

Appendix II - D

Southern District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No. *</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Southern District. However, considers that in the long run, should adjust the DCCA boundaries of D02 (Ap Lei Chau Estate), D06 (South Horizons East) and D07 (South Horizons West) to ensure that the population of D02 (Ap Lei Chau Estate) will fall within the statutory permissible range.	The supporting view is noted. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Southern District.	The view is noted.
3	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on D01 (Aberdeen), D02 (Ap Lei Chau Estate), D03 (Ap Lei Chau North), D04 (Lei Tung I), D05 (Lei Tung II), D06 (South Horizons East), D07 (South Horizons West), D13 (Tin Wan), D14 (Shek Yue), D15 (Wong Chuk Hang), D16 (Bays Area) and D17 (Stanley & Shek O).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.

* W: Number of written representations.

O : Number of oral representations.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on D08 (Wah Kwai), D09 (Wah Fu South) and D10 (Wah Fu North).	<u>Item (b)</u> The view is noted.
				(c) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on D11 (Pokfulam) and D12 (Chi Fu). Proposes to transfer Queen Mary Hospital and the buildings in its vicinity along Pok Fu Lam Road from D11 (Pokfulam) to D12 (Chi Fu) as the population of D11 (Pokfulam) in the provisional recommendations still reaches the statutory permissible upper limit. The proposal made in the representation can even out the populations of the two DCCAs.	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (1 415) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (412) by 1 003.
4	D04 – Lei Tung I D05 – Lei Tung II	1	-	(a) For the sake of community integrity, proposes to transfer Ap Lei Chau Service Reservoir and the slope leading to Lee Nam Road Industrial Area from D04 (Lei Tung I) to D05 (Lei Tung II). It is because the above areas are frequented by residents of D05 (Lei Tung II). The provisional recommendations cut the service reservoir off from D05 (Lei Tung II).	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) as Ap Lei Chau Service Reservoir and the slope leading to Lee Nam Road Industrial Area have no population, there is no need to adjust the boundary; and (ii) the reservoir and the slope above are part of D04 (Lei Tung I)

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>according to the 2015 DCCA boundary. There is no adjustment to the boundary of the above areas in the provisional recommendations.</p>
				<p>(b) For the sake of community integrity, proposes to extend the DCCA boundary of D04 (Lei Tung I) to St Peter's Catholic Primary School as it has been regularly used as a polling station for D04 (Lei Tung I).</p>	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) as St Peter's Catholic Primary School has no population, there is no need to adjust the boundary; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on polling station is not the relevant factor of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.</p>
5	D11 – Pokfulam D12 – Chi Fu	-	1	Proposes to transfer Queen Mary Hospital from D11 (Pokfulam) to D12 (Chi Fu).	This proposal is not accepted because the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (942) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (412) by 530.