## Appendix II - F

## Sham Shui Po District Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

Item	D.G.G.A	No	). *	<b>D</b>	T. (2) Y.
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
1	All DCCAs	2	-	Support the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Sham Shui Po District.	The supporting views are noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on F02 (Cheung Sha Wan), F07 (Nam Cheong Central), F10 (Lai Kok), F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central), F18 (Lai Chi Kok North), F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) and F24 (Yau Yat Tsuen).	Item (a) The supporting view is noted.
				<ul> <li>(b) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F01 (Po Lai), F19 (Un Chau) and F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) and proposes:</li> <li>to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F19 (Un Chau);</li> <li>to transfer the private buildings at Po On Road, Cheung Fat Street, Un Chau Street and Hing Wah Street, and Koon Wing Building from F19 (Un Chau) to F01 (Po Lai), so as to narrow down the community difference; and</li> </ul>	(i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (10 696) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (980) by 9 716; and

 $\ast~W:$  Number of written representations.

O: Number of oral representations.

Item	DCC4 a	No	). *	Down or and odd one	EACla Viana
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
				• to transfer the surrounding areas of Wai Wai Road, Pratas Street, Castle Peak Road and Tonkin Street from F01 (Po Lai) to F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) as the latter's population is relatively low.	
				_	Item (c) This proposal is accepted because according to the provisional recommendations, the entire So Uk Estate (including Camellia House) actually belongs to F20 (So Uk), therefore the population of Camellia House has already been included in F20 (So Uk). The EAC will make technical adjustment to the proposed boundaries of F20 (So Uk) and F21 (Lei Cheng Uk).
				<ul> <li>(d) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F03 (Nam Cheong North), F04 (Shek Kip Mei), F05 (Nam Cheong East), F23 (Ha Pak Tin) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung &amp; Tai Hang Sai) and proposes:</li> <li>to transfer the buildings in the north of Tai Po Road from F03 (Nam Cheong North) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei), so as to reflect the different living circles of the two DCCAs; and</li> </ul>	Item (d) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) if the buildings at the north of Tai Po Road are to be transferred from F03 (Nam Cheong North) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei), the affected population (2 718) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (1 191) by 1 527;

Item	DCCAs	DCCAs -	No.*	Roprosontations	EAC's Views
No.	DCCAS	W	0	Representations	EAC S VIEWS
				• to transfer Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin) instead of F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai), so as to even out the population difference. Also, some of the residents of these two blocks are relocated from Pak Tin Estate in F23 (Ha Pak Tin), and the residents of F23 (Ha Pak Tin) often go to MTR Shek Kip Mei Station through Wai Chi Street in F04 (Shek Kip Mei).	<ul> <li>(ii) if Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate are to be transferred from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), the population of F23 (Ha Pak Tin) (20 817) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+25.41%);</li> <li>(iii) the relocation of part of the population as mentioned in the representation is originated from the redevelopment of housing estates. It is a common phenomenon that residents need to be relocated to different DCCAs due to redevelopment of housing estates, which also inevitably affects the existing local ties. Such influence is originated from relocation of population instead of delineation. The EAC is of the view that there is no sufficient information and justification to allow the population of F23 (Ha Pak Tin) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit; and</li> <li>(iv) according to the projected population in 2019, F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung &amp; Tai Hang Sai) has more capacity than F23 (Ha Pak Tin) to absorb the excess population in F04 (Shek Kip Mei).</li> </ul>

Item	DCCA a	No	). *	Down or order to and	EACla Visus
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
				(e) Proposes to "cut straight" the boundary in the area near Tsung Tsin Primary School and rename F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) as "Kowloon Tsai" (九龍仔) for the convenience of the public.	Item (e) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) as the Tsung Tsin Primary School under the proposal made in the representation has no population, there is no need to adjust the boundary; and  (ii) the current name of the DCCA has been used since 2007 and most of the
				(f) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F06 (Nam Cheong South), F08	public are used to this name. Besides, as the DCCA name proposed in the representation is also similar to that of "Kowloon Tsai" (九龍行) in the Kowloon City District, change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.  Item (f) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:
				(Nam Cheong South), Fos (Nam Cheong West) and F09 (Fu Cheong) and proposes:  • to transfer the private buildings surrounding the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road from F06 (Nam Cheong South) to F08 (Nam Cheong West), so as to rectify the problem of odd-looking boundaries of two DCCAs; and	<ul> <li>(i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of F08 (Nam Cheong West) (21 785) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.24%); and</li> <li>(ii) although the shape of a DCCA is a relevant factor of consideration, it is confined by population distribution to a certain</li> </ul>

