

Appendix II - J

Kwun Tong District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No. *</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	<p>Proposes to re-delineate the boundaries of all DCCAs and change the names and codes of the DCCAs concerned. Details are as follows:</p> <p><u>J01 (Kwun Tong Central)</u> includes Kwun Tong Town Centre Redevelopment Area, Kwun Tong Industrial Area, the area in the west of Wai Fat Road, most of the area at Yuet Wah Street (excluding a few blocks of the buildings in the west of Hip Wo Street), Wo Lok Estate, and the area in the south of Shing Fung Road Bridge in G14 (Kai Tak Central & South) of the Kowloon City District.</p> <p><u>J02 (Kowloon Bay)</u> includes Telford Gardens and its surrounding industrial and commercial areas, and also four industrial and commercial buildings in the west of Auxiliary Police Headquarters.</p> <p><u>J03 (Kai Yip)</u> includes Kai Yip Estate, Kai Tai Court, Choi Yan House, Choi Yee House, Choi Shun House and Choi Shing House of Choi Tak Estate, Kwun</p>	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be 28 more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and</p> <p>(ii) the EAC must follow the existing boundaries of the administrative districts as specified in Schedules 1 and 3 of the DCO and comply with the statutory criteria set out in the EACO in the delineation of DCCA boundaries.</p>

* W: Number of written representations.

O: Number of oral representations.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Tong Bypass, and the area in the north of Urban Oasis.</p> <p><u>J04 (Lai Ching)</u> includes Richland Gardens and Kai Yan Street Sitting-out Area, with Kai Shing Street as DCCA boundary. Changes the English name of the DCCA to “Richland”.</p> <p><u>J05 (Ping Shek)</u> includes Ping Shek Estate, 8 Clear Water Bay Road, and Choi Hing Court which will be completed in 2019.</p> <p><u>J06 (Sheung Choi)</u> includes Choi Chun House, Choi King House, Choi Leung House and Choi Yin House of Choi Tak Estate, and Choi Fook Estate.</p> <p><u>J07 (Jordan Valley)</u> includes Choi Ha Estate, Choi Ying Estate, Cheerful Court, and Choi Wing Road Park.</p> <p><u>J08 (Shun Tin)</u> includes Shun Tin Estate.</p> <p><u>J09 (On Lee)</u> includes Shun On Estate, Lee Foo House, Lee Hong House, Lee Yat House and Lee Yip House of Shun Lee Estate, and Shun Lee Tsuen Park.</p> <p><u>J10 (Sheung Shun)</u> includes Shun Chi Court, Shun Lee Disciplined Services Quarters, Lee Cheung House,</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Lee Ming House and Lee Hang House of Shun Lee Estate, and Jordan Valley Park. Transfers the long and narrow area between New Clear Water Bay Road and Clear Water Bay Road to H22 (Choi Wan South) of the Wong Tai Sin District.</p> <p><u>J11 (On Tai)</u> includes Ming Tai House, Chi Tai House, Kui Tai House, King Tai House, Hang Tai House and Tak Tai House of On Tai Estate, and the residential buildings on 9 Anderson Road in Q05 (Hang Hau West) of the Sai Kung District.</p> <p><u>J12 (Tai Tat)</u> deletes J32 (Yuet Wah). Groups Fung Tai House, Shing Tai House, Yung Tai House and Kam Tai House of On Tai Estate, and Oi Tat House, Shing Tat House, Chun Tat House, Yin Tat House and Hau Tat House of On Tat Estate together to form a DCCA.</p> <p><u>J13 (Sau Mau Ping North)</u> includes Sau Hong House, Sau Lok House, Sau Nga House, Sau Wah House, Sau Wo House, Sau Yat House and Sau Yee House of Sau Mau Ping Estate.</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p><u>J14 (Hui Lai)</u> includes Hui Lai Court, United Christian Hospital, and the buildings in the area of Hui Kwong Street.</p> <p><u>J15 (Sau Mau Ping South)</u> includes Sau Fu House, Sau On House and Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate, and Sau Mau Ping South Estate.</p> <p><u>J16 (Sau Mau Ping Central)</u> includes Sau Chi House, Sau Ching House, Sau King House, Sau Wai House, Sau Yin House, Sau Yue House and Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate.</p> <p><u>J17 (On Tat)</u> includes Yan Tat House, Sin Tat House, Chi Tat House, Lai Tat House, Him Tat House and Ching Tat House of On Tat Estate, and Tat Cheung House, Tat Hong House and Tat Fu House of Po Tat Estate. Extends a section of Anderson Road within the boundary of the DCCA to the ridge of Tai Sheung Tok.</p> <p><u>J18 (Po Tat)</u> includes Tat Hei House, Tat Shun House, Tat Kai House, Tat Hin House, Tat Kwai House, Tat On House, Tat Fung House, Tat Chui House, Tat Yan House and Tat Yi House of Po Tat Estate.