

**Appendix II - S**

**Kwai Tsing District  
Summaries of Written/Oral Representations**

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
1	All DCCAs	-	1	Supports the provisional recommendations on all DCCAs of the Kwai Tsing District.	The supporting view is noted.
2	All DCCAs	1	-	(a) Supports the provisional recommendations on S03 (Kwai Shing East Estate), S04 (Upper Tai Wo Hau), S05 (Lower Tai Wo Hau), S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North), S11 (On Yam), S14 (Kwai Fong), S18 (Cho Yiu), S19 (Lai King), S20 (Kwai Shing West Estate), S21 (On Ho), S22 (Wai Ying), S23 (Tsing Yi Estate), S24 (Greenfield), S25 (Cheung Ching), S26 (Cheung Hong), S27 (Shing Hong), S28 (Tsing Yi South), S29 (Cheung Hang), S30 (Ching Fat) and S31 (Cheung On).	<u>Item (a)</u> The supporting view is noted.
				(b) Other than those mentioned in item 2(a) above, holds reservation on the provisional recommendations on the remaining DCCAs. Proposes that more consideration should be given to community ties in future delineation exercises, including to draw up a new	<u>Item (b)</u> The view is noted.

\* W: Number of written representations.

O : Number of oral representations.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				working principle stipulating that the population of a split-up housing estate in a single DCCA cannot be less than 3 000 or three building blocks so as to avoid the relevant residents in the DCCA being neglected.	
				(c) With reference to a survey conducted by HKU Social Sciences Research Centre for the Sham Shui Po DC in 2002, requests the EAC to relay to the Government that the residents living in the south of Lai King Hill Road in the Kwai Tsing District support the transfer of that area to the Sham Shui Po District.	<u>Item (c)</u> The proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.
3	S01 – Kwai Hing S02 – Kwai Luen S06 – Kwai Chung Estate South	19 <sup>^</sup>	4	(a) Object to the transfer of Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate, and Kwai Fuk Court from S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) to S01 (Kwai Hing) and request withdrawing the relevant provisional recommendations. Reasons are summarised as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the five building blocks concerned and S01 (Kwai Hing) are</li> </ul>	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is <b>accepted</b> . In reviewing the boundaries of the DCCAs, it is a statutory requirement that the EAC has to examine the existing boundaries of DCCAs on the basis of the population of individual DCCAs in the year in which the relevant election will be held. The EACO stipulates that apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC must also have regard to the other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and

