

**Appendix II - H**

**Wong Tai Sin District  
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations**

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on H01 (Lung Tsui), H02 (Lung Ha), H03 (Lung Sheung), H04 (Fung Wong), H05 (Fung Tak), H06 (Lung Sing), H10 (Lok Fu), H11 (Wang Tau Hom), H16 (Tsz Wan West), H17 (Ching Oi), H18 (Ching On), H19 (Tsz Wan East), H20 (King Fu), H24 (Chi Choi) and H25 (Choi Hung) as they are in line with the EAC's statutory criteria and working principles.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on H12 (Tin Keung), H13 (Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching), H14(Chuk Yuen South) and H15 (Chuk Yuen North) because although they are in line with the EAC's statutory criteria and working principles, it is not desirable for some DCCAs to span across Lung Cheung Road as this would undermine community development. It is hoped that the EAC would take note of this in future delineation exercises.	<u>Item (b)</u> This representation is <b>not accepted</b> because the projected population of H12 (Tin Keung), H13 (Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching), H14 (Chuk Yuen South) and H15 (Chuk Yuen North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not required.
				(c) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on H21 (Choi Wan East), H22 (Choi Wan South) and H23 (Choi Wan West) because although they could be	<u>Item (c)</u> Delineation of constituency boundaries should follow the number of elected seats as specified in the DCO (Cap. 547) and the population distribution in

