

**Appendix II - P****Tai Po District****Summaries of Written/Oral Representations**

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No. *</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on P01 (Tai Po Hui), P02 (Chung Ting), P03 (Tai Po Central), P04 (Tai Yuen), P05 (Fu Heng), P06 (Yee Fu), P07 (Fu Ming Sun), P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove), P09 (Wang Fuk), P10 (Tai Po Kau), P11 (Wan Tau Tong), P12 (San Fu), P15 (Tai Wo) and P18 (Shuen Wan).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on P19 (Sai Kung North) and proposes to include P19 (Sai Kung North) in the Sai Kung DC in 2023 having regard to the development along Sai Sha Road.	<u>Item (b)</u> The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
				(c) Same as item 5(a).	<u>Item (c)</u> Please see item 5(a).
2	P02 – Chung Ting	1	-	Given that the aggregate population of P02 (Chung Ting), P03 (Tai Po Central), P04 (Tai Yuen), P05 (Fu Heng) and P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove) is only 70 000,	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) under the proposal made in the representation, the number of DCCAs will

\* W: Number of written representations.

O : Number of oral representations.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	P03 – Tai Po Central  P04 – Tai Yuen  P05 – Fu Heng  P08 – Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove			the five DCCAs should be re-delineated into four. Proposes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to transfer Heng Tai House of Fu Heng Estate from P02 (Chung Ting) to P05 (Fu Heng);</li> <li>• to transfer Chung Nga Court from P02 (Chung Ting) to P04 (Tai Yuen);</li> <li>• to transfer Ting Nga Court, Jade Plaza and Treasure Garden from P02 (Chung Ting) to P03 (Tai Po Central); and</li> <li>• to transfer Eightland Gardens from P02 (Chung Ting) to P08 (Kwong Fuk &amp; Plover Cove); or, by using Lam Tsuen River as the boundary, to transfer Blocks 20 to 23 of Tai Po Centre from P03 (Tai Po Central) to P08 (Kwong Fuk &amp; Plover Cove), and to transfer Eightland Gardens to P03 (Tai Po Central).</li> </ul>	be smaller than the total number of elected seats in the Tai Po District which violates the requirements of the EACO; and  (ii) the populations of P02 (Chung Ting), P03 (Tai Po Central), P04 (Tai Yuen), P05 (Fu Heng) and P08 (Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
3	P02 – Chung Ting  P04 – Tai Yuen  P05 – Fu Heng	1	-	Considers that the provisional recommendations have not taken into account the problem of population deviation and the existence of geographical barriers in the relevant DCCAs, because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the DCCA boundary of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) has</li> </ul>	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be five more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	P13 – Lam Tsuen Valley P14 – Po Nga P16 – Old Market & Serenity P17 – Hong Lok Yuen P18 – Shuen Wan			<p>been in place since 1999 and the area is cut off by hills. Despite the fact that the population growth of the DCCA in 2019 is due to the completion of the private residential housing Mont Vert in the east, the provisional recommendations still propose to re-delineate Tai Po Tau located in the middle section of the DCCA. This will cause an even greater divide between the east and the west of the DCCA;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the provisional recommendations will substantially increase the population of P14 (Po Nga) and make it close to the statutory permissible upper limit, which can do little to improve the issue of population deviation of the DCCAs; and</li> <li>• the provisional recommendations have failed to address the problem of unreasonable composition of communities in P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) and P02 (Chung Ting).</li> </ul> <p>Proposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to transfer Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and</li> </ul>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Tai Po Tau Shui Wai in P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P14 (Po Nga);</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to reduce the area of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) so that its coverage will be limited to the west of the intersection between Ting Lai Road and San Wai Tsai Road, and transfer Serenity Park from P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen);</li> <li>• to transfer Jade Plaza, Eightland Gardens, Treasure Garden and Fortune Plaza from P02 (Chung Ting) to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) and rename P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) as "Tai Po Old Market";</li> <li>• to transfer Heng Tai House of Fu Heng Estate from P02 (Chung Ting) to P05 (Fu Heng), and put Chung Nga Court in P02 (Chung Ting), Nam Hang, Tai Po Area 9, Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital and Tai Po Hospital, Yue Kok, Fung Yuen and Ha Hang in P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), as well as the Education University of Hong Kong and the private residential buildings at Lo Ping Road and Lo Fai Road in P18 (Shuen Wan) to form a separate DCCA to be</li> </ul>	

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
				<p>named as "Tai Po North";</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to transfer the Education University of Hong Kong and the private residential buildings at Lo Ping Road and Lo Fai Road from P18 (Shuen Wan) to "Tai Po North" to ease the condition of a relatively large population in P18 (Shuen Wan); and</li> <li>where appropriate, to transfer Ting Nga Court from P02 (Chung Ting) to the DCCA "Tai Po Old Market" or P04 (Tai Yuen).</li> </ul>	