Item	DCCAs	No	<b>7.</b> *	D	
No.		W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
				• to transfer Sham Shui Po Park and Ka Ling School from F09 (Fu Cheong) to F08 (Nam Cheong West), so as to reflect the situation that the access to the school is in fact located in F08 (Nam Cheong West).	extent and is not a prime factor of consideration.
				(g) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on F12 (Pik Wui). The representation considers that the population of F12 (Pik Wui) is relatively scattered and that more polling stations should be set up in elections to facilitate electors.	Item (g) The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on polling station is not the relevant factor of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.
				(h) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on F11 (Fortune), F14 (Lai Chi Kok South), F15 (Mei Foo South), F16 (Mei Foo Central) and F17 (Mei Foo North) and considers that F15 (Mei Foo South), F16 (Mei Foo Central) and F17 (Mei Foo North) should adopt Tsing Sha Highway as their eastern boundaries, so as to reflect the change of the road network.	Item (h) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the populations of F15 (Mei Foo South), F16 (Mei Foo Central) and F17 (Mei Foo North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item	DCCA	No	). *	<b>n</b>	
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
3	F01 – Po Lai F19 – Un Chau	1	-	<ul> <li>Proposes:</li> <li>to transfer Un Chau Estate Phase 5 from F01 (Po Lai) to F19 (Un Chau); and</li> <li>to transfer the private buildings in F19 (Un Chau) to F01 (Po Lai).</li> </ul>	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (7 972) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (980) by 6 992.
4	F01 – Po Lai F21 – Lei Cheng Uk	1		Objects to the transfer of Heya Green, Career Court and Po Wah Court in the north of Po On Road near Wai Wai Road from F01 (Po Lai) to F21 (Lei Cheng Uk). Proposes to maintain the existing boundary of F01 (Po Lai) because the above buildings originally belonged to F01 (Po Lai) and such an adjustment will affect the continuity of community services and cause unfairness to the residents affected.	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) if the boundary of F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) remains unchanged, the population of F21 (Lei Cheng Uk) (12 356) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-25.56%); and  (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Provision of community services is not the relevant factor of consideration.
5	F02 – Cheung Sha Wan F03 – Nam Cheong North	1	-	Proposes to split F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) into other DCCAs for the creation of a new DCCA to accommodate the excess population of Shek Kip Mei Estate after its redevelopment. Proposes:  • to transfer the area in the north of Lung Cheung Road and the north of Ching Cheung Road from	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be five more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

Item	DCCAs -	No	). *	Donnegantations	EACla Viana
No.	DCCAS	$\boldsymbol{W}$	0	Representations	EAC's Views
	F04 –			F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung	
	Shek			Pak Tin) to F24 (Yau Yat	
	Kip Mei			Tsuen);	
				, ,	
	F05 –			• to transfer Chak On Estate	
	Nam			from F22 (Lung Ping &	
	Cheong			Sheung Pak Tin) to F02	
	East			(Cheung Sha Wan) and	
				transfer Cronin Garden from	
	F06 –			F02 (Cheung Sha Wan) to	
	Nam			F21 (Lei Cheng Uk);	
	Cheong			_	
	South			• to transfer the buildings of	
				Pak Tin Estate from F22	
	F21 –			(Lung Ping & Sheung Pak	
	Lei			Tin) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin)	
	Cheng			and transfer the buildings	
	Uk			surrounding the area of Wai	
				Chi Street from F23 (Ha Pak	
	F22 –			Tin) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei)	
	Lung			and to rename F23 (Ha Pak	
	Ping &			Tin) as "Pak Tin";	
	Sheung				
	Pak Tin			• to form a DCCA comprising	
				the redeveloped Shek Kip	
	F23 –			Mei Estate in F04 (Shek Kip	
	Ha Pak			Mei) and the buildings	
	Tin			surrounding the area of Wai	
				Chi Street and to name it as	
	F24 –			"Sheung Shek Kip Mei";	
	Yau Yat				
	Tsuen			• to form a DCCA comprising	
				the Shek Kip Mei Estate	
				which has not yet been	
				redeveloped in F04 (Shek	
				Kip Mei) and the buildings	
				surrounding the area in the	
				north of Tai Po Road, the	
				east of Pak Tin Street, the	
				west of Wong Chuk Street	
				and the south of Berwick	
				Street in F03 (Nam Cheong	
				North) and F05 (Nam	
				Cheong East) and to name	