</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p><u>J19 (Hing Tin)</u> includes Hing Tin Estate, Hong Wah Court, and Tak Yee House, Tak Lok House, Tak King House and Tak Lai House of Tak Tin Estate.</p> <p><u>J20 (Lam Tin)</u> includes Hong Yat Court, Kai Tin Estate, Lam Tin Estate, Kai Tin Shopping Centre, Lam Tin Polyclinic, Lam Tin Fire Station, and the international school facing On Tin Street.</p> <p><u>J21 (Ping Tin)</u> includes Kai Tin Towers, On Tin Estate, Ping Tin Estate, and Hong Tin Court.</p> <p><u>J22 (Tak Tin)</u> includes Tak Shui House, Tak Shing House, Tak Lung House, Tak Yan House and Tak Hong House of Tak Tin Estate, Hong Ying Court, and Hong Nga Court.</p> <p><u>J23 (Kwong Tin)</u> includes Kwong Tin Estate, Hong Pak Court, and Hong Shui Court.</p> <p><u>J24 (Chui Chun)</u> includes Yau Chui Court, Yau Mei Court, Ko Chun Court, Yau Tong Service Reservoir Playground, Lei Yue Mun Road Playground, and two secondary schools there.</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p><u>J25 (Cheung Yee)</u> includes Ko Cheung Court and Ko Yee Estate.</p> <p><u>J26 (Lei Yue Mun)</u> includes Lei Yue Mun Estate, Yau Tong Centre, the buildings in Yau Tong Industrial Area, and various villages in Lei Yue Mun.</p> <p><u>J27 (Yau Tong)</u> includes Yau Tong Estate, and Yan Lai House, Hong Lai House, Chui Lai House, Fung Lai House and Ying Lai House of Yau Lai Estate.</p> <p><u>J28 (Cha Kwo Ling)</u> includes Bik Lai House, Cheuk Lai House, Chi Lai House, Nga Lai House, Sau Lai House, Yat Lai House, Yi Lai House and Yung Lai House of Yau Lai Estate, Cha Kwo Ling Tsuen, and the area of Fan Wa Street.</p> <p><u>J29 (Laguna City)</u> includes Laguna City and the area of Sin Fat Road.</p> <p><u>J30 (King Tin)</u> includes Sceneway Garden, Tseung Kwan O Road Disciplined Services Quarters, and Lei On Court.</p> <p><u>J31 (Tsui Ping South)</u> includes Tsui Ping (South) Estate, and Tsui Pak House, Tsui Lau House, Tsui Cheung House and Tsui Tsz House of</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Tsui Ping (North) Estate.</p> <p><u>J32 (Tsui Ping North)</u> includes Po Pui Court, Hiu Ming Street Playground, and Tsui Mei House, Tsui Yeung House, Tsui Nam House, Tsui Mui House, Tsui Yue House, Tsui To House, Tsui On House and Tsui Yung House of Tsui Ping (North) Estate.</p> <p><u>J33 (Hip Hong)</u> includes Hong Ning Road Playground, Cheung Wo Court, Wan Hon Estate, Wah Fung Gardens, Hipway Towers, Nam Tai Mansion and Cheung On Mansion at Shui Wo Street, and the areas of On Ning Building and Kin Tak House at Hip Wo Street.</p> <p><u>J34 (Hong Lok)</u> includes Cambridge Building, and the areas of Hang On Street and Yee On Street.</p> <p><u>J35 (Ting On)</u> includes Kwun Tong Garden Estate, a section of Ngau Tau Kok Road between Hong Ning Road and Luen On Street, Yue Man Centre, and Horse Shoe Lane.</p> <p><u>J36 (Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate)</u> includes Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate, and the private residential buildings in the areas of Ting On Street, Ting Yip Street and Ting Fu Street.</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p><u>J37 (Lok Wah South)</u> includes Hei Wah House, Man Wah House, On Wah House, Wun Wah House and Fai Wah House of Lok Wah (South) Estate, Sau Mau Ping Disciplined Services Quarters, and Kung Lok Road Playground.</p> <p><u>J38 (Lok Wah North)</u> includes Lok Wah (North) Estate, Lok Nga Court, and Chin Wah House of Lok Wah (South) Estate.</p> <p><u>J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate)</u> includes Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate, Chun Wah Court, On Kay Court, Siu King Building, and Wai King Building.</p> <p><u>J40 (To Tai)</u> includes Amoy Gardens, Jade Field Garden, Lee Kee Building, Wang Kwong Building, and Tak Bo Garden. Changes the English name of the DCCA to “Amoy”.</p>	
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on J08 (Shun Tin) and J09 (Sheung Shun).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J10 (On Lee), J11 (On Tai), J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J13 (Sau Mau Ping	<u>Item (b)</u> The view is noted.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				Central), J14 (On Tat), J15 (Sau Mau Ping South), J16 (Po Tat), J17 (Kwong Tak), J18 (Hing Tin), J19 (Lam Tin), J20 (Ping Tin), J21 (Pak Nga), J22 (Chun Cheung), J23 (Yau Tong East), J24 (Yau Chui), J25 (Yau Lai), J26 (Yau Tong West), J27 (Laguna City), J29 (Tsui Ping), J30 (Hiu Lai), J31 (Po Lok), J32 (Yuet Wah), J33 (Hip Hong), J34 (Lok Wah South), J35 (Lok Wah North), J36 (Hong Lok) and J37 (Ting On).	
