCA, so that the projected population of the abovementioned three DCCAs can be maintained within the statutory permissible range; and</p> <p>(iii) there is a view supporting the delineation proposal for F01 (Po Lai) (please see item 2(a)).</p>
				<p>(e) <u>F12 (Lai Chi Kok South)</u> Proposes to rename the DCCA as "Hoi Lai" to depict that Hoi Lai Estate is included in the DCCA.</p>	<p><u>Item (e)</u> This proposal is not accepted because its current name has been used since 2007 and the majority of the public are used to this name, change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				(f) <u>F15 (Mei Foo North)</u> Proposes to adopt Tsing Sha Highway to be the eastern constituency boundary of F15 (Mei Foo North) to show revision of the road network.	<u>Item (f)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the projected population of F15 (Mei Foo North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
				(g) <u>F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central)</u> Proposes to form F16 by combining Aqua Marine, The Pacifica and The Sparkle because Aqua Marine has maintained local ties with Banyan Garden, Liberte and The Pacifica for ten years, and proposes to rename the DCCA as "Lai Chi Kok South".	<u>Items (g) and (h)</u> These proposals are not accepted because: (i) by combining Aqua Marine, The Pacifica and The Sparkle into a DCCA, the projected population of the DCCA (10,839) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-36.11%); and (ii) Banyan Garden, Liberte and The Pacifica have been constructed on the same elevated podium with an inter-connected shopping arcade, there are certain ties between them which will be unnecessarily affected due to the adjustment proposed in the representation.
				(h) <u>F17 (Lai Chi Kok North)</u> Proposes to form F17 (Lai Chi Kok North) by combining One West Kowloon, Banyan Garden, Liberte and the nearby industrial building zone.	
				(i) <u>F18 (Un Chau & So Uk)</u> Proposes to form F18 by combining Un Chau Estate Phases 1 to 4 and the areas covering Cheung Sha Wan Road, Kwong Cheung Street, Castle Peak Road, Fuk Wah Street, Wing Hong Street, Kwong Shing Street and the private buildings in the vicinity of Castle Peak Road located in F17 (Lai Chi Kok North) and rename the DCCA as "Un Chau".	<u>Items (i) and (j)</u> Please see items 1(a) and (b).

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				(j) <u>F19 (Lei Cheng Uk)</u> Proposes to transfer the areas covering Tonkin Street, Castle Peak Road, Pratas Street and Wai Wai Road from F01 (Po Lai) to F19 (Lei Cheng Uk) to achieve balanced population distribution between the two DCCAs.	
				(k) <u>F22 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai)</u> Proposes to “cut straight” the boundary in the area near Tsung Tsin Primary School and rename the DCCA as “Kowloon Tsai” (九龍仔) for residents’ convenience.	<u>Item (k)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) there is no justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is clearly better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities, local ties and geographical factors; and (ii) its current name has been used since 2007 and the majority of the public are used to this name. Besides, the DCCA name proposed in the representation is similar to the area “Kowloon Tsai” (九龍仔) located in the Kowloon City District, change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.
				(l) After the above adjustments, the code of the DCCAs has to be re-arranged accordingly.	<u>Item (l)</u> Please see items 1(a) to (k).
				(m) Supports the provisional recommendations on F03 (Nam Cheong North), F04 (Shek Kip Mei), F07 (Nam Cheong Central), F08 (Nam Cheong West), F09 (Fu Cheong), F10 (Lai Kok), F13 (Mei Foo South), F14 (Mei Foo Central), F20 (Ha Pak Tin), F21 (Yau	<u>Item (m)</u> The supporting view is noted.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				Yat Tsuen) and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) as they are in line with the EAC's statutory criteria and working principles.	
2	F01 – Po Lai	-	1	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on F01 (Po Lai) and F04 (Shek Kip Mei).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
	F04 – Shek Kip Mei			(b) Same as item 1(c) because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the projected population of F06 (Nam Cheong South) is relatively more than that of F05 (Nam Cheong East); and the residents of the area in the north of Cheung Sha Wan Road mainly use the community facilities located nearby the Shek Kip Mei Street, thus the local ties of that area has comparatively less connection with that of the area in the south of Cheung Sha Wan Road. 	<u>Item (b)</u> Please see item 1(c).
