

Appendix II - H

**Wong Tai Sin District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations**

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	H01 – Lung Tsui H05 – Fung Tak H06 – Lung Sing H10 – Lok Fu H11 – Wang Tau Hom H20 – King Fu	1	-	Supports the maintenance of the boundaries of DCCAs unchanged.	The supporting view is noted.
2	H02 – Lung Ha H03 – Lung Sheung H07 – San Po Kong H08 – Tung Tau	1	1	(a) Object to adjusting the boundary of H09 (Tung Mei). Propose to adopt another option, i.e. to transfer three blocks of the buildings from H03 (Lung Sheung) to H02 (Lung Ha), and transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street to H08 (Tung Tau) so as to address the problem of H02 (Lung Ha) and H07 (San Po Kong) with populations exceeding the statutory permissible	<u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are not accepted because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of H08 (Tung Tau) (21 961) under item 2(a) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+32.30%); and (ii) the number of affected

* W: Number of written representations.

O : Number of oral representations.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	H09 – Tung Mei			<p>lower limit and upper limit respectively.</p> <p>(b) Object to adjusting the boundary of H09 (Tung Mei). The representations state that if the transfer of Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha) is necessary, it is proposed that the buildings in the north of Tung Lung Road in H08 (Tung Tau) be transferred to H09 (Tung Mei). However, if the aforesaid proposal is impracticable, then support to transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street, together with Choi Hung Road Playground to H02 (Lung Ha).</p>	<p>DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.</p> <p>Please also see item 6.</p>
3	H02 – Lung Ha H07 – San Po Kong H08 – Tung Tau H09 – Tung Mei	2	-	Support the transfer of Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha) but propose to transfer some buildings of Tung Tau Estate from H08 (Tung Tau) to H09 (Tung Mei) and then H08 (Tung Tau) to absorb the buildings in the old district of San Po Kong.	This proposal is not accepted because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too. Please also see item 6.
4	H02 – Lung Ha H07 – San Po Kong	1	-	(a) Supports the transfer of Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha) but objects to the transfer of the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street to H09 (Tung Mei).	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	H08 – Tung Tau H09 – Tung Mei H16 – Tsz Wan West H19 – Tsz Wan East			Instead, they should be transferred to H08 (Tung Tau).	<p>population of H08 (Tung Tau) (21 961) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+32.30%); and</p> <p>(ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.</p> <p>Please also see item 6.</p>
				(b) Objects to the transfer of Winfair Building, Fat Keung House and Po Ming Building to H16 (Tsz Wan West) because it would be most desirable to put all old buildings under the purview of the same DC member.	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>
5	H02 – Lung Ha H07 – San Po Kong H09 – Tung Mei	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
6	H02 – Lung Ha H07 – San Po Kong H09 – Tung Mei	316 [^]	1	<p>(a) Object to the transfer of Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha). Propose to transfer the excessive population in H07 (San Po Kong) to the adjacent H02 (Lung Ha) where the population will fall short of the statutory permissible lower limit so that adjustments to the boundaries of the other DCCAs is not required. Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kai Tak Garden has been transferred to H09 (Tung Mei) from H02 (Lung Ha) since 2007. Residents of Kai Tak Garden will find it confusing and disturbing if the housing estate is transferred back to H02 (Lung Ha) from H09 (Tung Mei); • there are strong community ties between Kai Tak Garden and H09 (Tung Mei). Retaining it in H09 (Tung Mei) is conducive to preserving the community identities, local ties and physical features of the DCCA; 	<p><u>Items (a) and (b)</u> Proposal (1) under item 6(b) is accepted. Upon receipt of the representations, the EAC conducted site visit and noted that along Choi Hung Road, there are facilities, like footbridge and lifts connecting H02 (Lung Ha) and H07 (San Po Kong). Therefore, the proposal made in the representations is geographically feasible. As, unlike the provisional recommendations, there is no need to first transfer the population from H07 (San Po Kong) to H09 (Tung Mei), and then from H09 (Tung Mei) to H02 (Lung Ha), the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one less than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal made in the representations (5 081) will also be less than that in the provisional recommendations (8 850) by 3 769.</p> <p>According to the proposal made in the representations, the respective populations of H02 (Lung Ha) and H07 (San Po Kong) are:</p> <p>H02: 16 671, +0.43% H07: 20 018, +20.60%</p>