				(c) Considers that the population of J27 (Laguna City) has more than doubled the population of J37 (Ting On). On the delineation exercise in 2023, J27 (Laguna City) and the area of Cha Kwo Ling should be split into two DCCAs.	<p><u>Items (c) and (d)</u> In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.</p>
				(d) Considers it unreasonable to split Tsui Ping Estate into three DCCAs, namely J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping) and J31 (Po Lok). The EAC should adjust the boundaries of these three DCCAs by splitting Tsui Ping Estate into two DCCAs in the delineation exercise in 2023.	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				(e) Considers it undesirable to split On Tai Estate, On Tat Estate and Po Tat Estate into different DCCAs.	<u>Item (e)</u> With regard to the scale of existing public housing estates in Hong Kong, it is common that the population of a public housing estate will have exceeded the statutory permissible range. According to the statutory criteria, the EAC cannot include an entire public housing estate in one DCCA if its total population has exceeded the statutory permissible upper limit.
				(f) Considers that the total number of seats for J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping), J31 (Po Lok), J32 (Yuet Wah), J33 (Hip Hong), J34 (Lok Wah South), J35 (Lok Wah North), J36 (Hong Lok) and J37 (Ting On) is one more than the number of elected seats calculated by the aggregate population of these DCCAs. Proposes to transfer the excessive seat to the area of "Four Chois" (i.e. J06 (Choi Tak) and J07 (Jordan Valley) where Choi Ying Estate, Choi Tak Estate, Choi Ha Estate, and Choi Fook Estate are located) where their populations have reached the upper limit, for reasonable use of DC resources.	<u>Item (f)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the populations of J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping), J31 (Po Lok), J32 (Yuet Wah), J33 (Hip Hong), J34 (Lok Wah South), J35 (Lok Wah North), J36 (Hong Lok) and J37 (Ting On) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				(g) Since it is necessary for the residents of Tak Bo Garden and Choi Ying Estate to use the footbridge near Choi Shek Lane to get across Kwun Tong Road to J03 (Kai Yip), proposes to transfer Tak Bo Garden and the buildings at Ngau Tau Kok Road from J03 (Kai Yip) to J40 (To Tai).	<u>Item (g)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the population of J40 (To Tai) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
				(h) Proposes to transfer the entire Choi Hing Court to J05 (Ping Shek).	<u>Item (h)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the population of J05 (Ping Shek) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.
				(i) Objects to the provisional recommendations on J10 (On Lee), J11 (On Tai), J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central), J14 (On Tat), J15 (Sau Mau Ping South), J16 (Po Tat) and J30 (Hiu Lai). Considers that to rectify the shape of DCCAs and achieve a balanced population distribution, slight adjustments should be made to the boundaries of the new development areas in the Kwun Tong District because there has been continuous growth in the population of the areas. Proposes to:	<u>Item (i)</u> This proposal is not accepted because according to the proposal made in the representation, the respective populations of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J14 (On Tat) and J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, which are: J12: 21 016, +26.61% J14: 24 018, +44.70% J15: 21 108, +27.16%

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • transfer Wo Tai House, Ming Tai House and Chi Tai House of On Tai Estate from J11 (On Tai) to J10 (On Lee); • transfer United Christian Hospital from J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) to J30 (Hiu Lai); • retain Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central); • retain Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat); and • transfer Tat Cheung House, Tat Kwai House and Tat On House from J16 (Po Tat) to J15 (Sau Mau Ping South). 	
				(j) Proposes to rename J38 (Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate) as “Upper Ngau Tau Kok” and J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) as “Lower Ngau Tau Kok & Jordan Valley” so as to maintain the names adopted before 2003 and reflect the fact that there are other housing estates in the above DCCAs.	<p><u>Item (j)</u> This proposal is not accepted because there is no adjustment made to the boundaries of the DCCAs concerned in the present delineation exercise and hence changing the DCCA names may cause confusion to the public.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
3	J01 – Kwun Tong Central J02 – Kowloon Bay J03 – Kai Yip J07 – Jordan Valley J12 – Sau Mau Ping North J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J14 – On Tat J15 – Sau Mau Ping South J16 – Po Tat J22 – Chun Cheung	1	-	<p>(a) Considers that given Choi Ying Estate was transferred from J06 (Choi Tak) to other DCCAs in the provisional recommendations, the EAC should consider including the entire Choi Ying Estate in the same DCCA. Proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> group the parts of Choi Ying Estate in J03 (Kai Yip) and J07 (Jordan Valley) together with Choi Fook Estate in J07 (Jordan Valley) into a DCCA “Sheung Choi”; group Choi Ha Estate in J07 (Jordan Valley) and Amoy Gardens in J40 (To Tai) into a DCCA “Jordan Valley”; transfer Jade Field Garden, Wang Kwong Building and Lee Kee Building from J40 (To Tai) to J02 (Kowloon Bay) to rectify the problem of a relatively low population in J02 (Kowloon Bay); and maintain the boundary of J03 (Kai Yip) unchanged. 	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be three more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.</p>
