

Appendix II - Q

Sai Kung District
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No. *</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	All DCCAs	1	1	<p>Propose to add the elements of Tseung Kwan O to the name of the Sai Kung DC and rename it as "Sai Kung Tseung Kwan O District Council". Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there are five elected seats for Sai Kung and 24 elected seats for Tseung Kwan O in the Sai Kung DC. Consider that the change of name can better reflect the composition of the Sai Kung DC; • following the development of Tseung Kwan O New Town, the existing number of elected seats and the size of population of Tseung Kwan O are far more than those of Sai Kung; and • the Sai Kung DC Secretariat and Conference Room have also been relocated from Sai Kung Government Offices to Tseung Kwan O New Town. <p>One representation also proposes to make Tseung Kwan O New Town an independent DC.</p>	<p>The proposal made in the representations involves alteration of administrative district name and boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.</p>

* W: Number of written representations.

O : Number of oral representations.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
2	All DCCAs	1	-	Holds no objection to the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Sai Kung District.	The view is noted.
3	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on Q04 (Hang Hau East), Q05 (Hang Hau West), Q06 (Choi Kin), Q07 (Kin Ming), Q08 (Do Shin), Q09 (Wai King), Q10 (Hoi Chun), Q13 (O Tong), Q14 (Sheung Tak), Q15 (Kwong Ming), Q17 (Tsui Lam), Q18 (Po Lam), Q19 (Yan Ying) and Q22 (King Lam).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on Q01 (Sai Kung Central), Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands). Considers that there are close community ties among the above three DCCAs. Therefore, adjustments should be made to their boundaries so that the populations of these three DCCAs will fall within the statutory permissible range.	<u>Item (b)</u> Please see item 5(i).
				(c) Proposes to transfer Anderson Road Development Area from Q05 (Hang Hau West) to the Kwun Tong District to facilitate district administration.	<u>Item (c)</u> The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(d) Holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on Q11 (Po Yee), Q12 (Fu Kwan), Q23 (Hau Tak), Q24 (Fu Nam), Q25 (Tak Ming), Q26 (Nam On), Q27 (Kwan Po), Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South). Considers that the provisional recommendations fail to solve the issue of the dumbbell shape of Q27 (Kwan Po) resulted from spanning Wan Po Road and the problem of Q24 (Fu Nam) being split into two parts in the middle by Q23 (Hau Tak). Proposes to rectify the above situations by the EAC in the re-delineation in 2023.</p>	<p><u>Item (d)</u> The proposal is noted. In drawing up the delineation recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors. The EAC will continue to adhere to the above in future delineation exercises.</p>
				<p>(e)(i) Taking into consideration of community integrity and population distribution, considers that the provisional recommendations on Q16 (Hong King), Q20 (Wai Yan) and Q21 (Wan Hang) are feasible; and</p> <p>(ii) since Q16 (Hong King) has a smaller population, proposes to transfer Tseung Kwan O Village and Yau Yue Wan Village from Q05 (Hang Hau West) to Q16 (Hong King) as both villages</p>	<p><u>Item (e)(i)</u> The view is noted.</p> <p><u>Item (e)(ii)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the population of Q05 (Hang Hau West) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				use Po Lam Road North as access and they share common issues of concern with Q16 (Hong King).	
4	All DCCAs	-	1	(a) Considers that the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Sai Kung District are very desirable on the whole.	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Considers that the delineation of Q24 (Fu Nam), Q25 (Tak Ming) and Q26 (Nam On) in Hang Hau does not take into account the geographical distance and links between housing estates in each DCCA. Queries why it has been allowed not to make any changes to the boundaries of the above DCCAs since 2007 due to the fact that their populations have not deviated from the statutory permissible range.	<u>Item (b)</u> The populations of Q24 (Fu Nam), Q25 (Tak Ming) and Q26 (Nam On) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
5	Q01 – Sai Kung Central	2	-	Object to remain the boundary of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) unchanged. Consider that since the population of the DCCA is only 10 000, it is proposed to make adjustment to the boundary of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) along with its neighbouring DCCAs, and absorb the populations of other DCCAs or be included in other DCCAs with a population below the statutory permissible lower limit.	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) (10 901) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-34.33%). Its neighbouring DCCAs are Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands). The population of Q03 (Sai

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>One representation queries that to remain the DCCA boundary of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) unchanged is based on political consideration.</p>	<p>Kung Islands) is also below the statutory permissible lower limit. Hence, there is no room to transfer its population to Q01 (Sai Kung Central). The population of the other neighbouring DCCA Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) is dispersed over various villages and the surrounding area of Pak Sha Wan. The populations in Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q01 (Sai Kung Central) are separated by a water treatment works, Sai Kung Outdoor Recreation Centre and a country park, making them geographically far away from each other.</p> <p>If Q01 (Sai Kung Central) is included in the neighbouring DCCA Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) with a population below the statutory permissible lower limit according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of the DCCA (22 510) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+35.61%). Furthermore, after merging the DCCAs, the number of DCCAs will be smaller than the total number of elected seats in the Sai Kung District which does not meet the requirements of the EACO; and</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Political factors will not be taken into consideration.