\* W: Number of written representation  
O: Number of oral representation

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				accepted for they could reduce the population difference among the three DCCAs of Choi Wan and retain the community identity, based on the aggregate population, there is an excess of one seat in the area concerned. It is proposed to reduce one elected seat in 2019.	the relevant districts. Part of the representation involves amendment to the Ordinance which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the CMAB for reference.
				<p>(d) Objects to the provisional recommendations on H07 (San Po Kong), H08 (Tung Tau) and H09 (Tung Mei) because in the 2011 delineation exercise, the buildings within the 2007 original boundary of H07 (San Po Kong) had been transferred to H08 (Tung Tau). Although the projected population of H07 (San Po Kong) in the current provisional recommendations is only 2% higher than the statutory permissible upper limit, a few buildings in H07 (San Po Kong) should also be transferred to H08 (Tung Tau). If the population of H08 (Tung Tau) is too high after the proposed change, one building in Tung Tau Estate could be transferred to H09 (Tung Mei) in order to reduce the population difference. The proposal is as follows:</p> <p>(i) to transfer the area around Yin Hing Street, Foo Yuen Street and Shung Ling Street (i.e. the parks on the two sides of Yan Oi Street and the tenement buildings in the south of Foo Yuen Street) from H07 (San Po Kong) to H08 (Tung Tau) so that the population of</p>	<p><u>Item (d)</u> This representation is <b>not accepted</b>. The EAC agrees that the proposal made in the representation can make the population of H07 (San Po Kong) fall within the statutory permissible range and reduce the population difference between H07 (San Po Kong) and H08 (Tung Tau). However, at the same time, taking into account the factor of community development, the buildings in the existing H07 (San Po Kong), which are adjacent to H08 (Tung Tau) certainly have established local ties with one another. Taking into account that the projected population of H07 (San Po Kong) in 2015 will only slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit and having balanced the relevant factors, the EAC considers that the existing boundary of H07 (San Po Kong) can be allowed to remain unchanged at this stage.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>H07 (San Po Kong) would fall within the statutory permissible range;</p> <p>(ii) then, to transfer Wing Tung House of Tung Tau Estate from H08 (Tung Tau) to H09 (Tung Mei) so that the population of H08 (Tung Tau) would fall within the statutory permissible range. This would also increase the population of H09 (Tung Mei) so as to reduce the population difference between the two DCCAs.</p>	
2	H04 – Fung Wong	15	-	<p>Support maintaining the existing boundary of H04 (Fung Wong). The reasons are summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● apart from the Firemen Quarters in the DCCA, all the buildings are small-scale private buildings. Among those, Fung Wong San Tsuen is a small community comprising old tenement buildings. The existing delineation would make the community more harmonious, foster a stronger sense of belonging among the residents and preserve the community integrity;</li> <li>● residents in large-scale public housing estates and old private buildings need different scopes of services. If a DCCA is made up of both public housing estates (or Home Ownership Scheme buildings) and old private buildings, all resources would be towards public housing estates and old private buildings would be ignored.</li> </ul>	The supporting views are noted.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Given that the Government has been putting efforts in renovating old buildings in recent years, the DCCA would need the serving DC member to devote an enormous amount of time and resources to deal with the renovation and management of old buildings; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the DCCA has been running smoothly for many years so no changes should be made.</li> </ul>	
3	H06 – Lung Sing	21	1	Propose to retain two polling stations in H06 (Lung Sing) for the 2015 DC Election. Apart from setting up a polling station in Lung Poon Court, another polling station should be set up in Galaxia to facilitate the voting of the residents living in buildings such as Galaxia and Bel Air Heights and avoid the occurrence of any possible physical conflicts.	Arrangements on polling station are not the factors of consideration in delineating constituencies. The EAC has referred these views to the REO for follow-up.
4	H06 – Lung Sing H07 – San Po Kong H08 – Tung Tau H09 – Tung Mei	-	1	(a) States that H07 (San Po Kong), H08 (Tung Tau) and H09 (Tung Mei) occupy a large area. Besides, the area in the west of Rhythm Garden in H07 (San Po Kong) and the site of the previous Tai Hom Village locating in the north of San Po Kong (currently located in H06 (Lung Sing)) would be developed. There are also public housing buildings being built next to Mei Tung Estate in H09 (Tung Mei). The representation questions that the provisional recommendations on the above DCCAs have not taken into account the above factors.	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This representation is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) the EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. The development thereafter should not be taken into account;</p> <p>(ii) the projected population of H06 (Lung Sing), H08 (Tung Tau) and H09 (Tung Mei) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>boundaries is not required; and</p> <p>(iii) based on the 2011 original constituency boundary, the projected population of H07 (San Po Kong) will only slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. Taking into account the community integrity and local ties, the EAC considers that the existing boundary of H07 (San Po Kong) can remain unchanged at this stage.</p>
				(b) States that there are many elderlies in H07 (San Po Kong), H08 (Tung Tau) and H09 (Tung Mei) and expresses worries that there are political considerations in the delineation exercise.	<u>Item (b)</u> The delineation proposal must be based on objective data of population distribution. Factors with political implications are not taken into consideration.
				(c) Questions why The Latitude and Choi Hung Building were delineated in H08 (Tung Tau).	<u>Item (c)</u> This representation is <b>not accepted</b> because taking into account the population factor, buildings such as The Latitude and Choi Hung Building were delineated in H08 (Tung Tau) in the 2011 delineation exercise. As the projected population of H08 (Tung Tau) will fall within the statutory permissible range, according to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