				<p>(b) Considers that the boundaries of the five DCCAs, namely J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J13 (Sau</p>	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	J23 – Yau Tong East J24 – Yau Chui J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West J29 – Tsui Ping J31 – Po Lok J32 – Yuet Wah J35 – Lok Wah North J38 – Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate J39 – Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate J40 – To Tai			<p>Mau Ping Central), J14 (On Tat), J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) and J16 (Po Tat), where On Tat Estate, Po Tat Estate, Sau Mau Ping Estate and Sau Mau Ping South Estate are located, are intertwined. Six DCCAs should be allocated to the four housing estates above. Proposes to delete J01 (Kwun Tong Central) to free up a DCCA for creation between On Tat Estate and Po Tat Estate. Details are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> transfers the buildings located in the area of Ting On Street from J01 (Kwun Tong Central) to J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate). Renames the DCCA as “Lower Ngau Tau Kok”; transfers Lok Nga Court from J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) to J35 (Lok Wah North); transfers Tsui Cheung House, Tsui Lau House, Tsui On House, Tsui Pak House and Tsui Tsz House of Tsui Ping (North) Estate from J01 (Kwun Tong Central) to J31 (Po Lok) and/or J29 (Tsui Ping), and 	<p>(i) in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is an increase in the number of elected seats by three from 37 to a total of 40 for the Kwun Tong District for the next DC Ordinary Election.</p> <p>In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats as stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries, and strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The recommendations were made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the EAC has no authority to revise or vary;</p> <p>(ii) J16 (Po Tat) comprised Po Tat Estate and the area of Anderson Road Quarry in the past. The original location of Anderson Road</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>transfers the buildings located at the area of Yue Man Centre from J01 (Kwun Tong Central) to J32 (Yuet Wah). Rename the DCCA as “Yuet Wah & Yue Man”;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • transfers the buildings connected to the lift tower between On Tat Estate and Po Tat Estate to the new DCCA; • forms J14 (On Tat) by including most of the buildings in On Tat Estate, and forms J16 (Po Tat) by including most of the buildings in Po Tat Estate; • transfers Tat Hei House, Tat Shun House and Tat Kai House of Po Tat Estate from J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) to J16 (Po Tat); • transfers Sau Fu House, Sau On House and Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate from J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) to J15 (Sau Mau Ping South); and • retains Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central). 	<p>Quarry has been developed and turned to the newly-built On Tai Estate and On Tat Estate. As a result, the population of J16 (Po Tat) will significantly increase to 71 222. In terms of population, theoretically, three new DCCAs have to be created in the original area of J16 (Po Tat) to absorb the population in excess of the permissible limit, so that the population of the DCCA will fall within the statutory permissible range.</p> <p>However, having considered that the populations of the three adjacent DCCAs in Yau Tong, namely J23 (Yau Tong East), J24 (Yau Chui) and J25 (Yau Lai), will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, and there is a need to create a new DCCA to absorb these DCCAs' populations in excess of the permissible limit, only two DCCAs J11 (On Tai) and J14 (On Tat) can be created within the original boundary of J16 (Po Tat). As the populations of the two new DCCAs will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, it is required to transfer part of their populations to the</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>adjacent DCCAs, so that the populations of the DCCAs concerned will fall within the statutory permissible range; and</p> <p>(iii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be six more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.</p>
				<p>(c) Objects to splitting Yau Lai Estate into J24 (Yau Chui), J25 (Yau Lai) and J26 (Yau Tong West), and considers that the boundaries of J22 (Chun Cheung) and J23 (Yau Tong East) are intertwined. Proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • form a DCCA comprising Ko Chun Court, Ko Yee Estate, and Ko Fei House, Ko Fung House, Ko Ching House and Ko Hong House of Ko Cheung Court. Name the DCCA as “Ko Chiu”; • include the areas in the east of Cha Kwo Ling Road, the south of Yau Tong Road, the west of Yan Wing Street, and the north of Ko Chiu Road in J26 (Yau Tong 	<p><u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>West). Rename the DCCA as “Yau Tong”; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> transfer the remaining parts of J26 (Yau Tong West) to J23 (Yau Tong East). Rename the DCCA as “Lei Yue Mun”. 	
4	<p>J01 – Kwun Tong Central</p> <p>J29 – Tsui Ping</p> <p>J31 – Po Lok</p>	1	-	<p>Proposes to merge Tsui Ping (North) Estate, which is currently split into J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping) and J31 (Po Lok), into one single DCCA. After the adjustments, Tsui Ping (North) Estate and Tsui Ping (South) Estate will each become a DCCA of their own and will respectively be named as “Tsui Ping South” and “Tsui Ping North” so that the DC members concerned can focus on serving the residents of Tsui Ping (South) Estate and Tsui Ping (North) Estate.</p>	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of J01 (Kwun Tong Central), J29 (Tsui Ping) and J31 (Po Lok) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>
5	<p>J03 – Kai Yip</p> <p>J06 – Choi Tak</p>	5	2	<p>(a) Object to the provisional recommendations on J03 (Kai Yip). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> it takes a long time for the residents of Choi 	<p><u>Items (a) to (c)</u></p> <p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	J07 – Jordan Valley J40 – To Tai			<p>Ying Estate in J06 (Choi Tak) to get to the area of Kai Yip Estate in J03 (Kai Yip) as the two DCCAs are separated by Kwun Tong Road located between them, making it a long journey; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> it is difficult for the residents of Choi Ying Estate to seek help from the DC members. 	<p>respective populations of J06 (Choi Tak) and J07 (Jordan Valley) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, which are:</p> <p>J06:21 851, +31.64% J07:21 733, +30.93%</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>
				(b) Two representations propose to keep the boundary of J06 (Choi Tak) unchanged.	
				(c) One representation proposes to transfer Ying Hong House of Choi Ying Estate to J07 (Jordan Valley) and retain Ying Fu House and Ying On House of Choi Ying Estate in J06 (Choi Tak), and to rename the DCCA as “Sheung Choi”.	