6	Q01 – Sai Kung Central Q02 – Pak Sha Wan	1	-	Expresses objection over the fact that the EAC has yet to deal with the problem of underpopulation in Q01 (Sai Kung Central) over the past few exercises, and proposes to transfer the village houses in the surrounding areas of Pak Kong and Wu Lei Tau from Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) to Q01 (Sai Kung Central).	This proposal is not accepted . Please see item 5(i).
7	Q01 – Sai Kung Central Q02 – Pak Sha Wan Q03 – Sai Kung Islands Q04 – Hang Hau East	1	-	As the populations of Q01 (Sai Kung Central), Q02 (Pak Sha Wan), Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) and Q04 (Hang Hau East) will be below the population quota, it is considered that the current total population of the above four DCCAs can be shared by three elected seats. Therefore, it is proposed to delete one elected seat from the Sai Kung District to avoid wasting public money.	This proposal is not accepted because in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
8	Q01 – Sai Kung Central Q02 – Pak Sha Wan Q03 – Sai Kung Islands Q05 – Hang Hau West	1	-	<p>As the populations of Q01 (Sai Kung Central), Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) are below the population quota and the total population of these three DCCAs is only about 38 000, it is proposed to re-delineate the DCCAs concerned to reduce one elected seat. Details are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to transfer the surrounding areas of Po Lo Che, Yau Ma Po to Muk Min Shan, Jade Villa, Lotus Villas, etc. surrounding Ngau Liu as well as the area along Tai Mong Tsai Road up to Hopes Villa from Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) to Q01 (Sai Kung Central); and to merge Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) into a DCCA “Rural Sai Kung”. If the population still falls short, to absorb the rural part of Q05 (Hang Hau West). 	<p>This proposal is not accepted because in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.</p>
9	Q01 – Sai Kung Central Q02 – Pak Sha Wan Q03 – Sai Kung Islands	1	-	<p>(a) As the population of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) is below the statutory permissible lower limit, the total population of Q01 (Sai Kung Central) and the neighbouring Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) can be shared by two elected seats. Proposes to adjust and merge the three DCCAs to free up one elected seat for</p>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) please see item 5(i);</p> <p>(ii) the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be seven more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	Q04 – Hang Hau East Q05 – Hang Hau West Q12 – Fu Kwan Q23 – Hau Tak Q24 – Fu Nam Q25 – Tak Ming Q26 – Nam On Q27 – Kwan Po Q28 – Wan Po North			<p>the re-delineation of those DCCAs in the vicinity of MTR Hang Hau Station and through the formation of a new DCCA to rectify the undesirable shapes of the DCCAs concerned. Details are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to transfer Maritime Bay from Q25 (Tak Ming) to Q26 (Nam On). Q25 (Tak Ming) only includes Ming Tak Estate, Yuk Ming Court and Wo Ming Court; to transfer La Cite Noble from Q27 (Kwan Po) to Q26 (Nam On), and to absorb Oscar By The Sea in Q28 (Wan Po North), or The Grandiose in Q12 (Fu Kwan) at the same time; to form a new DCCA that comprises East Point City in Q26 (Nam On) and Residence Oasis in Q24 (Fu Nam); and to re-delineate On Ning Garden in Q26 (Nam On), Yu Ming Court and Fu Ning Garden in Q24 (Fu Nam) and Hau Tak Estate and Chung Ming Court in Q23 (Hau Tak) into two DCCAs. 	<p>the proposal will be larger, too; and</p> <p>(iii) although the shape of a DCCA is a relevant factor of consideration, it is confined by population distribution to a certain extent and is not a prime factor of consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				(b) In order to bring the populations of Q04 (Hang Hau East) and Q05 (Hang Hau West) closer to the population quota, it is proposed to transfer the surrounding area of Tai Po Tsai Village in Q05 (Hang Hau West) to Q04 (Hang Hau East).	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the populations of Q04 (Hang Hau East) and Q05 (Hang Hau West) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.