	F05 – Nam Cheong East			(c) Proposes to rename F22 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) as “Kowloon Tsai” (九龍仔) because the name is composed of fewer words, and it could also represent the local identities.	<u>Item (c)</u> Please see item 1(k)(ii).
3	F01– Po Lai	1	-	Proposes:	Please see items 1(d), (g) and (h)(ii).
	F11– Fortune			(i) to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F11 (Fortune) for combining with public housing estates such as Fortune Estate, Hang Chun Court and Cheung Sha Wan Estate in the same DCCA; and	
	F16 – Lai Chi Kok Central				

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	F17 – Lai Chi Kok North			<p>(ii) to transfer Aqua Marine from F11 (Fortune) to F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central) and to transfer The Pacifica to F17 (Lai Chi Kok North) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the DCCA is mainly composed of public housing estates having same factors of consideration in terms of public housing development; ● Fortune Estate is far away from Aqua Marine. Un Chau Estate Phase 5 and Cheung Sha Wan Estate in F11 (Fortune) are connected by a footbridge; ● the abovementioned proposal would not affect the demographic profile of F01 (Po Lai) on the ground that the time of intake of Un Chau Estate Phase 5 was mainly in July 2012; and ● F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central) and F17 (Lai Chi Kok North) similarly belong to middle class private housing DCCAs. 	
4	F01 – Po Lai F11 – Fortune	3	-	Propose to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F11 (Fortune) to combine with public housing estates such as Fortune Estate, Hang Chun Court and Cheung Sha Wan Estate in the same DCCA.	Please see item 1(d).

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
5	F01 – Po Lai F11– Fortune F18 – Un Chau & So Uk	-	2	<p>Propose:</p> <p>(i) to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F18 (Un Chau & So Uk); or</p> <p>(ii) to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F11 (Fortune).</p> <p>One representation considers that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the public housing estates residents share community facilities. If the public housing estates are put in the same DCCA, similar local services could be provided; ● Un Chau Estate Phase 5 and other private buildings in F01 (Po Lai) does not have local ties; and ● the projected population of F11 (Fortune) is relatively less than F01 (Po Lai). <p>One representation considers that Un Chau Estate Phase 5 is far away from private buildings in F01(Po Lai) while it is closer to Fortune Estate located in F11 (Fortune).</p>	<p>These proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the projected population of F01 (Po Lai) and F18 (Un Chau & So Uk) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not required;</p> <p>(ii) there is a view supporting the delineation proposal for F01 (Po Lai) (please see item 2(a)); and</p> <p>(iii) for the proposal (ii), please see item 1(d).</p>
6	F01 – Po Lai F11 – Fortune	-	1	<p>Proposes to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F11 (Fortune). The representation emphasises the community integrity and expects that the residents of the DCCA could obtain similar local service.</p>	<p>Please see item 1(d).</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
7	F01 – Po Lai F18 – Un Chau & So Uk	5	-	<p>(a) Same as item 5(i) because Un Chau Estate has its community integrity and it is considered not suitable to separate the estate.</p> <p>(b) Two representations propose to transfer the private buildings from F18 (Un Chau & So Uk) to other DCCAs.</p> <p>(c) One representation proposes to rename F18 (Un Chau & So Uk) as “Un Chau” because So Uk Estate has been demolished. If such proposal would cause the population of F18 (Un Chau & So Uk) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, this problem would be solved by transferring the private buildings to F01 (Po Lai) for preserving community identity of F01 (Po Lai).</p>	<p><u>Items (a) to (c)</u> Please see item 5(i) and (ii).</p>
8	F01 – Po Lai F18 – Un Chau & So Uk	2	-	Propose to transfer from F18 (Un Chau & So Uk) to F01 (Po Lai) the cluster of private buildings located in the area from Hing Wah Street to Cheung Fat Street and from Un Chau Street to Po On Road because the DCCA is composed of private buildings located within geographical distance, having its population within the statutory permissible range.	Please see item 5(i) and (ii).