<sup>^</sup> Of which, seven are template letters. Besides, one representation contains 666 signatures from the public.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	S07 – Kwai Chung Estate North			<p>separated by a steep slope and the lift which was requested for years has still not been built. Therefore, it would be very dangerous and inconvenient for the residents to go to and fro the two DCCAs. It is also difficult for the residents concerned to go to S01 (Kwai Hing) to seek assistance from the DC member;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• all buildings of Kwai Chung Estate are closely connected in terms of historical development, geographical location and community needs. Besides, their community development is unique;</li> <li>• the provisional recommendations break community integrity and identities of Kwai Chung Estate because the residents of Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate, and Kwai Fuk Court share the living space, geographical location and facilities such as shopping arcade, market, leisure facilities, car park and social services organisation with the residents of other building blocks of Kwai</li> </ul>	<p>physical features (such as the size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area when adjusting the DCCA boundaries. Based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) (21 829) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.51%), hence there is a need to adjust its boundary by transferring some of its buildings to the adjacent DCCA with a view to maintaining the population of the DCCA within the statutory permissible range.</p> <p>When drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC noted that the Government has agreed to build an escalator next to the staircase connecting S01 (Kwai Hing) and S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) (“a-hundred-step staircase”) and the construction works will be carried out from 2 May 2018 to 1 May 2019. Therefore, transferring Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate, and Kwai Fuk Court to S01 (Kwai Hing) is geographically feasible.</p> <p>Nevertheless, upon receipt of the representations, the EAC conducted site visits in August and September 2018 and noted that the relevant works had not commenced yet. According to the Government’s works</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Chung Estate. They are also facing the same community issues;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• should not solely consider the compliance with the principle that the DCCA population cannot exceed the population quota. The principle of preserving community integrity is more important;</li> <li>• there are various types of buildings in S01 (Kwai Hing). If Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of another housing estate, and the disciplined services quarters Kwai Fuk Court are also included in the DCCA, it will be difficult for the DC member to serve and handle views of residents with different interests from different groups;</li> <li>• query the population figures of S01 (Kwai Hing) and S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South). The representations consider that Kwong Fai Circuit in S01 (Kwai Hing) contains a lot of subdivided units. The EAC's reliance on the figures from the population by-census may possibly seriously</li> </ul>	<p>schedule, there must be a delay in the above works. In view of this and having taken geographical factor into consideration, the EAC agrees to retain Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate, and Kwai Fuk Court in S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South). In the absence of better alternative option, the EAC proposes to keep the boundary of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) unchanged and allow its population (21 829) to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+31.51%).</p> <p>According to the proposal made in the representations, the respective populations of S01 (Kwai Hing) and S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) are:</p> <p>S01: 13 197, -20.50% S06: 21 829, +31.51%.</p> <p>Regarding the views on the population figures, the projected population figures as at 30 June 2019 are used for the delineation exercise for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election. As in the past, the projected population figures are provided by the AHSG, set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projection in the PlanD. The current population distribution projections are</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>underestimate the population of the DCCA. In fact, the actual total population of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) is roughly over 19 000, which is in line with the statutory requirement and no adjustment is required;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• as the owner and management organisation of Kwai Chung Estate, the population figures provided by the HD should be more accurate. According to the figures provided by the HD, the provisional recommendations will render the population of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) below the statutory permissible lower limit;</li> <li>• there is no substantial change in the population of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) and hence no need to transfer some of the buildings in the DCCA to S01 (Kwai Hing);</li> <li>• constant changes to DCCA boundaries and the division of Kwai Chung Estate into three DCCAs make it hard for the public to adapt and</li> </ul>	<p>derived by using scientific and systematic methodology based on the results of the 2016 population by-census carried out by the C&amp;SD as well as the up-to-date official data kept by the relevant government departments. Members of the AHSG are all professional departments which all along have been responsible for territory-wide population census and projections on population distribution. They possess the most up-to-date information on the population and land and housing development, and the data are highly-accepted generally. The EAC has all along relied on the statistical figures provided by the AHSG, which are the only data available for the delineation exercise.</p> <p>Regarding the other issues as mentioned in the representations, the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>seek assistance from the DC member;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the elderlies living at Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate are used to the service of the incumbent DC member. They worry that it will be hard for them to communicate with an unfamiliar DC member in future; and</li> <li>dividing Kwai Chung Estate into three DCCAs and having three DC members serving the estate would make it difficult for them to reach a consensus, because the three DC members may have different stands and views on social issues.</li> </ul>	
				(b) One representation proposes to retain Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate in S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South), but supports the transfer of Kwai Fuk Court to S01 (Kwai Hing).	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because Kwai Fuk Court is separated from S01 (Kwai Hing) by Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of Kwai Chung Estate.
				(c) One representation suggests that if retaining the original boundary of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) will	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>cause its population to exceed the statutory permissible upper limit, then proposes to transfer one of the housing estates in the DCCA concerned to S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) and Sun Kwai Hing Gardens be retained in S01 (Kwai Hing).</p>	<p>(i) the population of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) (20 053) is already close to the statutory permissible upper limit (+20.81%). It has no sufficient room to absorb the population of any one of the housing estates in S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South); and</p> <p>(ii) according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of S02 (Kwai Luen) (11 594) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-30.15%).</p>
4	<p>S01 – Kwai Hing</p> <p>S02 – Kwai Luen</p> <p>S06 – Kwai Chung Estate South</p> <p>S07 – Kwai Chung Estate North</p> <p>S15 – Hing Fong</p>	2	-	<p>(a) One representation considers that under the provisional recommendations, the populations of the newly created S02 (Kwai Luen), S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) and S15 (Hing Fong) are relatively low, while that of S01 (Kwai Hing) is seriously high. Besides, the problem of relatively high population of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) has still been unresolved. Proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retain Hibiscus Park in S15 (Hing Fong) so that its population will increase to about 16 000;</li> <li>• transfer Kwai Chun Court and Kwai Hing</li> </ul>	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>Estate (around 7 000 people) from S01 (Kwai Hing) to S02 (Kwai Luen) so that the population of S02 (Kwai Luen) will become around 19 000;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retain Kwai Fuk Court in S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South); and</li> <li>• group Chun Kwai House, Ha Kwai House and Chau Kwai House of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South), Pak Kwai House and Hop Kwai House of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North), and Kwong Fai Circuit of S01 (Kwai Hing) together to form a new DCCA. After such adjustments, the population of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) will decrease to 14 000.</li> </ul>	
				<p>(b) One representation objects to the provisional recommendations on S01 (Kwai Hing), S02 (Kwai Luen), S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) and S15 (Hing Fong) because they neglect the issue of local ties and are not supported by population data. According to the provisional recommendations, the populations of S02 (Kwai Luen), S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) and S15</p>	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.</p>