10	Q02 – Pak Sha Wan Q03 – Sai Kung Islands	4	-	Object to the provisional recommendations on Q02 (Pak Sha Wan) and Q03 (Sai Kung Islands). In order to preserve the integrity of rural villages and avoid making residents difficult to adapt, it is proposed that the boundaries and names for the DCCAs adopted in 2015 should remain unchanged. One representation also states that residents of the above two DCCAs are used to having Po Lo Che Road as the boundary. Changing the DCCA boundary will affect residents' desire to seek help from DC members and to vote.	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of Q03 (Sai Kung Islands) (11 609) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-30.06%); (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration; and (iii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the provisional recommendations will affect the integrity of the rural villages.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
11	Q04 – Hang Hau East Q16 – Hong King Q17 – Tsui Lam Q18 – Po Lam Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang Q28 – Wan Po North Q29 – Wan Po South	1	-	(a) In the provisional recommendations, a new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) will be formed in the surrounding area of Po Lam. The populations of the new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) along with its neighbouring DCCAs Q16 (Hong King), Q17 (Tsui Lam), Q18 (Po Lam) and Q21 (Wan Hang) are below the population quota while the populations of Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) are above the population quota. In addition, 10 000 people are expected to move into Phases 4 and 5 of LOHAS Park in 2019. Therefore, it is proposed that the location of the new DCCA be reconsidered.	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of Q21 (Wan Hang) (20 988) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%). The EAC therefore proposed to create a new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) in the area of Verbena Heights located in Q21 (Wan Hang) so that the population of the DCCA will fall within the statutory permissible range;</p> <p>(ii) the populations of Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and</p> <p>(iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(b) Proposes to transfer the surrounding area of Pak Shing Kok in Q04 (Hang Hau East) to Q28 (Wan Po North), or re-delineate the boundaries of Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) and create a new DCCA. Reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the populations of 14 DCCAs in the Sai Kung District will be below the population quota. Taking into consideration the community integrity, local ties, geographical factors, transport and population distribution, the EAC did not adjust the boundaries of those DCCAs. In fact, for some DCCAs, their local ties, geographical and transport situations have yet to be considered; • most of Shaw Movie City is currently included in Q28 (Wan Po North) while its entrances, exits and main roads (Pak Shing Kok Road) belong to Q04 (Hang Hau East), causing problems in district administration and management; • as for geographical and transport situations, getting to the 	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of Q04 (Hang Hau East), Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>surrounding area of Pak Shing Kok currently entails passing through Wan Po Road. Getting to and from Pak Shing Kok also relies on MTR LOHAS Park Station or public transport at Tseung Kwan O Town Centre;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the environmental hygiene problem of the surrounding area of Pak Shing Kok has been affecting the housing estates in Q28 (Wan Po North); and the proposal can facilitate administration and management. 	
12	Q04 – Hang Hau East Q28 – Wan Po North Q29 – Wan Po South	-	1	(a) Taking the geographical setting into consideration, it is proposed to transfer the dormitories of Fire and Ambulance Services Academy and the surrounding area of Pak Shing Kok in Q04 (Hang Hau East) to Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South), or re-delineate the above DCCAs and add elected seats in the future.	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because the populations of Q04 (Hang Hau East), Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.</p>
				(b) Proposes to add an elected seat to Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South), and form a DCCA that comprises Le Prestige, Phase 2 of LOHAS Park	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(with a population of 16 000) in Q29 (Wan Po South) and another DCCA that comprises Hemera, Phase 3 of LOHAS Park in Q29 (Wan Po South), The Beaumont and Phases 4, 5 and 6 of LOHAS Park in Q28 (Wan Po North) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) each have a population of about 19 000 while Phases 4, 5 and 6 of LOHAS Park have been put up for sale; and • the proposal can adjust the populations of the above DCCAs to about 16 000 to 17 000 per DCCA. 	<p>(Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required;</p> <p>(ii) please see item 22(i) and (ii); and</p> <p>(iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.</p>
				<p>(c) Proposes to set up a polling station at the school opposite Oscar By The Sea in Q28 (Wan Po North) because it currently takes 45 minutes for residents there to get to the polling station in Hang Hau to vote.</p>	<p><u>Items (c) and (d)</u> The EAC has referred the relevant views concerning the arrangement on polling station to the REO for consideration.</p>
				<p>(d) Proposes to set up a polling station at the new international school next to The Beaumont in Q28 (Wan Po North) to make it easier for residents to vote.</p>	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				(e) The population of the Sai Kung District is 470 000, which is 50 000 more than 420 000 in the last term. Considers that three elected seats should be added.	<u>Item (e)</u> In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. As this proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.
