Appendix II - T

Item	DCCA	Ne	<i>o</i> .*	Depresentations	EAC's views
No.	DCCAs	W	0	Representations	EAC's views
1	All DCCAs	ll DCCAs 1 -	-	 (a) Supports the provisional recommendations on T01 (Lantau) and T06 (Discovery Bay) as they are in line with the EAC's statutory criteria and working principles. 	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				 (b)(i) Supports the provisional recommendations on T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate South) because the community identity could be preserved; and (ii) proposes to rename T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate South) as "Yat Tung II" and "Yat Tung I" respectively. 	<u>Item (b)(i)</u> The supporting view is noted. <u>Item (b)(ii)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the names of "Yat Tung Estate North" and "Yat Tung Estate South" have been used since 2007. The majority of the public are used to these names and change of the DCCA names may cause confusion to the public. Moreover, the names can clearly reflect the geographical location of the two DCCAs.
				(ii) proposes to increase one	Item (c)(i) The supporting view is noted. Item (c)(ii) Delineation of constituency boundaries should follow the number of elected seats as specified in the DCO (Cap. 547) and the population distribution in the relevant districts. This proposal involves amendment to the Ordinance which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the CMAB for reference.

Islands District Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

^{*} W: Number of written representation

O: Number of oral representation

Item	5.664	N	<i>o</i> .*		
No.	DCCAs	W	RepresentationsE	EAC's views	
				 (d) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T08 (Lamma & Po Toi) because the projected population of the two DCCAs is below the statutory permissible lower limit. However, taking into account the community identity, it considers that their original boundaries could remain unchanged. 	<u>Item (d)</u> The view is noted.
				(e) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on T09 (Cheung Chau South) and T10 (Cheung Chau North) because the total population of the two DCCAs is less than that of T04 (Tung Chung North). Also, the Chairman of Cheung Chau Rural Committee is an ex-officio member. Hence, it proposes that Cheung Chau should be formed as one DCCA in the future, instead of two.	Item (e) In drawing up the delineation proposals, the EAC has strictly adhered to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The recommendations were made on the basis of the projected population, existing constituency boundaries and the relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
2	All DCCAs	-	1	Proposes to increase one DCCA in the Islands District (Tung Chung) because the population of the Islands District is unevenly distributed. Cheung Chau with population of more than 20,000 is divided into two DCCAs, while Tung Chung of more than 80,000 people has four DCCAs only.	This proposal is not accepted . The Islands District now covers the areas including multiple islands, vast rural areas and some developed and developing towns. Due to geographical and various development factors, the population within the district is very unevenly distributed. In delineating the DCCA boundaries, the projected population as well as the existing boundaries and local factors, such as community identities and local ties etc., have to be taken into consideration. In view of the population distribution and geographical factors of the

Item	Daal	N	<i>o</i> .*	D	
No.	DCCAs	W	0	Representations	EAC's views
3	T01 – Lantau T06 – Discovery Bay T07 – Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau	-	1	 (a) Proposes to transfer Yi Pak Au from T01 (Lantau) to T06 (Discovery Bay) because Yi Pak Au is geographically closer to Discovery Bay. 	Islands District, as well as the stipulated number of DCCAs in the DCO, it is inevitable that obvious population deviation exists in some DCCAs of the Islands District. Under such situation, increasing one new DCCA in Tung Chung or releasing one DCCA by adjusting the boundaries of the adjacent DCCAs to reduce the population of T04 (Tung Chung North) and its adjacent DCCAs is not feasible. Therefore, the EAC proposes that the population of T04 (Tung Chung North) should be allowed to continue to deviate from the statutory permissible range (the population of this DCCA in 2011 delineation exercise was also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range). <u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the projected population of T01 (Lantau) and T06 (Discovery Bay) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not required; and
					(ii) there is a view supporting the delineation proposals for T01 (Lantau) and T06 (Discovery Bay) (please see item 1(a)).
				 (b) Proposes to transfer Nim Shue Wan from T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) to T06 (Discovery Bay) because the indigenous inhabitants of Nim 	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the projected population of
				Shue Wan use the access of	(i) the projected population of T06 (Discovery Bay) will fall

Item	DCCL	N	<i>o</i> .*		
No.	DCCAs	W	0	Representations	EAC's views
				Discovery Bay more often.	within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required;
					 (ii) the projected population of T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) (7,376) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-56.52%). After the proposed adjustment, the projected population of T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) will deviate further from the statutory permissible lower limit; and (iii) there is no objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representation is clearly better than the provisional recommendation in terms of preserving community identities and local ties.
4	T02 – Yat Tung Estate North	4	-	 (a) Support the provisional recommendation to transfer Hong Yat House to T03 (Yat Tung Estate South). 	Item (a) The supporting views are noted.
	T03 – Yat Tung Estate South			(b) One representation proposes to rename T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate South) as "Yat Tung II" and "Yat Tung I" respectively in order to make residents clear to which DCCA they belong and raise their desire to vote.	<u>Item (b)</u> Please see item 1(b)(ii).
				 (c) Two representations propose to rename T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate South) as "Yat Tung II Estate" and "Yat Tung I Estate" 	<u>Item (c)</u> Please see item 1(b)(ii).