Item	DCCA	No	). *	<b>n</b>	
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
				<ul> <li>it as "Ha Shek Kip Mei"; and</li> <li>to transfer the buildings surrounding the area in the east of Cheung Sha Wan Road from F06 (Nam Cheong South) to F05 (Nam Cheong East).</li> </ul>	
6	F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Shek Kip Mei F05 – Nam Cheong East	1		Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations on the transfer of Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) and further proposes:  • to transfer Blocks 19 and 20 of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F05 (Nam Cheong East) to F04 (Shek Kip Mei) because these two blocks are geographically closer to Shek Kip Mei Estate in F04 (Shek Kip Mei), hence it has closer community ties with the estate. This proposal would help maintain the community integrity; and  • to retain the area in the north of Tai Po Road in F03 (Nam Cheong North), so as to maintain the community integrity in the area surrounding Nam Cheong.	required; and

Item	Daga	No	). *	n de	EAC II
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
<b>No.</b> 7	F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Shek Kip Mei	<u>W</u> 1	3	(a) Object to the provisional recommendations on F03 (Nam Cheong North), F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai). Consider that the provisional recommendations have not taken into account the community integrity	Item (a) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) if Mei Ho House and Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 3 and 7 are to be transferred to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), the population of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) (21 276) will still
	Nam Cheong East			reflecting that the EAC only gives consideration to the population figures. Propose that:	exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+28.18%);
	F23 – Ha Pak Tin F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai			• Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate be retained in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 3 and 7 or Mei Ho House together with Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 3 and 7 be transferred from F04	(ii) the population quota for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election is 16 599, and the statutory permissible range is between 12 449 and 20 749. The population of Shek Kip Mei Estate is 24 400, which is not sufficient to create two DCCAs; and
	Hang Sai			<ul> <li>(Shek Kip Mei) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin); or</li> <li>Shek Kip Mei Estate be split into two DCCAs, namely Shek Kip Mei East and Shek Kip Mei West.</li> <li>Reasons are summarised as follows:</li> </ul>	(iii) after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House are geographically very close to the adjacent Tai Hang Sai Estate, and it is not required to pass through any slopes to go to Nam Shan Estate, Tai
				<ul> <li>as compared with Nam Shan Estate, Tai Hang Tung Estate and Tai Hang Sai Estate, Shek</li> </ul>	Hang Tung Estate, Tal Hang Tung Estate and Tai Hang Sai Estate along Wai Chi Street or Woh Chai Street.

Item	Item DCCAs	DCC A a	DCC4 a	DCCA =	No	<b>7.</b> *	D (1)	EACU III
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views			
140.				Kip Mei Estate and Pak Tin Estate have all along been regarded as an integral community. Activities of the residents mainly take place in these two estates and they often share the same community facilities, hence the ties between them are closer;  • Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate are situated at a level higher than that of Nam Shan Estate, Tai Hang Tung Estate and Tai Hang Sai Estate. It takes quite some time for the residents of the former to walk down the slope to the latter; and  • the above proposal helps maintain the community integrity of the two DCCAs concerned.  (b) One representation further proposes to transfer the private buildings at Tai Po Road, Nam Cheong Street, Berwick Street and Pei Ho Street from F03 (Nam Cheong North) to F05 (Nam Cheong East) so as to group the old private buildings in the same DCCA.				