13	Q05 – Hang Hau West	1	-	Proposes to transfer areas near the housing estates in the Kwun Tong District within Anderson Road Development Area in Q05 (Hang Hau West) to the Kwun Tong District because they are geographically closer, hence having stronger community ties among residents.	The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
14	Q06 – Choi Kin Q07 – Kin Ming	1	-	Q06 (Choi Kin) has a population of 19 000, making it the most populated DCCA in the Sai Kung District in the provisional recommendations. In order for the DC member of that DCCA to serve Choi Ming Court more efficiently, it is proposed to transfer Kin Ching House and Kin Hei House of Kin Ming Estate in Q06 (Choi Kin) to Q07 (Kin Ming) to even out the populations of these two DCCAs.	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) the populations of Q06 (Choi Kin) and Q07 (Kin Ming) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and (ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.
15	Q10 – Hoi Chun	4	-	<p>(a) Propose to rename Q10 (Hoi Chun) as “Tseung South”. Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the DCCA name “Hoi Chun” in the provisional recommendations is similar to Wings At Sea of LOHAS Park in Chinese, causing confusion easily; the proposed name is in line with the common knowledge that the cluster of housing estates in the above DCCA is located in the south of Tseung Kwan O; and the above DCCA includes The Wings II, IIIA and IIIB, Corinthia By The Sea, The Parkside, Twin Peaks, Ocean Wings, The Papillons, Monterey, Alto Residences and Capri but only housing estates of The Wings contain the word “Chun” and the names of The Papillons and Ocean Wings contain the word “Hoi”. 	<p><u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are not accepted because most DCCAs in the Sai Kung District in the provisional recommendations are named according to the established working principle of making reference to the major housing estates. The names proposed in the representations fail to reflect the characteristics or areas of the relevant DCCA.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>One representation considers that the English name of Q10 (Hoi Chun) may be renamed as "Tseung South".</p>	
				<p>(b) Propose to rename Q10 (Hoi Chun) as "O South". Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the proposed name can accurately reflect the location of the DCCA concerned, including the housing estates in the south of MTR Tseung Kwan O Station; • the DCCA name in the provisional recommendations is similar to that of Ocean Wings and will be associated with The Wings II, IIIA and IIIB. It may lead to misunderstanding that the DCCA only includes the above buildings; and • Q10 (Hoi Chun) includes 12 housing estates located at the southern tip of Tseung Kwan O, including The Wings II, IIIA and IIIB, Corinthia By The Sea, The Parkside, Twin Peaks, Ocean Wings, Savannah, The Papillons, Monterey, Alto Residences and Capri. 	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				One representation considers that the English name of Q10 (Hoi Chun) can be renamed as "O South" or "TKO South". However, "TKO South" is longer and relatively undesirable.	