[^] Of which, 314 are template letters.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the population of H09 (Tung Mei) will be within the statutory permissible range and adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; transferring the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street to H09 (Tung Mei) will complicate the issue of community integrity, turning the DCCA into three separate communities each with distinctly different demographic features and weak geographical linkage; and with the upcoming intake of Tung Tau Estate Phase 8, adjustments to H08 (Tung Tau) and H09 (Tung Mei) may probably be required in the next DC Ordinary Election. <p>One representation proposes to transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street and some of the buildings at Tai Yau Street near H02 (Lung Ha) to H02 (Lung Ha).</p>	<p>As for the proposal made in the representations under item 6(a), in view that the area and affected population will be larger than those in proposal (1) under item 6(b), it is therefore not accepted.</p> <p>Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. Besides, it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(b) Object to the provisional recommendations on H02 (Lung Ha), H07 (San Po Kong) and H09 (Tung Mei). Consider that the boundaries of these DCCAs concerned should remain unchanged. Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there is no additional elected seat for the 2019 Wong Tai Sin DC. The EAC should avoid re-delineating the DCCAs and should do so only in 2023 when there may be possible population growth by that time; • taking into account the community identity of H02 (Lung Ha) and the fact that its population will be only slightly below the statutory permissible lower limit, it is not necessary to re-delineate its boundary; and • the EAC should, as in the delineation exercise for the 2015 DC Ordinary Election, allow H07 (San Po Kong) to continue to deviate from the statutory permissible range, so as to preserve the community 	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>integrities and local ties of San Po Kong, and minimise the impact of re-delineation on the provision of community services to the residents.</p> <p>Where adjustments to DCCA boundaries is a must, propose:</p> <p><u>Proposal (1)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to retain Kai Tak Garden in H09 (Tung Mei), and transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street together with Choi Hung Road Playground to H02 (Lung Ha), so as to reduce the number of affected DCCAs from three to two, and the number of people to be affected from 5 100 to 2 600; or <p><u>Proposal (2)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to retain Kai Tak Garden in H09 (Tung Mei), and transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street to H09 (Tung Mei). As for H02 (Lung Ha), its boundary should remain unchanged. 	
7	H02 – Lung Ha	1	-	(a) Based on the populations of H16 (Tsz Wan West), H17 (Ching Oi), H18 (Ching On) and H19 (Tsz	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	H07 – San Po Kong H09 – Tung Mei H16 – Tsz Wan West H17 – Ching Oi H18 – Ching On H19 – Tsz Wan East			<p>Wan East) in 2019, proposes to create an additional DCCA in the above areas to increase the number of DCCAs from four to five and change the names of DCCAs concerned. Details are as follows:</p> <p><u>H16 (Tsz Lok)</u> includes Tsz Lok Estate Phases 1 and 2, and Tsz Oi Court.</p> <p><u>H17 (Tsz Wan North)</u> includes Shatin Pass Estate, and Ching Tak House, Ching Wo House, Ching Yi House and Ching Fai House of Tsz Ching Estate.</p> <p><u>H18 (Tsz Ching)</u> includes Ching Tai House, Ching Yuk House, Ching Yuen House, Ching Ming House, Ching Hong House and Ching On House of Tsz Ching Estate.</p> <p><u>H19 (Tsz Wan East)</u> includes Tsz On Court, Tsz Man Estate, and Tsz Hong Estate.</p> <p><u>New DCCA</u> includes Lok Moon House, Lok Foon House and Lok Hop House of Tsz Lok Estate, and the buildings in the south of Yuk Wah Street.</p>	<p>follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO and the population distribution in the relevant administrative districts in delineating the DCCA boundaries.</p> <p>According to the legislation, the elected seats for the Wong Tai Sin District in the 2019 DC Ordinary Election will remain unchanged at 25 and there will be no new DCCA. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				(b) Proposes to transfer Morse Park in the north of Tung Tai Lane in H09 (Tung Mei) together with Kai Tak Garden to H02 (Lung Ha), and transfer the buildings in the area of Yin Hing Street, Tong Seng Mansion and Wing Lok Building to H02 (Lung Ha).	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of H02 (Lung Ha) (21 634) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+30.33%). Please also see item 6.
8	H04 – Fung Wong H05 – Fung Tak H13 – Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching H15 – Chuk Yuen North H16 – Tsz Wan West H17 – Ching Oi H18 – Ching On H19 – Tsz Wan East	14	-	(a) Object to the transfer of Shatin Pass Estate to H15 (Chuk Yuen North) on grounds of accessibility, physical features of the area and community development. Reasons are summarised as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shatin Pass Estate is far away from the polling station in H15 (Chuk Yuen North). Electors have to walk down the steep slope of Shatin Pass Road to access to the polling station. This will discourage electors from voting; • Shatin Pass Estate has closer ties with H17 (Ching Oi). Residents of the Estate mainly use the facilities in Tsz Wan Shan, and are used to visit the ward office of DC member of H17 (Ching Oi) for assistance and expression of views; and 	<u>Items (a) to (e)</u> The proposal made in the representations to retain Shatin Pass Estate in H17 (Ching Oi) is accepted . Initially, the EAC's provisional recommendations on transferring Shatin Pass Estate to H15 (Chuk Yuen North) is a knock-on effect for rectifying the situation that the population of H18 (Ching On) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. Taking into account that the DOs, being officers responsible for district administration, do have more comprehensive and in-depth knowledge on the local characteristics, geographical and transport matters of their administrative districts, as a long standing practice, the EAC will invite the DOs to provide factual information of their respective administrative districts on such matters for reference. In the present delineation exercise, the DO previously provided that geographically, Shatin Pass