Item	DCCAs	<i>No.</i> *	Dannagantations	EAC's Views	
No.	DCCAS	W	0	Representations	EAC'S VIEWS
				(c) One representation also proposes to transfer the private buildings at Tai Po Road, Pei Ho Street, Berwick Street and Kweilin Street from F03 (Nam Cheong North) to F05 (Nam Cheong East).	Item (c) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the private buildings within the area of Tai Po Road, Pei Ho Street, Berwick Street and Kweilin Street in F03 (Nam Cheong North) are not geographically connected to F05 (Nam Cheong East), hence making adjustment as proposed in the representation is not feasible.
				(d) One representation proposes to retain the private buildings at Tai Po Road, Pei Ho Street, Berwick Street and Kweilin Street in F03 (Nam Cheong North).	Item (d) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of F03 (Nam Cheong North) (20 819) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+25.42%).
				(e) Consider that the EAC only provides the population figures of each DCCA but not the actual population of individual buildings in the DCCAs, hence making it difficult for DC member of the DCCA to make recommendations based on the actual population.	Item (e) Since the delineation exercise is conducted on the basis of DCCA, figures of each DCCA are therefore provided. In adjusting the boundaries, the EAC will request the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD, to provide population figures of individual buildings in the areas to be delineated. If so required, the EAC will also seek the assistance from the AHSG to project the relevant population figures when examining new proposals from the public.

Item	DCCA	No	). *	n ( / '	EAC! V'
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
8	F03 – Nam Cheong North F04 – Shek Kip Mei F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai	2	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations but consider it necessary, in the long run, to adjust the DCCA boundaries surrounding the areas of Shek Kip Mei and Nam Cheong, and address the issue of delineation of Shek Kip Mei Estate into three different DCCAs.	Item (a) The supporting view is noted. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
	Hang Sai			(b) Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations.	Item (b) The view is noted.
9	F03 – Nam Cheong North  F04 – Shek Kip Mei  F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai		1	Hopes that the EAC can provide sufficient justification to explain why it recommends F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to absorb part of the private buildings from F03 (Nam Cheong North) after the transfer of Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai).	DCCA boundary, the

Item	DCCA	No	). *	D	EACL, W
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
10	F03 – Nam Cheong North  F04 – Shek Kip Mei  F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	1	-	Some housing estates in F04 (Shek Kip Mei) are still under construction. As it is impossible to ascertain the flow of population, proposes to retain the boundaries of F03 (Nam Cheong North), F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai). In addition, it is considered that the transfer of Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate to F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai) will break the community ties within the DCCA as they belong to new and old housing estates respectively.	
11	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F22 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin F23 – Ha Pak Tin	1	-	Queries that the EAC has not considered the substantial demographic change in Pak Tin Estate in F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) as a result of redevelopment during the 2019 DC Election. As F23 (Ha Pak Tin) has included the new blocks of Pak Tin Estate, it is worried that electors originally belonged to F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) may cast their votes in a wrong DCCA as they are relocated to the same housing estate in F23 (Ha Pak Tin). Proposes:  (a) to transfer Mei Yue House and Mei Ying House of Shek Kip Mei Estate from	Item (a) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) the number of affected