16	Q10 – Hoi Chun Q12 – Fu Kwan	-	2	<p>Query whether the projected population figure (18 000) of Q10 (Hoi Chun) has been overestimated. Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • most of the newly-completed housing estates in the above DCCA contain small flats and many have yet to be occupied. Feeder minibuses are seldom used by residents; and • about 5 400 flats have been occupied in the above DCCA and there are currently about 6 600 flats in Q12 (Fu Kwan) but the projected populations of the two DCCAs are both 18 000. <p>One representation considers that more housing estates may be transferred to the above DCCA.</p>	The projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
17	Q10 – Hoi Chun Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang	1	-	<p>Proposes to remain the original boundaries of Q16 (Hong King) and Q21 (Wan Hang) unchanged because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Q20 (Wai Yan) is formed by splitting Q21 (Wan Hang) and Q16 (Hong King), which is different from how new DCCAs were created in the south of Tseung Kwan O in the past; the population of Q16 (Hong King) will substantially decrease after the re-delineation whereas the population of the south of Tseung Kwan O including LOHAS Park and the surrounding area of Chi Shin Street will continue to increase. Therefore, it is unreasonable to create only one new DCCA Q10 (Hoi Chun); the boundaries of Q16 (Hong King), Q20 (Wai Yan) and DCCAs in the south of Tseung Kwan O may need to be re-delineated in 2023 due to the above population factors; considers that the provisional recommendations are not in line with the trend of population growth and that 	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of Q21 (Wan Hang) (20 988) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%);</p> <p>(ii) for the newly-completed housing estates surrounding the area in the south of Tseung Kwan O, according to the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of Q11 (Po Yee) (33 553) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+102.14%). The provisional recommendations proposed to create a new DCCA Q10 (Hoi Chun) within the area of Q11 (Po Yee) but outside the Bauhinia Garden and Yee Ming Estate so that the population of the latter DCCA will fall within the statutory permissible range;</p> <p>(iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>there are also political consideration; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the splitting of Q21 (Wan Hang) into two DCCAs in the provisional recommendations cause confusion to the residents. 	<p>consideration; and</p> <p>(iv) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Political factors will not be taken into consideration.</p>
18	Q11 – Po Yee	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
19	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan	12 [^]	-	<p>(a) Propose to retain Well On Garden, Finery Park and Radiant Towers in Q16 (Hong King), and that new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) comprises Verbena Heights and Serenity Place in Q16 (Hong King). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the above proposal is in line with the consideration given to the geographical location of the DCCA and more beneficial to the community integrity; Well On Garden, Finery Park and Radiant Towers have all along belonged to Q16 (Hong King) and have a distant relationship with Verbena Heights. The provisional recommendations will break the community ties; 	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the proposal made in the representations, the population of Q20 (Wai Yan) (10 929) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-34.16%); and</p> <p>(ii) there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the proposal made in the representations is obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving local ties as well as geographical factors.</p>

[^]One representation contains signatures of 54 residents of Well On Garden.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serenity Place and Verbena Heights were developed and built by Hong Kong Housing Society. They belong to the same type of housing estate; • residents of Well On Garden are well used to belonging to Q16 (Hong King) over the years; and • no new buildings are developed in Q16 (Hong King). 	
				<p>(b) Propose that Q16 (Hong King) comprises Hong Sing Garden, King Ming Court, Well On Garden and Finery Park and that Q20 (Wai Yan) comprises Serenity Place, Radiant Towers and Verbena Heights.</p> <p>One representation considers that the proposal can achieve a more balanced population distribution among the DCCAs and help DC members provide services to residents in a more fair and efficient manner with the same amount of resources.</p>	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) even though the affected population under the proposal made in the representations (6 625) will be smaller than that in the provisional recommendations (7 018) by 393, according to the proposal made in the representations, Well On Garden and Finery Park will be separated from other buildings in Q16 (Hong King) by Serenity Place. In addition, Serenity Place in Q16 (Hong King) is separated from Radiant Towers and Verbena Heights in Q20 (Wai Yan) by a main road Po Hong Road. On the contrary, according to the</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>provisional recommendations, Well On Garden, Finery Park and Radiant Towers, which are built side by side along Yuk Nga Lane in Q20 (Wai Yan), are geographically closer to Verbena Heights. Therefore, generally speaking, it is more reasonable in geographical terms to delineate Q16 (Hong King) and Q20 (Wai Yan) with the main road Po Hong Road as the boundary; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.</p>
20	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang	-	1	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
21	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang	1	-	Objects to the re-delineation of the boundaries of Q16 (Hong King) and Q21 (Wan Hang). Considers that the populations of these DCCAs are stable and the creation of a new DCCA will cause disturbance to both the community and residents, and hence proposes to maintain the original DCCA boundaries as well as to cancel the creation of new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan).	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of Q21 (Wan Hang) (20 988) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%); and (ii) if creation of the new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan) is cancelled, the number of DCCAs will be smaller than the total number of elected seats in the Sai Kung District which does not meet the requirements of the EACO.