Item	DCCA	N	<i>o</i> .*	D	E 4 Classicana
No.	DCCAs	W	0	Representations	EAC's views
				respectively in order to make residents clear to which DCCA they belong.	
5	T02 – Yat Tung Estate North T03 – Yat Tung Estate South	-	2	Support the provisional recommendations on T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate South). One representation considers that the provisional recommendation puts Yat Tung (II) Estate and Yat Tung (I) Estate completely into T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate South) respectively, which helps to maintain community integrity.	The supporting views are noted.
6	T02 – Yat Tung Estate North T03 – Yat Tung Estate South T04 – Tung Chung North T05 – Tung Chung South	1		 (a) Considers that the constituency boundary of T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) should remain unchanged because: the population of many DCCAs in the Islands District deviates from the statutory permissible range but their constituency boundaries are still allowed to remain unchanged; and the boundary change of T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) just involves one building, indicating that the projected population of that DCCA does not exceed much from the statutory permissible range. Moreover, the boundaries of T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate South) are often changed which would make electors inconvenient. 	preservation of local ties and community identities of the two DCCAs; and (iii) there are views supporting the delineation proposals for T02 (Yat Tung Estate North) and T03 (Yat Tung Estate South) (please see items 1(b), 4(a) and 5).
				(b) Considers that The Visionary should be transferred from T05 (Tung Chung South) to T04	Item (b) This proposal is not accepted because:

Item	DCCA	N	<i>o</i> .*		
No.	DCCAs	W	0	Representations	EAC's views
				(Tung Chung North) because the population of T04 (Tung Chung North) is allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range.	 (i) the EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. The Visionary in T05 (Tung Chung South) mentioned in the representation has no projected population and the projected population of T05 (Tung Chung South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and (ii) there is a view supporting the delineation proposals for T04 (Tung Chung South) (please see item 1(c)).
7	T04 – Tung Chung North T05 – Tung Chung South	-	1	 Proposes: (i) to transfer The Visionary from T05 (Tung Chung South) to T04 (Tung Chung North) because The Visionary is geographically closer to T04 (Tung Chung North). Moreover, there is no population for the time being so it would not affect the population of T04 (Tung Chung North); (ii) to transfer Seaview Crescent from T04 (Tung Chung North) to T05 (Tung Chung North) to T05 (Tung Chung South) if it is necessary to adjust T04 (Tung Chung North) due to excessive population; and (iii) to transfer the ferry pier, located outside the Seaview 	 This proposal is not accepted because: (i) please see item 6(b)(i); (ii) if Seaview Crescent is transferred from T04 (Tung Chung North) to T05 (Tung Chung South), the projected population of T05 (Tung Chung South) (21,843) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+28.76%); (iii) the EAC must adhere to the Administration's population forecast as at 30 June 2015 in delineating the constituency boundaries. The ferry pier mentioned in the representation has no projected population; and

Item	DCCA	No	<i>o</i> .*		EAC's views
No.	DCCAs	W	0	Representations	EAC's views
				Crescent, from T05 (Tung Chung South) to T04 (Tung Chung North) to maintain local area integrity.	(iv) there is a view supporting the delineation proposals for T04 (Tung Chung North) and T05 (Tung Chung South) (please see item 1(c)).
8	T06 – Discovery Bay T07 – Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau	1		 Objects to retaining the marina of Discovery Bay Marina Club in T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and proposes to transfer that area to T06 (Discovery Bay) because: the residents on the yachts are the members of Discovery Bay Marina Club, which provides services and facilities to them. Hence, the boundary of T06 (Discovery Bay) should include the marina; and the projected population of T06 (Discovery Bay) would be below the population quota. 	This proposal is accepted because the marina is under the management of Discovery Bay. The residents on the yachts have very close connection with Discovery Bay. Thus, it is reasonable to transfer the marina of Discovery Bay Marina Club to T06 (Discovery Bay). Moreover, the proposal will not have obvious impacts on the preservation of local ties and community integrity of T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau). After the proposed adjustment, the projected population of T06 (Discovery Bay) and T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) will be as follows: T06: 13,390, -21.07% T07: 7,376, -56.52%
9	T07 – Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau T08 – Lamma & Po Toi	-	1	Supports the provisional recommendations on T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T08 (Lamma & Po Toi) and considers that even though the projected population of the two DCCAs is below the statutory permissible lower limit, they should belong to two different DCCAs.	The supporting view is noted.

Item	tem DCCAs	Na). *	R oprosputations	EAC's views
No.	DCCAS	W	0	Representations	EAC'S VIEWS
10	T07 – Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau T08 – Lamma & Po Toi	1		Considers that the total population of T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T08 (Lamma & Po Toi) is still within the statutory permissible range. Hence, it proposes to combine the two DCCAs in order to release one DCCA to Tung Chung.	 This proposal is not accepted because: (i) please see item 2; (ii) taking into account the geographical factor, transportation, community integrity and local ties, it is not feasible to maintain the population of T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T08 (Lamma & Po Toi) within the statutory permissible range by adjusting the boundaries of the adjacent DCCAs. Therefore, the EAC proposes that the original boundaries of these two DCCAs should remain unchanged and their population be allowed to continue to deviate from the statutory permissible range (the population of these DCCAs in 2011 delineation exercise was also allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range); and (iii) there is a view supporting the delineation proposals for T07 (Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau) and T08 (Lamma & Po Toi) (please see item 9).