				(d) One representation proposes to form a new DCCA comprising Ying Fu House, Ying Hong House and Ying On House of Choi Ying Estate of J06 (Choi Tak), Ying Lok House and Ying Shun House of Choi Ying Estate of J07 (Jordan Valley), and Jade Field Garden, Wang Kwong Building and Lee	
					<p><u>Item (d)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Keep Building of J40 (To Tai).</p>	
				<p>(e) One representation proposes to set up a polling station in the area of Choi Ying Estate.</p>	<p><u>Item (e)</u> Arrangement on polling stations is not the relevant factor of consideration for delineation of DCCAs. The EAC has referred this view to the REO for consideration.</p>
				<p>(f) One representation proposes to form a DCCA comprising Tak Bo Garden and some private housing estates in the vicinity so as to avoid the situation that the DC member concerned has to deal with conflicting interests among electors in the same DCCA.</p>	<p><u>Item (f)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the population of J03 (Kai Yip) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>
				<p>(g) One representation hopes that the EAC would explain how population projection is made and how the population figures of the housing estates concerned are worked out.</p>	<p><u>Item (g)</u> The projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.</p>
6	J05 – Ping Shek	1	-	<p>Proposes to rename J05 (Ping Shek) as “Ching Ping”, to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reflect the fact that apart from Ping Shek Estate, there are also other stakeholders located along Clear Water Bay Road in that DCCA; • increase the sense of belonging among the 	<p>This proposal is not accepted because the DCCA name has been used since 1999 and the public are used to this name. Moreover, there is no adjustment made to its boundary in the present delineation exercise and hence changing the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>residents living at Clear Water Bay Road towards the DCCA, which in turn will increase their participation in district affairs and the voter turnout; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> allow public officers to take care of all residents of the same DCCA in addition to the residents of Ping Shek. 	
7	J05 – Ping Shek J06 – Choi Tak	3	1	<p>Propose to transfer a building of Choi Hing Court, which will be completed in 2019 from J06 (Choi Tak) to J05 (Ping Shek) as there are a total of three blocks in Choi Hing Court, two of which are in J05 (Ping Shek) while the remaining one is in J06 (Choi Tak). The representations are consistent with community integrity and can bring about a more balanced population distribution in J05 (Ping Shek) and J06 (Choi Tak) and less impact on the administration and management of Choi Hing Court.</p>	<p>These proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration.</p>
8	J09 – Sheung Shun J10 – On Lee	1	-	<p>Considers that the population of J11 (On Tai) is close to the statutory permissible upper limit. Proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> transfer Chi Tai House of On Tai Estate from J11 	<p>This proposal is not accepted because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	J11 – On Tai			(On Tai) to J10 (On Lee); and • transfer Lee Yip House of Shun Lee Estate from J10 (On Lee) to J09 (Sheung Shun).	the proposal will be larger, too.
9	J10 – On Lee J11 – On Tai J14 – On Tat J22 – Chun Cheung	1	-	Supports the creation of three DCCAs, namely On Tai, On Tat and Chun Cheung, and to transfer some of the buildings of J11 (On Tai) to J10 (On Lee).	The supporting view is noted.
10	J10 – On Lee J11 – On Tai	4	-	Object to the transfer of Wo Tai House and Ming Tai House of On Tai Estate from J11 (On Tai) to J10 (On Lee), and propose to retain all buildings of On Tai Estate in J11 (On Tai). Reasons are summarised as follows: • splitting On Tai Estate into different DCCAs will break community integrity; • the residents of Wo Tai House and Ming Tai House are required to go to Shun Lee Estate to vote and lodge complaints. This will cause anxiety to the residents and affect the unity and spirit of the	These proposals are not accepted because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J11 (On Tai) (25 526) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+53.78%); (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; and

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>community;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the affairs of J10 (On Lee) are not related to the residents of On Tai Estate. The rental of On Tai Estate is also different from that of Shun On Estate and Shun Lee Estate; there is an interval of nearly 40 years between the time of population intake of On Tai Estate and Shun Lee Estate. The planning, facilities, layout and so forth of the two housing estates are different; and the median age of the residents of J10 (On Lee) is 55.5, which is approximately 28% higher than that in Hong Kong (43.4). The residents there are relatively older, and the living environment and characteristics of the residents are clearly different from those of On Tai Estate. Hence, if the residents of the two places are grouped into the same DCCA, it will be difficult for the elected DC member to serve the residents, resulting in fragmentation of the community and decrease in the quality of life of the residents. 	(iii) there is supporting view on the provisional recommendations on J10 (On Lee) and J11 (On Tai) (Please see item 9).

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
11	J11 – On Tai	1	-	Indicates that the English name of J11 (On Tai) is the same as that of R36 (On Tai) in the Sha Tin District.	The view is accepted . To avoid confusion, the EAC proposes to change the name of J11 (On Tai) to “Kwun Tong On Tai”.
12	J12 – Sau Mau Ping North J15 – Sau Mau Ping South	1	-	Objects to the transfer of Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate to J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) because the provisional recommendations fail to preserve the community integrity, voting habits, lifestyle and historical background of the electors of Sau Mau Ping Estate.	This representation is not accepted because based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) (21 164) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+27.50%). To maintain the population of the DCCA to fall within the statutory permissible range, adjustment to its boundary is required.