9	F02 – Cheung Sha Wan F05 – Nam Cheong East	1	-	(a) Proposes to rename F02 (Cheung Sha Wan) because most of the Cheung Sha Wan areas have already been included in F11 (Fortune) and F17 (Lai Chi Kok North).	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because its current name has been used since 1994 and the majority of the public are used to this name. Moreover, no adjustment has been made to its boundary and change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
	F06 – Nam Cheong South			(b) Same as item 1(c).	<u>Item (b)</u> Please see item 1(c).
	F11 – Fortune			(c) Aqua Marine belongs to one of the estates of “Four Little Dragons of West Kowloon”, and is located far away from Fortune Estate. The representation proposes to transfer either Banyan Garden or The Pacifica from F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central) to F17 (Lai Chi Kok North), and retain the cluster of standalone private buildings in F11 (Fortune).	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) if the constituency boundary of F11 (Fortune) remains unchanged, the projected population of the DCCA (23,342) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+37.60%); and (ii) please see items 1(g) and (h)(ii).
	F16 – Lai Chi Kok Central				
	F17 – Lai Chi Kok North				
10	F04 – Shek Kip Mei	29	1	Object to transferring Blocks 19 and 20 of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F05 (Nam Cheong East) because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> F05 (Nam Cheong East) is mainly composed of private buildings, while the service requests related to public housing estates and private buildings are bound to be different; and the local ties of Blocks 19 and 20 of Shek Kip Mei Estate are comparatively closer to the remaining blocks of Shek Kip Mei Estate in F04 (Shek Kip Mei). <p>One representation considers that Blocks 19 and 20 of Shek Kip Mei Estate are likely to be redeveloped in future, thus re-delineation of the boundary would be further required.</p>	These representations are not accepted because: (i) if Blocks 19 and 20 of Shek Kip Mei Estate are retained in F04 (Shek Kip Mei), the projected population of the DCCA (22,612) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+33.29%); (ii) the EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. Future development beyond this cut-off date will not be considered; and (iii) there are views supporting the delineation proposal for F04 (Shek Kip Mei) (please see items 1(m) and 2(a)).

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
11	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F05 – Nam Cheong East F11 – Fortune F16 – Lai Chi Kok Central F17 – Lai Chi Kok North F23 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin	1	-	(a) Proposes to transfer Blocks 19 and 20 of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F05 (Nam Cheong East) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei), and transfer Blocks 21 and 22 of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to make the projected population of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F05 (Nam Cheong East) closer to the population quota.	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the EAC considers it undesirable to transfer Blocks 21 and 22 of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) because the projected population of F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; (ii) by keeping the number of affected DCCAs to a minimum, the EAC proposes to create the new DCCA F05 (Nam Cheong East) within the original boundary of F04 (Shek Kip Mei), and to re-delineate the boundaries of the adjacent DCCAs, so that the projected population of the relevant DCCAs can be maintained within the statutory permissible range; and (iii) there are views supporting the delineation proposals for F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) (please see items 1(m), 2(a) and 19(a)).
				(b) Proposes to transfer Aqua Marine from F11 (Fortune) to F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central), and to transfer The Pacifica to F17 (Lai Chi Kok North) for the same reasons as provided in item 3.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) after the proposed adjustment, the projected population of F11 (Fortune) (11,275) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-33.54%); and

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
					(ii) please see item 1(g) and (h)(ii).
				(c) Proposes to transfer Sham Shui Po Sports Ground from F11 (Fortune) to F17 (Lai Chi Kok North) because no population is involved. This would make the shape of the DCCA more regular for management convenience.	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. The area mentioned in the representation has no projected population.
12	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F05 – Nam Cheong East F20 – Ha Pak Tin F23 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin	1	-	Proposes: (i) to combine Blocks 19 to 24 and 42 to 44 of Shek Kip Mei Estate located in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F05 (Nam Cheong East), and also Chak On Estate, the cluster of private buildings located along Lung Ping Road and Beacon Heights located in F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to form a DCCA; (ii) to combine the rest of the buildings of Shek Kip Mei Estate in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and the cluster of private buildings located in Wai Chi Street and Nam Cheong Street located within F20 (Ha Pak Tin) to form another DCCA; and (iii) to form a DCCA solely for Pak Tin Estate, because the proposal could better showcase the community integrity in terms of the building design, year of completion, demographic profile and local needs of Shek Kip Mei Estate.	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the EAC considers it undesirable to transfer the buildings of Shek Kip Mei Estate to F20 (Ha Pak Tin) and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) respectively because the projected population of these two DCCAs will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not required; (ii) please see item 11(a)(ii); and (iii) there are views supporting the delineation proposals for F04 (Shek Kip Mei), F20 (Ha Pak Tin) and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) (please see items 1(m), 2(a) and 19(a)).