22	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan Q21 – Wan Hang Q28 – Wan Po North	1	-	Objects to the re-delineation of Q16 (Hong King) and Q21 (Wan Hang) into three DCCAs (including the new DCCA Q20 (Wai Yan)). Proposes to remain the boundary of Q21 (Wan Hang) unchanged and allow its population to slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. And to form two new DCCAs by merging the surrounding area of Tseung Kwan O South Town Centre with Oscar By The Sea in Q28 (Wan Po North) because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Q16 (Hong King) and Q21 (Wan Hang) are well-established DCCAs with long-existing local ties and co-operation. Hence, their DCCA 	This proposal is not accepted because: (i) in respect of the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the Government has completed the review on the number of elected seats and the subsidiary legislation was passed by the LegCo in January 2018. There is an increase in the number of elected seats by two to 29 for the Sai Kung District for the next DC Ordinary Election. In accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats as stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries, and

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>boundaries should not be rashly re-delineated;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • there are no new development projects or large-scale population changes in both DCCAs from 2015 to 2019. Their populations in 2019 will also be smaller than those in 2015 by about 1 000. On the contrary, there have been constant population intakes in the new housing estates on the Tseung Kwan O South Waterfront in recent years, leading to a continuous growth in the population there; • the above proposal can better reflect places that have population growth in the DCCA and is also in keeping with the tradition that the Sai Kung DC creates new DCCAs in newly-developed housing estates and places that have population growth; • the above proposal affects the least number of existing DCCAs, brings the populations of the DCCAs to fall within the statutory permissible range, and also facilitates district work; • in the above proposal, re-delineation of the DCCA boundaries in 2023 	<p>strictly adhere to the statutory criteria under the EACO and its working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations, existing DCCA boundaries and relevant local factors;</p> <p>(ii) according to the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the populations of two DCCAs in the Sai Kung District, namely Q11 (Po Yee) and Q21 (Wan Hang), will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit while the populations of the remaining DCCAs will be within the statutory permissible range or below the statutory permissible lower limit. Therefore, the EAC proposed to create the two new DCCAs in Q10 (Hoi Chun) and Q20 (Wai Yan) so as to ensure that the populations of Q11 (Po Yee) and Q21 (Wan Hang) will fall within the statutory permissible range;</p> <p>(iii) the EAC notes that based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, Q21 (Wan Hang) comprises different housing estates and in the provisional recommendations, only Verbena Heights is delineated in the new DCCA. The EAC</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>regarding the DCCAs of which their boundaries are adjusted in this exercise can be avoided; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the EAC's principle of allowing the populations of other DCCAs to slightly exceed the statutory permissible upper limit due to community integrity and local ties is also applicable to Q21 (Wan Hang). 	<p>considers that there is no sufficient objective information and justification to prove that the provisional recommendations would break the community integrity and local ties of Q21 (Wan Hang);</p> <p>(iv) as regards the proposal to create a new DCCA in the south of Tseung Kwan O, please see item 17(ii);</p> <p>(v) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration; and</p> <p>(vi) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.</p>
23	Q16 – Hong King Q20 – Wai Yan	-	1	<p>Proposes to add a new DCCA in Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the provisional recommendations will bring the population of Q16 (Hong King) 	<p>This proposal is not accepted. Please see item 11(a).</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	Q21 – Wan Hang Q28 – Wan Po North Q29 – Wan Po South			<p>(approximately 12 000) closer to the statutory permissible lower limit and the populations of Q20 (Wai Yan) and Q21 (Wan Hang) are also decreasing. The boundaries of the above DCCAs may need to be re-delineated in the next term as their populations may be below the statutory permissible lower limit; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the populations of both Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) are over 18 000 and there will soon be a population intake in Phase 6 of LOHAS Park. 	
24	Q20 – Wai Yan	-	1	Supports the proposed Chinese name of Q20 (Wai Yan), but holds reservation on not citing the “Well” of “Well On Garden” in the proposed English name.	This proposal is not accepted because the relevant proposal is not in keeping with the EAC’s established practice of forming the English names of DCCAs.
25	Q21 – Wan Hang	1	-	Objects to the re-delineation of the boundary of Q21 (Wan Hang) and considers that the private buildings there should not be transferred because that DCCA is a residential area with no changes in its population and community structure.	This proposal is not accepted because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of Q21 (Wan Hang) (20 988) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+26.44%).