Item	D.G.G.A	No	o. *	Representations	EAC's Views
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0		
				F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin), and then transfer Blocks 9 to 11 and 13 of Upper Pak Tin Estate from F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), so as to enhance the community integrity of the Pak Tin community.	Sheung Pak Tin), hence the proposal made in the representation is not feasible;  (iii) if Blocks 9 to 11 and 13 of Upper Pak Tin Estate are to be transferred from F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), the population of F23 (Ha Pak Tin) (22 818) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+37.47%); and  (iv) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
				(b) to transfer Pak Tin Commercial Centre from F23 (Ha Pak Tin) to F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) so that residents who are relocated due to the redevelopment can be transferred to the same DCCA.	Item (b) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the populations of F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) and F23 (Ha Pak Tin) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item	5 6 6 1	No	). *		
No.	DCCAs	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
				(c) to transfer Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 3 and 7 from F04 (Shek Kip Mei) to F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin), so as to make up for the drop in population due to relocation of residents as a result of the redevelopment of Upper Pak Tin Estate in mid 2019.	Item (c) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
12	F04 – Shek Kip Mei  F22 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin  F23 – Ha Pak Tin  F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang	1	-	(a) Objects to the provisional recommendations on F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai). Taking into consideration of the demographic change in F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) due to redevelopment, proposes that adjustment should be made to the boundaries of both F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) instead of F04 (Shek Kip Mei) and F25 (Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai).	Item (a) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the representation has failed to provide any specific details on how to adjust the DCCA boundaries.
	Tung & Tung & Tai Hang Sai			(b) Proposes to transfer Blocks 9, 10 and 11 of Pak Tin Estate from F22 (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin) to F23 (Ha Pak Tin), so as to reflect the "advanced relocation" of the residents in the above estate from April 2018 due to redevelopment and the start of official relocation in the third quarter of 2019, as well as preserving community integrity.	Item (b) This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) the populations of F22     (Lung Ping & Sheung Pak     Tin) and F23 (Ha Pak Tin)     will fall within the     statutory permissible range.     According to the     established working     principles, adjustments to     their existing boundaries

Item	DCC4 a	No	<b>).</b> *	Donnegantations	EAC's Views	
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC'S Views	
					are not required; and  (ii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.	
13	F04 – Shek Kip Mei F25 – Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	-	2	Support the provisional recommendations and hope that there would be a hardworking DC member who will work practically to serve residents of the DCCA.	The supporting views are noted.	
14	F06 – Nam Cheong South F08 – Nam Cheong West	1	-	that the buildings surrounding the area of Nam Cheong Street	The view is noted. According to the provisional recommendations, the buildings surrounding the junction of Nam Cheong Street and Yee Kuk Street in F06 (Nam Cheong South) will be transferred to F08 (Nam Cheong West).	

Item	DOGA	Na	). *	Representations	
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0		EAC's Views
15	F06 – Nam Cheong South F08 – Nam Cheong West F09 – Fu Cheong	-	1	Same as item 2(f).	Please see item 2(f).
16	F06 – Nam Cheong South F08 – Nam Cheong West F09 – Fu Cheong The Cheong Lai Kok	2	-	Consider that the boundary between F06 (Nam Cheong South) and F08 (Nam Cheong West) in the provisional recommendations is a jagged edge. Propose to transfer the buildings surrounding the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road from F06 (Nam Cheong South) to F08 (Nam Cheong West), so that both DCCAs can use Lai Chi Kok Road as their boundaries.  One representation further proposes to transfer the buildings surrounding the area in the northwest of Yen Chow Street from F08 (Nam Cheong West) to F10 (Lai Kok) which has a relatively smaller population.	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposals made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposals will be larger, too; and  (ii) although the shape of a DCCA is a relevant factor of consideration, it is confined by population distribution to a certain extent and is not a prime factor of consideration.

Item	Daga	No.*	D (4)		
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
				One representation further proposes to transfer the buildings surrounding the area in the west of Yen Chow Street from F08 (Nam Cheong West) to F10 (Lai Kok) which has a relatively smaller population and to transfer Sham Shui Po Park and Ka Ling School from F09 (Fu Cheong) to F10 (Lai Kok).	
17	F09 – Fu Cheong F11 – Fortune F12 – Pik Wui F14 – Lai Chi Kok South	1		<ul> <li>Proposes:</li> <li>to transfer the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road from F11 (Fortune) to the new DCCA F12 (Pik Wui) because the nature of housing of The Sparkle in the area is closer to those buildings in the new DCCA;</li> <li>to transfer the area in the northwest of Tonkin Street West from F09 (Fu Cheong) to the new DCCA F12 (Pik Wui). After adjustment, F09 (Fu Cheong) will only include Fu Cheong Estate and Wing Cheong Estate;</li> <li>to transfer the area in the south of West Kowloon Highway from F14 (Lai Chi Kok South) to the new DCCA F12 (Pik Wui). After adjustment, F14 (Lai Chi Kok South) will only include Hoi Lai Estate; and</li> </ul>	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representation (14 631) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (13 376) by 1 255;  (ii) as the area from Fat Tseung Street West to Tonkin Street West has no population, there is no need to adjust the boundaries; and  (iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.