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
13	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F20 – Ha Pak Tin F23 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin	1	1	<p>(a) Propose to form a DCCA solely for Pak Tin Estate because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the EAC's working principle (a) is to maintain the existing community as far as possible, while principles (b) and (c) focus on the importance of community identity which is sufficient to override principle (a); ● all buildings in Pak Tin Estate share community facilities in the estate. Although the buildings are separately included in different DCCAs in the past, the close ties among the residents would not be affected; ● four buildings (Blocks 9, 10, 11 and 13) of Pak Tin Estate located in F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) would be redeveloped in 2018. All residents in Pak Tin Estate would be affected by the redevelopment. It is expected that due to the redevelopment, the estate's population (approximately 19,000) would not exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+12.00%) and the deviation from the population quota is similar to that of the provisional recommendations (-13.34%); ● four main estates in F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) are composed of Dynasty Heights, Beacon Heights, Chak On Estate and Pak Tin Estate (Blocks 9, 10, 11 and 13). Each housing estate is independent without any 	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the projected population of F20 (Ha Pak Tin) and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not required; and</p> <p>(ii) there are views supporting the delineation proposals for F20 (Ha Pak Tin) and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) (please see items 1(m) and 19(a)).</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
				<p>linkage with each other. The residents of the DCCA usually use the facilities of Shek Kip Mei Estate. Therefore, the proposed transfer of the four buildings of Pak Tin Estate to F20 (Ha Pak Tin) would not adversely affect the residents of F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin); and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> it is hoped that the EAC would further consider the re-delineation proposal on the ground of community integrity. 	
				<p>(b) One representation raises concern about the projected population of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) exceeding the statutory permissible range and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) located adjacent to F04 (Shek Kip Mei). It proposes to transfer part of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin), and/or to transfer six private buildings in Wai Chi Lane from F20 (Ha Pak Tin) to F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin), to make up for the reduced population in F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin).</p>	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the EAC considers it undesirable to transfer the buildings of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and the buildings from F20 (Ha Pak Tin) to F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) because the projected population of F20 (Ha Pak Tin) and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not required;</p> <p>(ii) please see item 11(a)(ii); and</p> <p>(iii) there are views supporting the delineation proposals for F04 (Shek Kip Mei), F20 (Ha Pak Tin) and F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) (please see items 1(m), 2(a) and 19(a)).</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
14	F07 – Nam Cheong Central F08 – Nam Cheong West	2	-	<p>Propose to retain the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road, the east of Kweilin Street, the west of Nam Cheong Street, the north of Hai Tan Street, the west of Pei Ho Street and the north of Yee Kuk Street in F07 (Nam Cheong Central) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the above area comprises old building clusters and has its community identity; ● there are concerns that community facilities in the area would not be enough to cope with the upsurge in the population in F08 (Nam Cheong West) as compared with that in 2012; and ● F08 (Nam Cheong West) has a number of redevelopment projects later and there are concerns that the population in future would exceed the statutory permissible range. 	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) after the proposed adjustment, the projected population of F07 (Nam Cheong Central) (22,771) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+34.23%);</p> <p>(ii) the delineation proposal must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters are not the relevant factors of consideration;</p> <p>(iii) the EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. Future developments beyond this cut-off date will not be considered; and</p> <p>(iv) there is a view supporting the delineation proposals for F07 (Nam Cheong Central) and F08 (Nam Cheong West) (please see item 1(m)).</p>