4	P02 – Chung Ting P05 – Fu Heng P06 – Yee Fu P07 – Fu Ming Sun P08 – Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove P09 – Wang Fuk	1	-	<p>Considers that the residents of the same housing estate have same community needs, so it should be grouped under one DCCA. Owing to geographical and demographic considerations, proposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to transfer Heng Tai House of Fu Heng Estate from P02 (Chung Ting) to P05 (Fu Heng), treating Fu Heng Estate as a single DCCA;</li> <li>to transfer Shin Kwan House and Shin Lun House of Fu Shin Estate from P07 (Fu Ming Sun) to P06 (Yee Fu), treating Fu Shin Estate as a single DCCA;</li> </ul>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be six more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too;</p> <p>(ii) the populations of P02 (Chung Ting), P05 (Fu Heng), P06 (Yee Fu), P07 (Fu Ming Sun), P08 (Kwong Fuk &amp; Plover Cove) and P12 (San Fu) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	P10 – Tai Po Kau  P12 – San Fu			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to transfer Yee Nga Court from P06 (Yee Fu) to P07 (Fu Ming Sun) so as to group the Home Ownership Scheme estates, namely Yee Nga Court, Ming Nga Court and Sun Hing Garden under a single DCCA;</li> <li>• to transfer Kwong Yan House, Kwong Lai House and Kwong Yee House of Kwong Fuk Estate from P09 (Wang Fuk) to P08 (Kwong Fuk &amp; Plover Cove), treating Kwong Fuk Estate as a single DCCA;</li> <li>• to group Wang Fuk Court in P09 (Wang Fuk) with Elegance Garden and Uptown Plaza in P12 (San Fu) to form a single DCCA; and</li> <li>• to group Daisyfield, Mayfair By The Sea I, Mayfair By The Sea II, Providence Bay, Providence Peak, Redland Garden, The Graces · Providence Bay and Trackside Villas in P09 (Wang Fuk) to form a single DCCA or to merge them with P10 (Tai Po Kau), which is also close to Tolo Harbour and Tolo Highway, to form a single DCCA.</li> </ul>	<p>established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and</p> <p>(iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community.</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
5	P02 – Chung Ting P05 – Fu Heng P13 – Lam Tsuen Valley P14 – Po Nga P15 – Tai Wo P16 – Old Market & Serenity P17 – Hong Lok Yuen	109^	3	<p>(a) Hold reservation on the provisional recommendations on P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), P14 (Po Nga), P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) are separated from P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P14 (Po Nga) by the East Rail Line in the provisional recommendations. The two communities are alienated from each other. On the contrary, the area in the north of the East Rail Line such as Serenity Villa has a stronger connection with the community in Tai Po Old Market;</li> <li>• the impact of the provisional recommendations on P14 (Po Nga) is too great and the provisional recommendations are also undesirable to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), because the populations of the two DCCAs are both close to the statutory permissible upper limit; and</li> </ul>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is <b>accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai, which are in the south of the East Rail Line, are geographically very close to P14 (Po Nga), while Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland, which are in the north of the East Rail Line, are even closer to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity). The EAC, after considering the proposals in different representations (items 3 and 5), considers item 5(a) which uses the East Rail Line as the dividing line in transferring Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga) while Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) is a more natural way of delineation.</p> <p>According to the proposal made in the representations, the</p>

^Of which, 95 are of different types of template letters.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the proposal made in the representations can reduce the population disparity among the above DCCAs.</li> </ul> <p>Proposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to transfer Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga); and</li> <li>to transfer the area in the north of the East Rail Line, including Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland, to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity).</li> </ul> <p>One representation further proposes to transfer the surrounding area of Ying Pun Ha, San Wai Tsai and Chuk Hang from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity).</p>	<p>respective populations of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), P14 (Po Nga), P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) are as follows:</p> <p>P13: 17 508, +5.48%  P14: 17 451, +5.13%  P16: 17 381, +4.71%  P17: 20 488, +23.43%</p> <p>The populations of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) will be the same as those under the provisional recommendations, but the populations of P14 (Po Nga) and P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) will be closer to the population quota when compared with the provisional recommendations.</p> <p>In general, while there will be four existing DCCAs being affected under the proposal made in the representations, which is one more than that in the provisional recommendations, the affected population will be the same as the provisional recommendations. In addition, using East Rail Line as the DCCA boundary is a more natural way of</p>



<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>delineation, and the proposal made in the representations is also more desirable in terms of geographical consideration. This proposal is therefore accepted; and</p> <p>(ii) for the representation which further proposes transferring the surrounding area of Ying Pun Ha, San Wai Tsai and Chuk Hang from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity), since the affected population (7 895) will be larger than that in the proposal made in item 5 (a)(i) (6 794) by 1 101, the proposal is therefore <b>not accepted</b>.</p>