<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>(Hing Fong) will all be less than 15 000, while those of S01 (Kwai Hing) and S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) will be as high as over 20 000, which means the arrangements are unreasonable. Proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• transfer Pak Kwai House and Hop Kwai House of S07 (Kwai Chung Estate North) and Kwai Fuk Court of S06 (Kwai Chung Estate South) to S01 (Kwai Hing) so that they will be grouped with Kwong Fai Circuit and Kwai Hing Estate to form S01 (Kwai Hing); and</li> <li>• transfer Kwai Chun Court of S01 (Kwai Hing) to S02 (Kwai Luen) so that it will be grouped with Kwai Chun Court, Sun Kwai Hing Gardens, Kwai Hong Court and Kwai Luen Estate to form S02 (Kwai Luen).</li> </ul>	
5	S01 – Kwai Hing S02 – Kwai Luen	-	1	(a) Objects to creating the two new DCCAs in the Kwai Chung District but not on Tsing Yi Island where two big housing estates have been completed.	<p><u>Item (a)</u> This representation is <b>not accepted</b> because the populations of all DCCAs on Tsing Yi Island will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required.</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
	S08 – Shek Yam S10 – Tai Pak Tin East S12 – Shek Lei North S15 – Hing Fong			(b) Proposes to retain Shek Foon House, Shek Cheung House and Shek Fu House of Shek Lei (II) Estate in S12 (Shek Lei North) and transfer Ning Fung Court in S08 (Shek Yam) to the new DCCA S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) instead, because the population of S08 (Shek Yam) is over 19 000 and both Ning Fung Court and the buildings along Tung Chi Street in S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) are private residential buildings located closer to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) than Shek Lei (II) Estate.	<u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of S12 (Shek Lei North) (22 392) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+ 34.90%).
				(c) Proposes to retain Hibiscus Park in S15 (Hing Fong).	<u>Item (c)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because according to the proposal made in the representation, the population of S02 (Kwai Luen) (12 176) will be below the statutory permissible lower limit (-26.65%).
6	S02 – Kwai Luen S10 – Tai Pak Tin East	1	-	Supports the provisional recommendations.	The supporting view is noted.
7	S08 – Shek Yam	1	-	Agrees with the provisional recommendations on S08 (Shek Yam). Although its population will still be 19 000, the provisional	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the number of affected DCCAs under the proposal made in the representation will be one more than that in the

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	S09 – Tai Pak Tin West  S10 – Tai Pak Tin East  S12 – Shek Lei North  S13 – Shek Lei South			<p>recommendations have taken into account factors of local ties and community integrity. Having said that, for the purpose of evening out the populations of the DCCAs, proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• transfer Shek Kai House and Shek Wah House of S13 (Shek Lei South) to S12 (Shek Lei North) to avoid dividing Shek Lei (II) Estate into three DCCAs;</li> <li>• transfer Shek Yan House and Shek Kwong House of S12 (Shek Lei North) to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East); and</li> <li>• transfer Fortuna House, Kwai Po Building, Kwai Fu Building and Hoi Cheong Building of S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) to S09 (Tai Pak Tin West).</li> </ul>	provisional recommendations. The affected population under the proposal will be larger, too.
8	S10 – Tai Pak Tin East	1	-	Objects to the provisional recommendations on the new DCCA S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) because it will benefit a certain party and contravene the principle of fairness of an election. Besides, it will create difficulties for members of the public to seek assistance.	The delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Political factor will not be taken into consideration.
9	S10 – Tai Pak Tin East	21 <sup>#</sup>	3	Object to the provisional recommendations on S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) and S12 (Shek	<u>Item (a)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:

<sup>#</sup>Of which, 19 are template letters.