13	J12 – Sau Mau Ping North J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J14 – On Tat J15 – Sau Mau Ping South J16 – Po Tat	1	-	Objects to splitting Sau Mau Ping Estate into three DCCAs. Proposes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> maintain the boundaries of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) and J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central) unchanged; transfer Tat Kwai House and Tat On House of Po Tat Estate from J16 (Po Tat) to J15 (Sau Mau Ping South); retain Chi Tat House, Ching Tat House, Chun Tat House, Hau Tat House, Him Tat House, Lai Tat House, Yin Tat House, Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat); and 	This proposal is not accepted because according to the proposal made in the representation, the respective populations of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) and J16 (Po Tat) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, which are: J12: 21 164, +27.50% J16: 21 774, +31.18%

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> form J16 (Po Tat) comprising Yan Tat House and Sin Tat House of On Tat Estate, and Tat Cheung House, Tat Chui House, Tat Fu House, Tat Fung House, Tat Hong House, Tat Yan House and Tat Yi House of Po Tat Estate. 	
14	<p>J12 – Sau Mau Ping North</p> <p>J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central</p> <p>J14 – On Tat</p> <p>J15 – Sau Mau Ping South</p> <p>J16 – Po Tat</p>	1	-	<p>Considers that the provisional recommendations on J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central), J14 (On Tat), J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) and J16 (Po Tat) fail to take into account community integrity and transport considerations. Proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> retain the 13 blocks of Po Tat Estate in J16 (Po Tat); retain Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central); form J15 (Sau Mau Ping South) comprising Sau On House, Sau Fu House and Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J12 (Sau Mau Ping North), and Sau Mau Ping South Estate; and retain the 11 blocks of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat). However, if the population of J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) has to be considered, can transfer one or two blocks of On 	<p>This proposal is not accepted because according to the proposal made in the representation, the respective populations of J14 (On Tat) and J16 (Po Tat) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, which are:</p> <p>J14: 24 018, +44.70%</p> <p>J16: 24 683, +48.70%</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				Tat Estate to J12 (Sau Mau Ping North) which is accessible by a footbridge.	
15	J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central	1	-	Proposes to include the Ancillary Facilities Block of Sau Mau Ping Estate to the constituency areas boundary descriptions of J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central).	This proposal is not accepted because the constituency areas boundary descriptions include only major housing estates or areas in order to help the public understand the areas covered by the DCCAs.
16	J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J14 – On Tat	41	-	Propose to retain Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat). Reasons are summarised as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> retention of Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate in J14 (On Tat) can preserve community integrity; there is quite a long distance between Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate, and J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central). The provisional recommendations are unreasonable from geographical point of view; On Tat Estate belongs to the Sze Shun Area under the HAD. Hence, buildings of On Tat Estate should not be included in two DCCAs; and 	This proposal is not accepted because: <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J14 (On Tat) (24 018) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+44.70%); and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the provisional recommendations will cause confusion to the residents of Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House when they follow up matters with the DC member because they have to go to the adjacent DCCA to find the DC member concerned. This makes some residents lose their channel to seek assistance. 	
17	J13 – Sau Mau Ping Central J15 – Sau Mau Ping South	1243 [^]	-	<p>Propose to retain Sau Fai House of Sau Mau Ping Estate in J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the provisional recommendations fail to preserve community integrity because Sau Chi House, Sau King House, Sau Yue House, Sau Wai House, Sau Ching House, Sau Yin House, the Ancillary Facilities Block and Sau Fai House support each other in the same DCCA since the opening of Sau Mau Ping Estate 18 years ago. The provisional recommendations make it difficult for the residents of Sau Fai House to adapt and they are required to go to other housing estates for help in case of need; 	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central) (21 206) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+27.75%);</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; and</p> <p>(iii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC</p>

[^] Of which, 1 236 are template letters.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the population of Sau Fai House significantly deviates from the actual number because Sau Fai House is a house for the elderly and most of them live alone. Only few of them are elderly doubletons, so its population should be less than 900. Therefore, the population of J13 (Sau Mau Ping Central) (19 749) together with that of Sau Fai House will still be within the statutory permissible range; the provisional recommendations bring about problems and conflicts with regard to management services; and the provisional recommendations substantially increase the workload of the DC member. 	<p>Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSB, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSB are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSB, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
18	J15 – Sau Mau Ping South J16 – Po Tat	796 [#]	-	Propose to retain 13 blocks of Po Tat Estate in J16 (Po Tat). Reasons are summarised as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> community integrity can be preserved; the population of Po Tat Estate has been constantly decreasing and now is less than 21 000, which is within the statutory permissible range; all buildings of Po Tat Estate belong to the Sze Shun Area under the HAD. Therefore, buildings of Po Tat Estate should not be split into two DCCAs; and the geographical location of Po Tat Estate is not close to other DCCAs. Residents should be served by the DC member within the area of Po Tat Estate. 	This proposal is not accepted because: <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J16 (Po Tat) (24 683) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+48.70%); and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>
19	J19 – Lam Tin J20 – Ping Tin	1	-	Holds no objection to adjusting the boundaries of J19 (Lam Tin) and J20 (Ping Tin), but proposes to retain Kai Tin Shopping Centre in J19 (Lam Tin).	This proposal is not accepted because Kai Tin Shopping Centre has no population. The proposal made in the representation does not provide sufficient objective information and justification to support the representation. In addition, according to the proposal made in the representation, the shape of J20 (Ping Tin) will become undesirable.