				<p>(b) notice that the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) does not exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. Proposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to retain Parc Versailles in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley); and</li> <li>• to transfer Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga), and to transfer Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) which mainly comprises private</li> </ul>	<p><u>Item (b)</u></p> <p>(i) Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) (20 955) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.24%) and adjustment is therefore required for maintaining the population within the statutory permissible range; and</p> <p>(ii) the proposal to transfer Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga), and Tai Po</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				buildings instead of transferring them to P14 (Po Nga) which comprises public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates.	Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market & Serenity) is <b>accepted</b> . Please see item 5(a).
				<p>(c) since the area comprising Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland is separated from the area of P14 (Po Nga) by the railway track, and that the living area and the types of residence of the two areas are different, it will be difficult for the DC member to strike a right balance in handling the differing views of residents in the two DCCAs. On the other hand, the population of P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) is away from the population quota, and some residents of Tai Po Tau use the living facilities in P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) and access to MTR Tai Wo Station through Serenity Park. Proposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to transfer Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity); or</li> <li>• to form a separate DCCA comprising the newly transferred areas according to the composition of DCCAs.</li> </ul>	<p><u>Item (c)</u></p> <p>(i) The proposal to transfer Tai Po Tau, Serenity Villa and The Wonderland to P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity) is <b>accepted</b>. Please see item 5(a); and</p> <p>(ii) referring to the proposal to form a separate DCCA, in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is no new DCCA for the Tai Po District for the next DC Ordinary Election. The number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the EAC has no authority to revise or vary.</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
				<p>(d) Object to the provisional recommendations on P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), P14 (Po Nga) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the EAC transferred Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) in 2015 on grounds that P14 (Po Nga) mainly comprised public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates, hence turned down the proposal to transfer the relevant area to P14 (Po Nga) as set out in the representations at the time. However, it appears that the provisional recommendations now overturn the decision in 2015 and the residents will find it hard to adapt;</li> <li>the community composition and types of residence in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) are different from those of P14 (Po Nga). Grouping public housing estates, Home Ownership Scheme estates, village houses and luxurious residential units under one single DCCA would break the community</li> </ul>	<p><u>Item (d)</u> These proposals are <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) if the excess populations in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) are absorbed by those DCCAs with a population lower than the population quota, the number of affected DCCAs will be two more than that in the proposal under item 5(a)(i);</p> <p>(ii) if the DCCA boundaries remain unchanged, the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) (20 955) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.24%), and the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) (23 835) will also exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+43.59%);</p> <p>(iii) regarding the views on transferring Tai Po Tau Shui Wai, the EAC needs to consider different options for adjusting the DCCA boundaries having regard to the actual situation at the time each delineation exercise is carried out. Apart from population figures, other statutory criteria will also be taken into account. In the last</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>identity and local ties of the area;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) are located in rural area, while P14 (Po Nga) comprises mainly public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates. The community composition and service needs of residents of the two DCCAs are not the same, making it difficult for the DC member to manage;</li> <li>Tai Po Tau Shui Wai was transferred to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) four years ago, the provisional recommendations now propose to group it under P14 (Po Nga), making the residents difficult to adapt;</li> <li>the provisional recommendations will lead to a surge in population (around 7 000) in P14 (Po Nga). There will also be a substantial increase in the size of the DCCA, affecting its community integrity. This will cause inconvenience to residents and DC</li> </ul>	<p>delineation exercise, the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) was within the statutory permissible range and had the capacity to absorb the excess population in the neighbouring P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley). Therefore, after reviewing the situations of P14 (Po Nga) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), the EAC considered it more desirable to transfer Tai Po Tau Shui Wai from P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), which was an urban-cum-rural DCCA.