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
	S12 – Shek Lei North  S13 – Shek Lei South			<p>Lei North). Propose to retain Shek Foon House of Shek Lei (II) Estate in S12 (Shek Lei North). Reasons are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shek Foon House and Shek Yan House in S12 (Shek Lei North) are connected by a footbridge. The residents of Shek Foon House always use the footbridge to reach the shopping arcade in S12 (Shek Lei North), while the residents of Shek Yan House always use the open space outside Shek Foon House. Transferring Shek Foon House to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) will hinder the residents of the housing estate from participating in community activities together and undermine the community integrity. Furthermore, the provisional recommendations will confuse the residents of Shek Foon House and affect their intention of applying for voter registration, hence lowering the voter turnout;</li> <li>• the provisional recommendations are confusing to residents and making them difficult to adapt; and</li> </ul>	<p>(i) the affected population under the proposal made in the representations (7 765) will be larger than that in the provisional recommendations (7 139) by 626;</p> <p>(ii) Shek Foon House, Shek Cheung House, Shek Fu House, Shek Hei House and Shek Fook House are all situated at Tai Pak Tin Street and close to the new DCCA S10 (Tai Pak Tin East). The representations propose to transfer Shek Hei House and Shek Fook House from S12 (Shek Lei North) to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East), which is not obviously better than the provisional recommendations in terms of preserving community identities and integrity; and</p> <p>(iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>having three DC members in one housing estate contravenes the principles of preservation of local ties and consideration of community development. Besides, as Shek Foon House is located far from the private residential buildings of S10 (Tai Pak Tin East), the provisional recommendations will in turn isolate Shek Foon House. The residents of public housing estates and private residential buildings are having different needs.</li> </ul>	
				(a) 15 representations propose to transfer Shek Hei House and Shek Fook House from S12 (Shek Lei North) to S10 (Tai Pak Tin East) having regard to community identities and integrity.	
				(b) Two representations propose to re-delineate Shek Lei (II) Estate as an individual DCCA, i.e. to transfer Shek Kai House and Shek Wah House from S13 (Shek Lei South) to S12 (Shek Lei North), so as to maintain its integrity.	<p><u>Item (b)</u> This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) based on the 2015 original DCCA boundary, the population of S12 (Shek Lei North) (22 392) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit (+34.90%). According to the proposal made in the representations, the population of the DCCA concerned will further exceed the statutory</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
					<p>permissible upper limit; and</p> <p>(ii) the population of S13 (Shek Lei South) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required.</p>
10	S13 – Shek Lei South  S16 – Wah Lai	3	1	<p>Propose to transfer Greenknoll Court from S16 (Wah Lai) to S13 (Shek Lei South) because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Greenknoll Court is connected to S13 (Shek Lei South) geographically;</li> <li>• it is hard for the DC member of S16 (Wah Lai) to serve Greenknoll Court. Therefore, it is difficult for the residents of Greenknoll Court to seek assistance from the DC member; and</li> <li>• the polling station for S16 (Wah Lai) is far from Greenknoll Court.</li> </ul>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of S13 (Shek Lei South) and S16 (Wah Lai) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries are not required; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members, and polling station arrangement are not the relevant factors of consideration. The EAC has referred the view on polling station arrangement to the REO for consideration.</p>