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> there will be upcoming developments in Tsz Wan Shan so a need to re-delineate the DCCAs in future. 	Estate is close to Chuk Yuen (North) Estate and the two places are connected by public light buses. In view of this, in drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC proposed to transfer Shatin Pass Estate to H15 (Chuk Yuen North).
				(b) One representation proposes to retain Shatin Pass Estate in H17 (Ching Oi). As an alternative, to make use of H05 (Fung Tak) to rectify the excessive populations in H18 (Ching On) and H19 (Tsz Wan East) so that the number of affected DCCAs can be reduced from five to three.	Nevertheless, upon receipt of the representations, the EAC conducted site visit and noted that there is a certain distance between Shatin Pass Estate and H15 (Chuk Yuen North). Although there are public light buses connecting Shatin Pass Estate and Chuk Yuen (North) Estate, the slope between the two places is steep, making it inconvenient to travel the two places on foot. Therefore, based on geographical consideration, the EAC agrees to retain Shatin Pass Estate in H17 (Ching Oi).
				(c) One representation proposes to transfer Tsz Lok Estate Phase 3 from H16 (Tsz Wan West) to H04 (Fung Wong), uses H16 (Tsz Wan West) to absorb the population of Shatin Pass Estate, and transfer On Yan House of Tsz On Court in H19 (Tsz Wan East) to H18 (Ching On) so that the entire Tsz On Court can be in H18 (Ching On). Moreover, proposes to transfer Ching Fai House of H18 (Ching On) in place of Ching Yi House to H17 (Ching Oi) so as to balance the populations and shapes of the DCCAs.	After the above adjustment, the population of H18 (Ching On) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. The alternative proposal under item 8(b) by making use of H05 (Fung Tak) to rectify the problems of the populations of H18 (Ching on) and H19 (Tsz Wan East) for exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit is feasible and

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(d) One representation proposes to transfer the area of Yuk Wah Crescent from H19 (Tsz Wan East) to H04 (Fung Wong) and then transfer On Hong House of Tsz On Court from H18 (Ching On) to H19 (Tsz Wan East). Though H04 (Fung Wong) and H19 (Tsz Wan East) will be linked by a narrow passageway, the proposed arrangement has taken into account the factors that H04 (Fung Wong) will be relatively less populated and the buildings at Yuk Wah Crescent are similar to those in H04 (Fung Wong). Besides, there is precedent case for two DCCAs being linked by a narrow passageway, such as C31 (Hing Man) in the Eastern District.</p>	<p>able to comply with the requirement of statutory permissible range in terms of population figures. However, the EAC notes that there is a difference in geographical level between H19 (Tsz Wan East) and H05 (Fung Tak). Even the alternative proposal has not provided the details, the EAC realises that it is necessary to transfer the area of Yuk Wah Crescent with population of about 4 100 from H19 (Tsz Wan East) to H05 (Fung Tak). Such adjustment may bring about a large impact on the established local ties between Yuk Wah Crescent and Tsz Wan Shan, generating controversies.</p>
				<p>(e) Two representations propose that the boundaries of H17 (Ching Oi) and H18 (Ching On) should remain unchanged. One of the representations considers that if it is necessary to adjust the boundary of H18 (Ching On), then Ching Fai House should be transferred to H17 (Ching Oi) because Ching Fai House was included in H17 (Ching Oi) in both 2003 and 2007 DC Ordinary Elections.</p>	<p>After thorough consideration, in the absence of better alternative option, the EAC agrees to maintain the boundary of H18 (Ching On) unchanged in the present delineation exercise and its population be allowed to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. After the adjustments, the respective populations of H15 (Chuk Yuen North), H17 (Ching Oi) and H18 (Ching On) are:</p> <p>H15: 15 131, -8.84% H17: 20 665, +24.50% H18: 22 446, +35.23%</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. Besides, it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.
				(f) Three representations propose to transfer Chung Yuen House and Pak Yuen House from H13 (Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching) to H15 (Chuk Yuen North) for preservation of community integrity.	<u>Item (f)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the population of H13 (Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required. Please also see items 8(a) to (e).
9	H06 – Lung Sing H20 – King Fu	1	-	Proposes to transfer Tan Fung House and Chi Mei House of Choi Hung Estate to the adjacent H25 (Choi Hung), and transfer Regent On The Hill from H06 (Lung Sing) and King Hin Court from H20	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the populations of H06 (Lung Sing), H20 (King Fu), H24 (Chi Choi) and H25 (Choi Hung) will fall