</p> <p>However, the situation is different in the present delineation exercise. The population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) will also exceed the statutory permissible upper limit and have no capacity to absorb the excess population in the neighbouring P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley). On the contrary, it is necessary for P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to transfer its excess population to the neighbouring DCCA. Therefore, it was proposed to transfer Tai Po Tau Shui Wai, together with Tai Po Tau, The Wonderland and</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>member, and reduce the resources for district services;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>by drawing reference to DCCAs where the populations are allowed to deviate from the statutory permissible range, the EAC should take into account factors such as community integrity, local ties, geographical factors and transport instead of arbitrarily transferring the population from a rural DCCA to a neighbouring DCCA comprising public housing estate and Home Ownership Scheme estate. The population structure should be considered;</li> <li>P15 (Tai Wo) and the adjacent P14 (Po Nga) both comprise one public housing estate and one Home Ownership Scheme estate. Under the provisional recommendations, population difference between the two DCCAs is enlarged. The ratio of residents to the amount of service they can receive from a DC member will be affected; and</li> </ul>	<p>Serenity Villa to P14 (Po Nga) in the provisional recommendations.</p> <p>The EAC understands that villages and estates in the New Territories belong to different communities. However, the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of villages and housing estates. In fact, urban-rural integration is common in Hong Kong. In the past DC delineation exercises, similar boundary adjustments were also made to ensure that the populations of DCCAs concerned would fall within the statutory permissible range.</p> <p>Moreover, the EAC will first consider transferring buildings or villages that are close to the DCCA boundary, and of suitable population figures and geographical locations when adjusting DCCA boundaries. Since Tai Po Tau Shui Wai is geographically close to the DCCA boundary, it is inevitable for it to be</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>frequent change of DCCAs and DC members is unfair to the residents of the DCCAs concerned.</li> </ul> <p>One representation considers it unreasonable to adhere to the principle of affecting the least number of DCCAs rather than considering the population disparity among DCCAs concerned.</p> <p>Seven representations propose that the population in excess of the statutory permissible range in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) be absorbed by DCCAs with a population lower than the population quota, namely P02 (Chung Ting), P14 (Po Nga), P15 (Tai Wo) and P16 (Old Market &amp; Serenity).</p> <p>One representation proposes to maintain the boundaries of the above DCCAs in order to preserve community identity and local ties.</p>	<p>more vulnerable to be transferred in each delineation exercise; and</p> <p>(iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.</p>
				<p>(e) Object to the transfer of Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles to P14 (Po Nga). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>over the years, Tai Po Garden and Parc Versailles are private housings and have become part of the rural</li> </ul>	<p><u>Item (e)</u> These proposals are <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) if Nam Wa Po Village in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) is transferred to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen), the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) (21 889) will exceed the statutory</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>area, whereas P14 (Po Nga) comprises mainly public housing estate and Home Ownership Scheme estate. The two DCCAs differ from each other in terms of community composition, housing nature as well as service needs of the residents. It will be difficult for the DC member to manage;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the services provided by the DC member is considered satisfactory. It is of the view that the EAC should not re-delineate the DCCA boundary on grounds of population without adequate consultation. This will make it impossible for residents to vote for the DC member they support and thus affecting the district work of the DC member;</li> <li>the population affected under the provisional recommendations is considerable, leading to a surge in the population in P14 (Po Nga) while a decline in the population in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), creating a population disparity of nearly 3 000;</li> </ul>	<p>permissible upper limit (+31.87%);</p> <p>(ii) regarding the proposal to transfer the villages on the periphery of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to other DCCAs, in addition to Nam Wa Po Village as proposed in item 5(e)(i), the EAC has also considered the feasibility of transferring other villages on the periphery of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), such as Lin Au and Pun Chun Yuen. While P12 (San Fu) is the closest DCCA to the above villages, they are geographically far away from each other and thus the option is not viable. On the contrary, Tai Po Garden, Parc Versailles and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai which are proposed to be transferred to P14 (Po Nga) under the provisional recommendations are geographically very close to P14 (Po Nga);</p> <p>(iii) the representation that proposes to create one DCCA at Tai Wo Service Road West in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) is not feasible. It is because in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• according to the statistics of the 2016 Population By-census, the populations of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P14 (Po Nga) both fall within the statutory permissible range. The statistics concerned are not only more reasonable than those adopted in making the provisional recommendations but also can mitigate the impact brought about by the re-delineation of DCCAs; and</li> <li>• the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) will surge again upon the completion of large-scale residential development projects in the DCCA.