Item No.	DCCAs	No.*		Representations	EAC's Views
		W	O		
11	S16 – Wah Lai	1	-	<p>Proposes to group Wah Yuen Chuen, Wonderland Villas, and Regency Park and its neighbouring rural villages together of S16 (Wah Lai) to form a DCCA, and group Lai Yiu Estate, Tsui Yiu Court and Greenknoll Court together to form another DCCA because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the way of living of the residents of Wonderland Villas and Regency Park is completely different from that of the residents of Lai Yiu Estate, and so are their needs for community services; and</li> <li>geographically, Wonderland Villas is relatively far from Lai Yiu Estate.</li> </ul>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) the population of S16 (Wah Lai) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustment to its existing boundary is not required; and</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services are not the relevant factors of consideration.</p>
12	S16 – Wah Lai S17 – Lai Wah	1	-	<p>Proposes to delete S17 (Lai Wah) and group Wah Lai Estate, Lai Yan Court, Happy Villa, Laichikok Bay Garden and Wah Fung Garden in the DCCA together with Lai Chi Kok Government Offices, Lai Chi Kok Park Sports Centre, Lai Chi Kok Park Swimming Pool, Lai Chi Kok Park (Stage I), Nob Hill and Ching Lai Court in the Sham Shui Po District in a new DCCA in the Sham Shui Po District. The name of the new DCCA is “Lai Wan”. Regarding the remaining parts in S17 (Lai Wah), i.e. Kau Wa Keng, Kau Wa Keng San Tsuen, Chung Shan Terrace, Chung Shan</p>	<p>This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) in accordance with the EACO, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO in delineating the DCCA boundaries. Deleting S17 (Lai Wah) as proposed in the representation will make the total number of DCCA less than the number of seats in the Kwai Tsing District, which does not comply with the above statutory requirement. As this proposal made in the</p>

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				Lodge, Greenwood Villas, Greenwood Regency, Lai King Fire Station and Cheung Hang Village, they will be transferred to S16 (Wah Lai).	<p>representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration; and</p> <p>(ii) the proposal made in the representation involves alteration of administrative district boundaries, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.</p>
13	S23 – Tsing Yi Estate	1	-	Proposes to rename S23 (Tsing Yi Estate) as “Tsing Luk” because the three main housing estates in the DCCA concerned, i.e. Greenview Villa, Tsing Yi Estate and Tsing Yi Garden are located at Tsing Luk Street. Renaming the DCCA as “Tsing Luk” will make it clear to the residents their respective DCCA areas.	This proposal is <b>not accepted</b> because the name of the DCCA has been used since 1999 and members of the public are used to the name. Moreover, there is no adjustment to its boundary in the present delineation exercise and change of the DCCA name may cause confusion to the public.
14	S24 – Greenfield  S26 – Cheung Hong  S27 – Shing Hong	3	-	Propose to transfer Hong Ping House, Hong On House and Hong Shing House of Cheung Hong Estate from S27 (Shing Hong) to S26 (Cheung Hong). Reasons are summarised as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>dividing the 10 blocks of Cheung Hong Estate into different DCCAs will annoy the residents. It is difficult</li> </ul>	<p>These proposals are <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) the populations of S24 (Greenfield), S26 (Cheung Hong) and S27 (Shing Hong) will fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the established working principles, adjustments to their existing boundaries</p>



<i>Item No.</i>	<i>DCCAs</i>	<i>No.*</i>		<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's Views</i>
		<i>W</i>	<i>O</i>		
				<p>for them to change their habits, including voting at elections and seeking assistance from the DC members. It is believed that the proposal made in the representations can reduce the inconvenience currently faced by residents and the intangible pressure brought to them by the change of DCCAs. The proposal can also enhance district harmony;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the building structure, size of unit, amenities and family size of Cheung Hong Estate Phases I and II are very different, so are the community facilities and management; and</li> <li>• the proposal will ensure smoother and more integrated handling of housing affairs by the DC member.</li> </ul> <p>One representation proposes, at the same time, to transfer Chung Mei Lo Uk Tsuen, Lam Tin Resite Village, Tai Wong Ha Resite Village, Tsing Fai San Tsuen, Tsing Yu New Village and Yim Tin Kok Resite Village from S24 (Greenfield) to S27 (Shing Hong) to avoid constantly revising DCCA boundaries in future and to preserve the physical features, community identities and ties of the areas concerned.</p>	<p>are not required;</p> <p>(ii) the delineation recommendations must be based on objective data of population distribution. Arrangements on district administration matters or community services provided by DC members are not the relevant factors of consideration; and</p> <p>(iii) it is an established practice that the delineation exercise for a DC ordinary election should be conducted on the basis of the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration.</p>