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	H24 – Chi Choi H25 – Choi Hung			<p>(King Fu) to H24 (Chi Choi) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> in the 2015 delineation exercise, Choi Hung Estate was split into H24 (Chi Choi) and H25 (Choi Hung). Such arrangement broke the integrity and community identity of Choi Hung Estate. Moreover, as no population growth is expected at Choi Hung Estate and its vicinity in 2019, the transfer of Tan Fung House and Chi Mei House of Choi Hung Estate from H24 (Chi Choi) to the adjacent H25 (Choi Hung) will better preserve the community identities and local ties of Choi Hung Estate and the physical features of the area; Regent On The Hill in H06 (Lung Sing) and King Hin Court in H20 (King Fu) are very close to H24 (Chi Choi); and in light of the upcoming completion of new housing estates in both H06 (Lung Sing) and H20 (King Fu), it is believed that transferring part of the population to other DCCAs will be required in future. Therefore, the transfer of Regent On The Hill and King Hin Court to H24 (Chi Choi) is considered a better 	<p>within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and</p> <p>(ii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				option in terms of preservation of community identities, local ties and physical features of the area as well as the demographic change of DCCAs in future.	
10	H07 – San Po Kong	1	-	Expresses understanding of the adjustment to H07 (San Po Kong).	The view is noted.
11	H08 – Tung Tau	1	-	<p>Proposes to transfer Billionnaire Royale from H08 (Tung Tau) to the Kowloon City District because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • according to the land lease, Billionnaire Royale (Address: 83 Sa Po Road) is part of the Kowloon City District. Putting the above place in the Wong Tai Sin District denies the authority and role of the land lease; • putting the above place in the Wong Tin Sin District deprives the legitimate rights of those residents living there as being the resident of the Kowloon City District. This runs against the principles of equal opportunities, fairness and impartiality; and • when the district boundary was delineated in 1982, the site of the above place was only an open space. But now, it is a building with residents living therein. The previous boundary is 	The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				therefore no longer appropriate.	
12	H08 – Tung Tau	-	1	Objects to the transfer of The Latitude and Yue Xiu Plaza to H08 (Tung Tau) because they do not have any ties with the DCCA and are far away from the polling station.	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the population of H08 (Tung Tau) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on polling station is not the relevant factor of consideration. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the REO for consideration.</p>
13	H12 – Tin Keung H13 – Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching H14 – Chuk Yuen South	1	-	Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations.	The view is noted.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
14	H16 – Tsz Wan West	2	-	(a) Based on the populations of H21 (Choi Wan East), H22 (Choi Wan South), H23 (Choi Wan West), H24 (Chi Choi) and H25 (Choi Hung) in 2019, propose to delete a seat in 2023 so as to reduce the number of DCCAs from five to four for reasonable use of the DC resources.	<u>Item (a)</u> In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
	H17 – Ching Oi				
	H18 – Ching On				
	H19 – Tsz Wan East				
	H21 – Choi Wan East				
	H22 – Choi Wan South				
	H23 – Choi Wan West				
	H24 – Chi Choi				
H25 – Choi Hung					

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Yi House, Ching Fai House, Ching Tai House, Ching Yuk House and Ching Yuen House of Tsz Ching Estate.</p> <p><u>H19 (Tsz Wan Central)</u> includes Ching Ming House, Ching Hong House and Ching On House of Tsz Ching Estate, Tsz On Court, and Tsz Man Estate.</p> <p><u>H20 (Tsz Wan South)</u> includes Tsz Hong Estate, and the private buildings in the areas of Yuk Wah Crescent and Po Kong Village Road.</p> <p><u>H22 (Choi Wan South)</u> includes Choi Fai Estate, Choi Wan (II) Estate, and Choi Wan (I) Estate in the south of Clear Water Bay Road.</p> <p><u>H23 (Choi Wan North)</u> includes Scenic View, Fung Shing Street Disciplined Services Quarters, Aria, and Choi Wan (I) Estate in the north of Clear Water Bay Road.</p> <p><u>H24 (Ngau Chi Wan)</u> includes Bay View Garden, Chai Hung Villa, Fortune Garden, Kingsford Terrace, Ngau Chi Wan Village, Sun Lai Garden, and Wealth Garden.</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<u>H25 (Choi Hung)</u> includes Choi Hung Estate.	
15	H17 – Ching Oi H18 – Ching Oi	1	-	Objects to the transfer of Ching Yi House of Tsz Ching Estate to H17 (Ching Oi) because residents are used to the services provided by the DC member concerned. In addition, residents have to travel a long way to reach the DC member.	The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration. Please also see item 8.