</li> </ul> <p>59 representations propose to transfer Nam Wa Po Village from P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) given that Nam Wa Po Village is an indigenous village, connected with the adjoining P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) geographically and they both belong to rural area. The service needs of their respective residents are quite similar to each other. The above proposal will affect less population. It will not only reduce the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and</p>	<p>Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is no new DCCA for the Tai Po District for the next DC Ordinary Election. The number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the EAC has no authority to revise or vary;</p> <p>(iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration;</p> <p>(v) the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election is conducted on the basis of projected population figures as at 30 June 2019. Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of P13 (Lam Tsuen</p>



<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>achieve a balanced allocation of resources between the two DCCAs, but also preserve the way of service provision to the indigenous villages and rural areas. One of the representations considers that in view of the growing population, one DCCA should be created in the area surrounding the villages along both sides of the railway track adjacent to Tai Wo Service Road West in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley).</p> <p>11 representations propose to transfer the villages on the periphery of P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to other DCCAs.</p> <p>Nine representations consider that the EAC has not consulted the public on the relevant provisional recommendations.</p>	<p>Valley) (20 955) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.24%). Therefore, adjustment to its boundary is necessary so that the population will fall within the statutory permissible range; and</p> <p>(vi) the EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. Members of the public can express their views on the provisional recommendations through different means. The EAC will consider every representation received during the consultation period objectively before making the final recommendations. As in past exercises, the EAC has strictly adhered to section 19 of the EACO and conducted public consultation for no less than 30 days on its provisional recommendations.</p>
				<p>(f) Object to the transfer of Tai Po Tau, The Wonderland and Serenity Villa to P14 (Po Nga). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p>	<p><u>Item (f)</u> These proposals are <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) regarding the proposal</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai are indigenous villages. They both belong to Luen Yick and are also under the purview of the Tai Po Rural Committee. Villagers thereof have been maintaining their traditional lifestyle and organising traditional events to pass on the cultural heritage and foster ties among the villagers;</li> <li>from the perspectives of history and living environment, Tai Po Tau, The Wonderland and Serenity Villa have been part of the rural DCCA P17 (Hong Lok Yuen). Transferring them to P14 (Po Nga) is unreasonable and will break the integrity of the rural DCCA;</li> <li>while traditional villagers have their distinctive lifestyle, residents of small houses also have their unique needs. Hence, effective resolution to village issues and conflicts between indigenous residents and residents of housing estates rely on the assistance of DC members who are acquainted with village</li> </ul>	<p>made in the representation to transfer Mont Vert from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P05 (Fu Heng), the affected population under the proposal (4 201) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (3 347) by 854. Besides, after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that the population of Mont Vert and that of P05 (Fu Heng) are separated by slopes and hospitals, and the two areas are located at different levels geographically, making the proposal undesirable;</p> <p>(ii) Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai belonged to different DCCAs before 2015. The EAC considers that although Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai are located in different DCCAs, both of their village issues are all handled by the Tai Po Rural Committee;</p> <p>(iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>affairs;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• P14 (Po Nga) comprises mainly public housing and Home Ownership Scheme estates. The community composition and service needs of the residents are different. The DC member will have difficulties in coping with the needs of the residents;</li> <li>• the scope of responsibilities and the tasks involved in a DCCA comprising mainly housing estates are very different from those involved in a rural DCCA;</li> <li>• given that the populations of P14 (Po Nga) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) have already deviated from the population quota, transferring the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P14 (Po Nga) will make the population of P14 (Po Nga) to deviate further from the population quota; and</li> <li>• in the past exercise, the EAC had taken on board proposals made in representations received</li> </ul>	<p>and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration; and</p> <p>(iv) the EACO stipulates that the EAC is responsible for drawing up the provisional recommendations and to consult the public thereafter. Members of the public can express their views on the provisional recommendations through different means. The EAC will consider every representation received during the consultation period objectively before making the final recommendations. As in past exercises, the EAC has strictly adhered to section 19 of the EACO and conducted public consultation for no less than 30 days on its provisional recommendations.