[#] Of which, 793 are template letters.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
20	J19 – Lam Tin J20 – Ping Tin	3	-	<p>Object to the transfer of Kai Tin Towers from J19 (Lam Tin) to J20 (Ping Tin). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kai Tin Towers have been included in different DCCAs in three consecutive DC delineation exercises, making it difficult for the residents there to adapt and causing confusion to them in seeking DC members' services and expressing their opinions; • the residents of Kai Tin Towers have to vote at different polling stations in each election. This will reduce their initiative to vote and cause confusion to them, making it more difficult for them to build up a sense of belonging towards their DCCA; • the management styles of Kai Tin Towers as well as Ping Tin Estate and On Tin Estate of J20 (Ping Tin) are different. The provisional recommendations will break community integrity; and • the population projection of J20 (Ping Tin) is extremely unreasonable. The population of J20 	<p>These proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of J19 (Lam Tin) (22 098) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+33.13%). To maintain the population of the DCCA to fall within the statutory permissible range, adjustment to its boundary is required. Among all feasible options of adjustments, the provisional recommendations affect the least population;</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration;</p> <p>(iii) the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(Ping Tin) is underestimated.</p> <p>One representation proposes to adjust the boundaries of the DCCAs in Lam Tin after the population intake of the newly-developed Disciplined Services Quarters located next to Kwun Tong Police Station in the next delineation exercise so as to prevent excessive and frequent adjustments to the DCCA boundaries, hence causing inconvenience to the electors.</p>	<p>Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise; and</p> <p>(iv) in drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.
21	J20 – Ping Tin J27 – Laguna City J28 – King Tin	1	-	Proposes to transfer Blocks 24 to 26 of Laguna City to J28 (King Tin), and transfer Hong Tin Court from the latter to J20 (Ping Tin) because if the EAC does not solve the problem of overpopulation in Laguna City, it will upset the planning of the adjacent DCCAs, making the community integrity undesirable.	This proposal is not accepted . It is not feasible to transfer some of the population in J27 (Laguna City) to J28 (King Tin) since J27 (Laguna City) is separated from J28 (King Tin) in the north of it by a hillside.
22	J22 – Chun Cheung J23 – Yau Tong East J24 – Yau Chui J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West	10	-	Support the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
23	J22 – Chun Cheung J23 – Yau Tong East	1	-	Proposes to form a DCCA comprising Ko Chun Court of J22 (Chun Cheung) and Ko Yee Estate of J23 (Yau Tong East) as Ko Chun Court and Ko Yee Estate are contiguous to each other geographically and both housing estates were built around the same year. Doubts if any factors other than population have been taken into account in respect of the provisional recommendations of forming a DCCA with Ko Chun Court and Ko Cheung Court, which is Disciplined Services Quarters.	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of the DCCA concerned (8 682) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-47.70%); and (ii) the EACO stipulates that apart from taking into account the projected populations, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the concerned areas when adjusting the DCCA boundaries. These factors do certainly not include political ones or any factors not relevant to the statutory requirements.
24	J22 – Chun Cheung	1	-	(a) Supports the creation of J22 (Chun Cheung).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
	J23 – Yau Tong East J24 – Yau Chui			(b) Objects to splitting Yau Lai Estate into three DCCAs. Proposes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> transfer the areas in the east of Lei Yue Mun Path and Shung Shun Street from J26 (Yau Tong West) to J23 (Yau 	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be two more than that in the provisional

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West J27 – Laguna City			<p>Tong East);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> transfer the areas in the south of Cha Kwo Ling Road and in the east of Ko Fai Road from J26 (Yau Tong West) to J24 (Yau Chui); transfer the area of Cha Kwo Ling Tsuen to J27 (Laguna City); transfer Ying Lai House of Yau Lai Estate to J26 (Yau Tong West); and maintain the status of J27 (Laguna City) as a DCCA with population exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit, or subject to the actual population, split it into two DCCAs with populations below the statutory permissible lower limit. 	<p>recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and</p> <p>(ii) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of J27 (Laguna City) (24 757) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+49.15%). According to the proposal made in the representation, the population of the DCCA will further exceed the statutory permissible upper limit.</p>
25	J24 – Yau Chui J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West	9	-	<p>(a) Propose to transfer Hong Lai House, Tsui Lai House and Yan Lai House of Yau Lai Estate from J26 (Yau Tong West) to J25 (Yau Lai). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yau Lai Estate is quite unique in terms of the living space, location, passageways and corridors, malls, sitting-out facilities, 	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of J25 (Yau Lai) (22 424) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+35.09%);</p> <p>(ii) the number of affected</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>carparks and social welfare organisations or even community problems. Splitting Yau Lai Estate into two DCCAs is already the limit and splitting it into three DCCAs will break its community integrity, identity and undermine the residents' sense of belonging towards the community, creating a lot of confusion for them;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • increase in the number of DC members to deal with the district affairs of Yau Lai Estate from two to three will make it more difficult for all three of them to have meetings and reach a consensus with the HD. It is expected that longer time will be taken to resolve the community problems. Besides, the residents of Yau Lai Estate will be confused as to which DC member they should seek help for solving the community problems; • the population of J26 (Yau Tong West) (19 627), which substantially deviates from the population quota (+18.24%), and 	<p>DCCAs under the proposal made in the representations will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and</p> <p>(iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>the area of the DCCA is also the largest in the Kwun Tong District. In addition, there are public housing estates, housing estates under the Tenants Purchase Scheme, squatter structures and scrappy metal shacks, old tenement buildings, newer private residential blocks, private residential buildings, factory areas, tourist areas, etc. in that DCCA, resulting in a large population and disagreement among different social strata with vested interests; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to preserve the community integrity of Yau Lai Estate, and also lower the deviation from the population quota for J26 (Yau Tong West). Besides, to address the population pressure brought about by the relocation of the Yau Tong Industrial Area of the DCCA from Yau Tong in future. 	