Item	DCCAs	No	). *	D	EAC!- V:	
No.	DCCAs	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views	
				• to transfer, upon the above adjustments, Stonecutters Island from F14 (Lai Chi Kok South) to the new DCCA F12 (Pik Wui) and to rename the latter as "Ngong Shuen Wan", so that the public housing development underway near the Cheung Sha Wan Wholesale Food Market will not be overlooked by naming the DCCA after the names of two major housing estates in the DCCA only.		
18	F11 – Fortune F12 – Pik Wui	1	1	Propose to transfer the area in the south of Lai Chi Kok Road and the north of West Kowloon Corridor, including The Sparkle, from F11 (Fortune) to F12 (Pik Wui) because:  • the above adjustment will bring the populations of F11 (Fortune) and F12 (Pik Wui) closer to the population quota; and  • there will be new development in the area of Yuen Fat Warehouse and Kerry Hung Kai Warehouse next to The Sparkle, therefore to transfer the above area and the private housing estate The Sparkle to F12 (Pik Wui) can help preserve community integrity and consistency of community services.	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representations (14 614) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (13 376) by 1 238;  (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Provision of community services is not the relevant factor of consideration; and  (iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at	

Item	DCCAs .	Na	). *	Down or order to and	EACla Viana
No.	DCCAS	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
					30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
19	F12 – Pik Wui	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
20	F13 – Lai Chi Kok Central F18 – Lai Chi Kok North	1	-	Proposes to transfer the industrial buildings in the south of Cheung Sha Wan Road and the Temporary Wholesale Poultry Market from F18 (Lai Chi Kok North) to F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central) because the above area is geographically closer to F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central), but relatively further from F18 (Lai Chi Kok North). The DC member of F13 (Lai Chi Kok Central) would likely more care about the above area than their counterpart of F18 (Lai Chi Kok North). Moreover, industrial area and poultry market should have no population, therefore this proposal will not have any impact on the populations of the relevant DCCAs.	established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
21	F16 – Mei Foo Central F17 – Mei Foo North	1	-	Proposes to transfer Mei Foo Sun Chuen Phase 7 from F16 (Mei Foo Central) to F17 (Mei Foo North).	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the populations of F16 (Mei Foo Central) and F17 (Mei Foo North) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

Item	DCCA =	No.*		No.*	D	EACla Viana
No.	<b>DCCAs</b>	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views	
22	F20 – So Uk F21 – Lei Cheng Uk	1	2	Same as item 2(c).	Please see item 2(c).	
23	F22 – Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin	2	-	(a) Support the provisional recommendations and consider that it can help maintain the community integrity of the DCCA.	Item (a) The supporting views are noted.	
				<ul> <li>(b) In respect of arrangement on polling station, propose to keep the practice of setting up two polling stations in the above DCCA, one for the residents of Upper Pak Tin Estate and Chak On Estate and the other for residents of private housing estates in the DCCA to cast their votes.</li> <li>• One representation states that the setting up of two polling stations at Chak On Estate for the 2018 LegCo By-election resulted in a large number of electors going to the wrong polling station for voting, while residents of Chak On Estate were also dissatisfied with the arrangement of being allocated to a new polling station.</li> </ul>	Item (b) The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.	

Item	DCCAs	No	o. *	D	EACL W
No.	DCCAS	W	0	Representations	EAC's Views
				• One representation proposes that the polling station for Upper Pak Tin Estate be set up at the vacant shop on the ground floor of Block 9 of Upper Pak Tin Estate instead of at the new Pak Tin Community Hall, so as to avoid causing inconvenience and confusion to the residents.	