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>on grounds of community identities and residents' expectation on physical features of DCCAs.</p> <p>Two representations object to the transfer of Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to P14 (Po Nga). It is because both Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai always belong to rural DCCAs and the same clanship. Besides, as they are villages under development, DC member who possesses knowledge on rural affairs is needed to take forward the work of improving village facilities. The assistance from DC member is also required in dealing with issues relating to land and housing matters, etc. As a number of large-scale works projects will be carried out in the DCCA, the traditional community ties in the village and continuity of village projects will be affected if such projects are to be overseen by DC members who are not experienced in dealing with village affairs.</p> <p>One representation proposes to transfer Mont Vert from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to P05 (Fu Heng) and to keep Tai Po Tau, The Wonderland and Serenity Villa unchanged. It is because the population of P05 (Fu Heng) is below the population quota. Transferring Mont</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Vert to P05 (Fu Heng) can reduce the deviation of the DCCA's population from the population quota. The above proposal can better realise community integrity and representativeness.</p> <p>One representation points out that the workload of DC member will not be affected as Mont Vert in P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) has its own management company to coordinate and manage all matters related to the estate. It is also considered inappropriate to transfer Tai Po Tau and Tai Po Tau Shui Wai to other DCCAs in view of the completion of Mont Vert.</p> <p>One representation is of the view that the public has not been fully consulted on the delineation recommendations. Another representation expresses dissatisfaction over the fact that the consultation on provisional recommendations lasted for one month only. The timeframe is too short for the DC member of the DCCA concerned or any persons who intend to stand at the election to make their responses.</p>	

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
				<p>(g) Propose to transfer Nam Wa Po Village from P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) and to transfer Mont Vert from P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) to another DCCA comprising housing estates because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the location of Nam Wa Po Village is geographically closer to P17 (Hong Lok Yuen);</li> <li>the above proposal can even out the population of the DCCAs; and</li> <li>there is a big difference between the work for a DCCA comprising mainly housing estates and that for a DCCA with rural areas. The provisional recommendations will have an impact on the provision of services by DC members.</li> </ul>	<p><u>Item (g)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) (21 035) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.72%);</p> <p>(ii) after receiving the representations, the EAC conducted a site visit and noticed that there are main roads between Nam Wa Po Village in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley) and P17 (Hong Lok Yuen). The two places are rather far apart; and</p> <p>(iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.</p>
6	P03 – Tai Po Central	1	-	<p>As the population of P10 (Tai Po Kau) exceeds the statutory permissible upper limit, proposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>to reduce the area of P10 (Tai Po Kau) to cover only the area spanning from Ha</li> </ul>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be three more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	P08 – Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove P09 – Wang Fuk P10 – Tai Po Kau P12 – San Fu			<p>Wong Yi Au to Cheung Shue Tan;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• to transfer Wun Yiu, Lai Chi Shan, Pun Shan Chau, Ta Tit Yan, Shan Tong New Village, The Paramount, JC Castle, etc. from P10 (Tai Po Kau) to P12 (San Fu), and group them together with Pan Chung, Chung Shun Lane, Ma Wo, Kam Shan, Shek Kwu Lung, Kam Shek, Classical Gardens, Dynasty View, etc. to form a separate DCCA to be named either as “Wun Yiu” or “Tai Po South”;</li> <li>• to transfer part of Kwong Fuk Estate from P09 (Wang Fuk) to P08 (Kwong Fuk &amp; Plover Cove), and group Wang Fuk Court, Grand Palisades, The Paragon, Uptown Plaza and Elegance Garden to form P09 (Wang Fuk) which is to be renamed; and</li> <li>• to transfer Plover Cove Garden from P08 (Kwong Fuk &amp; Plover Cove) to P03 (Tai Po Central) to improve community integrity as the former DCCA will then only be made up of the entire Kwong Fuk Estate.</li> </ul>	<p>the proposal will be larger, too.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
7	P09 – Wang Fuk  P10 – Tai Po Kau	2	2	(a) Support the provisional recommendations.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b)(i) Support the transfer of Pak Shek Kok from P10 (Tai Po Kau) to P09 (Wang Fuk); and  (ii) propose to rename P09 (Wang Fuk) as “Wang Fuk & Pak Shek Kok” to emphasise that Pak Shek Kok is located within the DCCA.	<u>Item (b)(i)</u> The supporting view is noted.  <u>Item (b)(ii)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the existing name of P09 (Wang Fuk) has been used since 1999 and the public are used to this name. The change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.