				(d) Proposes to increase the number of polling stations in H08 (Tung Tau) to facilitate the voting of the elderly and residents of The Latitude of the DCCA.	<u>Item (d)</u> Arrangements on polling station are not the factors of consideration in delineating constituencies. The EAC has referred this view to the REO for follow-up.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
5	H07 – San Po Kong	1	-	(a) States that the area between H07 (San Po Kong) and H08 (Tung Tau) is densely populated and proposes to transfer some buildings along Shung Ling Street, Hong Keung Street and Yan Oi Street from H07 (San Po Kong) to H08 (Tung Tau).	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> . Please see item 1(d).
	H08 – Tung Tau H21 – Choi Wan East H22 – Choi Wan South H23 – Choi Wan West			(b) States that if the boundary of H07 (San Po Kong) could be allowed to remain unchanged and its population be allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range, the boundary of H23 (Choi Wan West) should also be allowed to remain unchanged and its population be allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range because Choi Wan (I) Estate and Choi Wan (II) Estate are delineated in H21 (Choi Wan East), H22 (Choi Wan South) and H23 (Choi Wan West). These DCCAs are separated by major roads. If two houses of Choi Wan (II) Estate located within the original boundary of H21 (Choi Wan East) are transferred to H23 (Choi Wan West), it would undermine the community integrity. Besides, comparatively speaking, there are more elderlies in Choi Wan Estate and they do not want changes.	<u>Item (b)</u> This representation is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) if the boundaries of H21 (Choi Wan East) and H23 (Choi Wan West) remain unchanged, the projected population of H23 (Choi Wan West) (11,268) will be substantially below the statutory permissible lower limit (-33.58%); and  (ii) taking into account the population distribution, buildings of Choi Wan (I) Estate and Choi Wan (II) Estate are already delineated in three DCCAs. Therefore, the justification of maintaining the community integrity is not convincing.
6	H08 – Tung Tau	1	-	Proposes to transfer Billionnaire Royale from H08 (Tung Tau) to the Kowloon City District because:  ● according to the land lease, Billionnaire Royale (Address:	This proposal involves alteration of the district boundary, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the HAD for consideration.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>83 Sa Po Road) is part of the Kowloon City District. Putting the above address in the Wong Tai Sin District denies the authority and role of the land lease;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• putting the above address in the Wong Tai Sin District deprives the legitimate rights of the residents of the above address as Kowloon City District residents. This runs against the principles of equal opportunity, fairness and impartiality; and</li> <li>• when the district boundary was delineated in 1982, the site of the above address was only an open space. But now, it is a building with residents living in it. The boundary is therefore no longer appropriate.</li> </ul>	
7	<p>H21 – Choi Wan East</p> <p>H22 – Choi Wan South</p> <p>H23 – Choi Wan West</p>	1	-	<p>Proposes to merge H21 (Choi Wan East), H22 (Choi Wan South) and H23 (Choi Wan West) into one DCCA because if they are divided into three DCCAs, the DC member of any one DCCA would not care about the situation of the other two DCCAs, especially the use of Clear Water Bay Road and Fung Shing Street.</p>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because if H21 (Choi Wan East), H22 (Choi Wan South) and H23 (Choi Wan West) are merged into one DCCA, the projected population of the DCCA (40,205) will substantially exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+137.00%).</p>
8	<p>H21 – Choi Wan East</p> <p>H22 – Choi Wan South</p> <p>H23 – Choi Wan</p>	4	-	<p>Object to transferring Yok Yu House and King Kung House of Choi Wan (II) Estate to H23 (Choi Wan West) and splitting the Estate among H21 (Choi Wan East), H22 (Choi Wan South) and H23 (Choi Wan West) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the Estate is only a small-scale estate. If it is divided into</li> </ul>	<p>These representations are <b>not accepted</b>. Please see item 5(b). Moreover, arrangements on district administration matters and polling station are not the factors of consideration in delineating constituencies.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	West			<p>three parts, it would lead to differentiation, thereby undermining the harmony of the Estate;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● if three elected DC members are to provide services to the residents of the Estate separately, it would cause confusion to the residents;</li> <li>● the residents of Yok Yu House and King Kung House are used to seeking help from and expressing their opinions to the office of the existing DC member;</li> <li>● the electors of the Estate (such as the elderly) find it hard to tell which DCCA they belong to. The delineation in the provisional recommendations would cause great inconvenience to them;</li> <li>● if the DC members of the three DCCAs have different views on the affairs of the Estate, it would create hurdles for administration and would take more time to make decisions resulting in lower efficiency; and</li> </ul> <p>Out of the representations, two in number further state that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● the office of the existing DC member of H21 (Choi Wan East) is located at the ground floor of Yok Yu House and King Kung House. Residents are used to seeking help from and expressing their views to the office. After the change of boundary of the DCCA, the office may be relocated to a</li> </ul>	



<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>place that is farther away. In this case, it would cause great inconvenience to the residents of the Estate; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>in the past, the residents of Yok Yu House and King Kung House were used to voting at the school next to the buildings on the polling day. However, if the two buildings are transferred to H23 (Choi Wan West), most electors may go to the wrong polling station and it would definitely cause confusion. In addition, some electors may give up voting because the polling station is farther away. This may have a great effect on the polling results.</li> </ul>	
9	H21 – Choi Wan East  H23 – Choi Wan West	1	-	Objects to separating out Yok Yu House and King Kung House of Choi Wan (II) Estate, which would deprive the rights of the residents.	This representation is <b>not accepted</b> . Please see items 5(b) and 8.