				(b) Propose to include all buildings of Yau Lai Estate in the same DCCA because it can fully preserve the community integrity.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					of J25 (Yau Lai) (27 886) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+68.00%).
				(c) Propose to keep the boundary of J25 (Yau Lai) unchanged.	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is not accepted because based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of J25 (Yau Lai) (21 530) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+29.71%). To maintain the population of the DCCA to fall within the statutory permissible range, adjustment to its boundary is required.
				(d) One representation proposes to transfer Fung Lai House and Ying Lai House of Yau Lai Estate to J26 (Yau Tong West), and transfer Fu Tong House and Kwai Tong House of Yau Tong Estate from J26 (Yau Tong West) to J24 (Yau Chui).	<u>Item (d)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
				(e) One representation does not object to the transfer of Fung Lai House and Ying Lai House of Yau Lai Estate from J25 (Yau Lai) to J24 (Yau Chui) because these two blocks are adjacent to J24 (Yau Chui). Hence, it is more convenient for the residents concerned to seek help from DC member of J24 (Yau Chui). Moreover, DC member of J24 (Yau Chui) does not	<u>Item (e)</u> The view is noted.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				have to travel a long distance to these two blocks. Therefore, it is anticipated that the impact on the quality of services of the DC member will not be significant.	
26	J24 – Yau Chui J25 – Yau Lai J26 – Yau Tong West J27 – Laguna City	-	2	Object to the provisional recommendations on splitting Yau Lai Estate into three DCCAs as this will break the community integrity and local identity of that housing estate. Besides, the EAC allows the population of J27 (Laguna City) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, but splits Yau Lai Estate into three DCCAs. Query whether the EAC has given more favorable treatment to those living in private residential buildings and ignored the interests of the residents living in public housing estates.	This proposal is not accepted because in drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC has strictly adhered to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The recommendations were made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC would not favour a particular administrative district or a particular DCCA.
27	J24 – Yau Chui J26 – Yau Tong West	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on J24 (Yau Chui) and J26 (Yau Tong West). Proposes to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • group Yau Chui Court, and Fung Lai House, Ying Lai House, Tsui Lai House, Hong Lai House and Yan Lai House of Yau Lai Estate in J24 (Yau Chui); and • group Yau Mei Court, Yau Tong Estate, Yau Tong Centre, Lei Yue Mun and 	This proposal is not accepted because: <p>(i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Cha Kwo Ling in J26 (Yau Tong West).</p> <p>The representation considers that the benefits of the above proposals are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • district administration and management will be more scientific and logical; • district political inclination will be clearer. Collaborative efforts can be made to optimise the environment; • livelihood measures and resource allocation will become smoother and more efficient; • the role of DC member as a bridge will be more in line with public opinion and the DC member can better perform their functions; • the location of the polling stations of a DCCA will become clearer so it will be easier for people to find them, regardless of their ages; • it will be easier to distinguish a candidate's political platform from the other so that wrong casting of vote can be avoided; • it will facilitate the 	<p>population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>exchange of views among electors and prevent misjudgments on the information of candidates and hassles between electors; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> residents' voting initiative will be boosted, resulting in higher voter turnout, and the elected DC member can better grasp public sentiment. 	
28	J26 – Yau Tong West J27 – Laguna City	-	1	Proposes to transfer some buildings from J27 (Laguna City) to J26 (Yau Tong West) because the population of J27 (Laguna City) will deviate from the population quota by +49.15% in 2019. In addition, as the hillside behind Laguna City has become a new development zone, there are community ties between these two DCCAs.	This proposal is not accepted because it is not feasible to adjust the boundaries of the adjacent DCCAs to absorb the excessive population of J27 (Laguna City) due to geographical factor.
29	J28 – King Tin	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
30	J28 – King Tin J29 – Tsui Ping	1	-	<p>Proposes to transfer the Disciplined Services Quarters from J28 (King Tin) to J29 (Tsui Ping) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the population of J28 (King Tin) is larger than those of the adjacent J20 (Ping Tin) and J29 (Tsui Ping). If the population of J28 (King Tin) continues to increase, it will have the second 	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of J28 (King Tin) and J29 (Tsui Ping) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>largest population among all DCCAs in the Kwun Tong District, just lower than that of J27 (Laguna City);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> geographically, there are no ties between the Disciplined Services Quarters and Sceneway Garden, Hong Tin Court, and Lei On Court in J28 (King Tin); and there is a serious conflict between the construction of the Disciplined Services Quarters and the interests of the residents of Lei On Court in J28 (King Tin). Therefore, it is not appropriate to include both of them in J28 (King Tin) as this will intensify the conflicts among the residents concerned. 	(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration.
31	J35 – Lok Wah North J39 – Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate	1	1	<p>Propose to transfer Lok Nga Court from J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) to J35 (Lok Wah North). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lok Nga Court in J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) and Lok Wah (North) Estate in J35 (Lok Wah North) are closely connected in terms of geographical location and facilities being used; and 	This proposal is not accepted because the populations of J35 (Lok Wah North) and J39 (Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposal made in the representations will make the population figures of these two DCCAs become closer. 	