15	F10 – Lai Kok F11 – Fortune F12 – Lai Chi Kok South F16 – Lai Chi Kok Central	1	-	<p>Proposes:</p> <p>(i) to reinstate the 2011 constituency boundary of F11 (Fortune) and to transfer Cheung Sha Wan Estate to F10 (Lai Kok);</p> <p>(ii) to combine The Sparkle, Aqua Marine and The Pacifica to form a DCCA and rename as "Lai Chi Kok North";</p>	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) please see items 1(g) and (h);</p> <p>(ii) the EAC considers it undesirable to transfer Cheung Sha Wan Estate from F11 (Fortune) to F10 (Lai Kok) because the projected population of F10 (Lai Kok) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
	F17 – Lai Chi Kok North			<p>(iii) to combine One West Kowloon, Banyan Garden and Liberte located within F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central) to form a DCCA and rename as “Lai Chi Kok South”; and</p> <p>(iv) to form a DCCA solely for Hoi Lai Estate.</p> <p>The above proposal is made by considering the balancing factors of geographical, demographic and community integrity.</p>	<p>principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and</p> <p>(iii) by keeping the number of affected DCCAs to a minimum, the EAC proposes to create the new DCCA F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central) within the original boundary of F17 (Lai Chi Kok North), and to re-delineate the boundaries of F11 (Fortune), F12 (Lai Chi Kok South) and F17 (Lai Chi Kok North), so that the projected population of the abovementioned DCCAs can be maintained within the statutory permissible range.</p>
16	F11 – Fortune F12 – Lai Chi Kok South F16 – Lai Chi Kok Central	1	-	<p>Objects to transferring Aqua Marine to F11 (Fortune) and proposes:</p> <p>(i) to retain Aqua Marine in F12 (Lai Chi Kok South) for providing convenience for the residents to express their views to the DC member; or</p> <p>(ii) to transfer Aqua Marine from F11 (Fortune) to F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central).</p>	<p>These proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) if the constituency boundary of F12 (Lai Chi Kok South) remains unchanged, the projected population of the DCCA (21,640) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+27.56%);</p> <p>(ii) the delineation proposal must be based on objective data of the population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters are not the relevant factors of consideration; and</p> <p>(iii) after the proposed adjustment, the projected population of F11 (Fortune) and F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central) will exceed the statutory permissible range:</p> <p>F11: 11,275, -33.54% F16: 24,008, +41.52%</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
17	F11 – Fortune	6	1	(a) Same as item 11(b).	<u>Item (a)</u> Please see items 11(b)(i) as well as 1(g) and (h)(ii).
	F16 – Lai Chi Kok Central F17 – Lai Chi Kok North			(b) One representation proposes to transfer Aqua Marine from F11 (Fortune) to F16 (Lai Chi Kok Central) and to transfer The Pacifica to F11 (Fortune).	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) please see items 1(g) and (h)(ii); and (ii) Aqua Marine and The Pacifica are equidistant from F11 (Fortune). There is no objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representations is clearly better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities and local ties.
18	F11 – Fortune F16 – Lai Chi Kok Central F17 – Lai Chi Kok North	-	1	Due to the far distance between Aqua Marine and Fortune Estate making no strong local ties between both estates and “Four Little Dragons of West Kowloon” has been used to describe Aqua Marine and the other three large estates for ten years with strong local ties among them, the representation proposes: (i) to combine Aqua Marine, Liberte and The Pacifica to form a DCCA; (ii) to combine One West Kowloon and Banyan Garden to form another DCCA; and (iii) to reinstate the 2011 constituency boundary of F11 (Fortune).	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) by combining One West Kowloon and Banyan Garden to create a new DCCA, the projected population of the DCCA (7,417) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-56.28%); and (ii) please see items 1(g) and (h)(ii) as well as 9(c)(i).

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
19	F23 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin	2	-	(a) Support the provisional recommendation on F23 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) because it addresses the concerns from various points of view, and consider that adjustment to its boundary is not required.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting views are noted.
				(b) One representation proposes to set up a polling station in Chak On Estate for taking care of the residents of Chak On Estate, Beacon Heights and Dynasty Heights.	<u>Item (b)</u> Arrangements on polling station are not the factors of consideration in delineating constituencies. The EAC has referred the view to the REO for follow-up.