				(c) Propose to retain Phases I, II and III of Providence Bay and the surrounding area of Mayfair By The Sea in P10 (Tai Po Kau) because the above housing estates and the other housing estates in P09 (Wang Fuk) are of different nature. Also, as there are new developments being put up for sale in Providence Bay and the Pak Shek Kok area, re-delineation of DCCA boundaries may be required in the next DC term, thereby bringing inconvenience to the residents.	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:  (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of P10 (Tai Po Kau) (25 895) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+56.00%);  (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community; and



<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>(iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.</p>
				<p>(d) Propose to retain Mayfair By The Sea I and Mayfair By The Sea II in P10 (Tai Po Kau) because they are geographically relatively far away from P09 (Wang Fuk) and also differ from the latter in terms of community identities. Besides, the DC member of P10 (Tai Po Kau) has all along maintained good communication with the residents of Mayfair By The Sea.</p>	<p><u>Item (d)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of P10 (Tai Po Kau) (21 786) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.25%); and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the population distribution and relevant local factors. It is inevitable that a DCCA is composed of more than one community. Besides, community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
8	P10 – Tai Po Kau  P12 – San Fu	2	-	<p>Propose to transfer The Balmoral from P10 (Tai Po Kau) to P12 (San Fu) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• geographically, The Balmoral is adjacent to Classical Gardens in P12 (San Fu);</li> <li>• at present, services for residents of The Balmoral are mainly provided by DC member of P12 (San Fu); and</li> <li>• there are only 79 households in The Balmoral. While the proposal has limited impact on the population of the above DCCA, it can provide convenience to the residents of The Balmoral and shorten the travelling distance to the polling station.</li> </ul>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) the population of P12 (San Fu) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members and arrangement on polling station are not the relevant factors of consideration. The EAC has referred the view on the arrangement on polling station to the REO for consideration.</p>
9	P13 – Lam Tsuen Valley  P17 – Hong Lok Yuen  P18 – Shuen Wan	7	-	<p>In view of the growing populations in P13 (Lam Tsuen Valley), P17 (Hong Lok Yuen) and P18 (Shuen Wan), propose to split the above three DCCAs into four.</p> <p>One representation considers that two new DCCAs should be created in the Tai Po District.</p>	<p>In respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is no new DCCA for the Tai Po District for the next DC Ordinary Election. The number of new DCCAs to be created is a statutory pre-set for the EAC, to which the</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					EAC has no authority to revise or vary.
10	P19 – Sai Kung North	3	1	<p>(a) Propose to transfer P19 (Sai Kung North) to the Sha Tin DC.</p> <p>One representation states that the daily activities of residents of the DCCA are more closely related to their counterparts in the Sha Tin District. The transfer can better reflect the current development of the DCCA and the living habits of the residents.</p>	<p><u>Items (a) and (b)</u></p> <p>The proposals made in the representations involve alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the views to the Government for consideration.</p>
				<p>(b) Propose to include P19 (Sai Kung North) in the Sai Kung DC.</p> <p>One representation considers that the future development of the DCCA is closely related to the Sai Kung District. On the contrary, its relationship with the Tai Po District is declining. The transfer can facilitate the district administration of the DCCA.</p>	