26	Q23 – Hau Tak Q24 – Fu Nam	1	-	<p>(a) Objects to the current composition of Q24 (Fu Nam) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the above DCCA 	<p><u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of Q23</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	Q26 – Nam On Q27 – Kwan Po			<p>comprises housing estates, namely Residence Oasis, Fu Ning Garden and Yu Ming Court. These three housing estates are not geographically connected. As Residence Oasis is far away from the core area of Q24 (Fu Nam), its rights and interests are often overlooked; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fu Ning Garden and Yu Ming Court are Home Ownership Scheme estates while Residence Oasis is a private housing estate. Their residents are facing different community problems. <p>Proposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to transfer Residence Oasis in Q24 (Fu Nam) to Q26 (Nam On), or form a new DCCA with housing estates in the surrounding area of MTR Hang Hau Station such as East Point City or On Ning Garden. If the population is required to be closer to the population quota, Nan Fung Plaza in Q26 (Nam On) may be transferred to Q27 (Kwan Po) to form a DCCA with La Cite Noble. 	<p>(Hau Tak), Q24 (Fu Nam), Q26 (Nam On) and Q27 (Kwan Po) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required;</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangement on district administration matters is not the relevant factor of consideration; and</p> <p>(iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(b) The representation also proposes to draw reference to the options proposed in an online article as follows:</p> <p><u>Option 1</u> to transfer Residence Oasis in Q24 (Fu Nam) to Q23 (Hau Tak), Tak On House and Tak Yue House of Hau Tak Estate in Q23 (Hau Tak) to Q24 (Fu Nam), and East Point City in Q26 (Nam On) to Q24 (Fu Nam) to compensate for the decrease in population resulting from the transfer of Residence Oasis to another DCCA. Reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the population distribution of the three DCCAs after the re-delineation will be more even. Local ties will also become closer; • there will be one DCCA less with a population exceeding the statutory permissible upper limit; • there are still new residents moving into Residence Oasis while population outflow in Q23 (Hau Tak) has led to a gradual decrease in its population. The growth in population of Residence Oasis can be offset by the decrease in 	

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>population in the above DCCA; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the above proposal has taken into account the population changes in the DCCAs in the future. No need of re-delineation in the future; or <p><u>Option 2</u> to transfer Yu Ming Court in Q24 (Fu Nam) to Q23 (Hau Tak), to form Q24 (Fu Nam) that comprises East Point City in Q26 (Nam On), Fu Ning Garden and Residence Oasis, and to transfer Chung Ming Court in Q23 (Hau Tak) to Q26 (Nam On); or</p> <p><u>Option 3</u> to transfer Chung Ming Court in Q23 (Hau Tak) to Q26 (Nam On), and East Point City in Q26 (Nam On) to Q23 (Hau Tak).</p>	
27	<p>Q27 – Kwan Po</p> <p>Q28 – Wan Po North</p> <p>Q29 – Wan Po South</p>	-	1	<p>The representation states that Tseung Kwan O is divided into three large areas: the area surrounding Tseung Kwan O Waterfront is Tseung Kwan O South or Town Centre Area; Q27 (Kwan Po) (excluding Tseung Kwan O Plaza) is Hang Hau Area; and Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) are LOHAS Park Area. Considers that the three areas above should be considered in conjunction in delineating the</p>	<p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of Q27 (Kwan Po), Q28 (Wan Po North) and Q29 (Wan Po South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>DCCA boundaries. Proposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> to transfer Tseung Kwan O Plaza in Q27 (Kwan Po) to DCCAs in Tsuen Kwan O South because even though Q27 (Kwan Po) has comprised the housing estates Tseung Kwan O Plaza and La Cite Noble since 2015, they are relatively far away from each other geographically. Hence, it is difficult for the DC member of the DCCA to handle affairs with regard to residents of the above housing estates. Moreover, Tseung Kwan O South (or Town Centre Area) is where residents of Tseung Kwan O Plaza carry out their daily activities; and to transfer Oscar By The Sea in Q28 (Wan Po North) to Q27 (Kwan Po) because the community features of the two DCCAs are similar. 	(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Community services provided by DC members is not the relevant factor of consideration.