#### **CHAPTER 6**

# THE PUBLIC REPRESENTATIONS; THE RECOMMENDATIONS: DECISIONS WITH REASONS

#### <u>Section 1 : The Public Representations</u>

- The Commission announced the commencement of public consultation in a press conference held on 22 March 1999. Thereafter, the Chairman of the Commission met several journalists to further explain the Commission's provisional recommendations and the principles adopted in the delineation of the constituency boundaries. He also appeared on the Radio Television Hong Kong's radio programme "Talkabout" on 13 April 1999 to further publicize the public consultation exercise.
- During the consultation period between 22 March and 17 April 1999, the Commission received a total of 204 written representations on its provisional recommendations. They can be found in **Part I** of **Volume 3** of this report.
- 6.3 The Commission also held four public forums on 13, 14, 15 and 16 April 1999 to hear representations from the public. 55 of the 160 participants in these forums offered their views on the Commission's provisional recommendations. A summary of these views can be found

#### in Appendix VI.

- The CEO or the Deputy CEO attended, upon invitation, the meetings of four Provisional District Boards ("PDBs") namely, Southern PDB, Wong Tai Sin PDB, Kwun Tong PDB and Central and Western PDB, to gather their views on the Commission's provisional recommendations. A copy each of the minutes of the meetings of the PDBs can be found in **Part II** of **Volume 3**.
- 6.5 The Commission had appealed to the public in its publicity materials for inviting representations that not only those who were dissatisfied but also those who were satisfied with the Commission's provisional recommendations should come forward and make their views This was for the purpose of ensuring that as many views on the provisional recommendations should be known to the Commission. only representations opposing or criticising the provisional recommendations were sought and received, the Commission would have no way to gauge the degree of the acceptability by the public of the recommendations and representations. The Commission may also alter its provisional recommendations consequent upon having considered representations which might contain an one-sided or wrong idea, not knowing whether the Commission's provisional recommendations are acceptable by those who have not expressed their views. Seeking approving voices is also to ensure that those who might be affected by any possible alteration made consequent upon an opposing representation would not be so affected without having an opportunity of addressing the

Commission. It appears that the appeal bore some fruit because expressed acceptance or approval of the provisional recommendations both written and oral have been noted in as many as 83 representations. The Commission was thus able to have an overall view of the public opinion on the matter to arrive at a balanced decision.

#### Section 2: The Public Forums

- spent by the Commission in conducting forums for the public to air their views on the proposed delineation of DCCAs. The length of time required for this exercise was for allowing persons concerned to have an hour to make oral representations to the Commission on each of the 18 Districts throughout the territory. Altogether 18 hours were set out in the timetable that had been advertised widely, including on local newspapers and in APIs on the television. In respect of most of the Districts, save for the media representatives, few people attended, and only a small number of people addressed the Commission throughout. This phenomenon might be caused by the following reasons:
  - (a) the venue was inconvenient;
  - (b) the proposed delineations were generally satisfactory and acceptable; and
  - (c) those who wished to make representations to the

Commission had already done so or would do so through written submissions.

- 6.7 A person who attended the forum expressed dissatisfaction with the venue for it being an inconvenient place for those who did not live in Sha Tin. While this must be true and applicable to inhabitants of Districts other than Sha Tin, the Commission did not feel that anyone having a grievance over or concerned with the demarcation of DCCAs would refuse to attend purely because the venue was too far away from where he lived or worked. The REO had tried to obtain a venue closer to the office of REO and the Commission or considered to be more convenient and better known to the public but Sha Tin Town Hall was the only best available place for the event. Thought may have to be given to obtain a more convenient venue for future public forums, placing greater emphasis on the convenience to the targeted groups of persons who might be interested in attending. Nonetheless, the Commission is of the view that the position of the venue would not present any appreciable disincentive to the wish of people to attend.
- The proposed delineations were made after the Commission had consulted with the District Officers of the 18 Districts who had intimate knowledge of the localities. The requirements of the various Districts had therefore been taken into account by the Commission when making the proposed delineations. This might have resulted in the proposed delineations being found to be more acceptable and satisfactory by those who might be affected. As the proposed delineations were

generally satisfactory, few would attend the forum in order to make their approval or agreement known, though the Commission did receive certain representations which expressed approval and even appreciation.

- written representations had already been received. More were received during the course of the week while the forums were proceeding. It would be clearer and more precise for the representers to put what they wished to say in writing, for the representations that objected to any of the proposed DCCAs in a District would invariably touch upon local circumstances by reference to lines on the relevant map, names of streets and buildings, and populations of particular areas or estates. These were minute details which make oral representations difficult to comprehend. That might be one of the reasons why those who wished to raise objections to the Commission's proposed delineations or make points about them would prefer to set them out clearly in writing, instead of participating in the public forum to make oral submissions.
- 6.10 Anyhow, the forums were concluded in the afternoon of Friday 16 April 1999. Altogether, 55 people addressed the Commission on a total of 13 Districts. No oral representation was received regarding 5 Districts, namely, Wan Chai, Southern, Sham Shui Po, Tai Po and Islands.

#### Section 3: General Approaches Adopted by the Commission

- 6.11 In its deliberation on the representations made on its provisional recommendations, the Commission adopted the following approaches which were made based on the working principles for the delineation of provisional DCCAs as stated in paragraph 5.11 of Chapter 5:
  - (a) Representations regarding DCCAs which were provisionally determined to be the same as those of the DBCAs

    ("unaltered DBCAs"). Suggested boundary modifications would be considered only if:
    - they are supported by cogent reasons and would result in substantial and apparent improvement on community, geographical and development considerations;
    - (ii) they would not in turn affect an unacceptable number of unaltered DBCAs;
    - (iii) all the resulting populations will not depart from the population quota by more than 25%; and
    - (iv) no representation supporting the retention of the provisional recommendations in respect of the same unaltered DBCAs has been received.

The Commission considered it inappropriate to accept representations on unaltered DBCAs which proposed solely improvement on population distribution because by doing so, many DCCAs would have to be re-delineated. These re-delineated DCCAs would then become the final recommendations of the Commission without any other possible public consultation as to their acceptability.

(b) Representations regarding new DCCAs. All suggestions with sufficient cause on better population distribution or on community considerations would be accepted, except those adopting an approach entirely different from the Commission's and affecting an unacceptable number of unaltered DBCAs.

#### Section 4: The Commission's General Views, Remarks and Observations

6.12 Preserving community identity and local ties. Several representations both oral and written made to the Commission stressed the importance of maintaining local community identities and ties. Some representers pointed out that the Commission's proposed delineation had disrupted the community identity and cohesiveness of the residents already established in some areas since the 1994 DB election, and would greatly affect the integrity of the community. Some representers also emphasized that the residents of the affected areas

would likely have a weaker sense of belonging to the DCCAs to which they have been newly assigned, and this in turn, would adversely affect the voters' turnout rate. Moreover, the DC Member of a constituency might have difficulty in serving two or more heterogeneous communities though some other representers held contrary views that it would not impose any particular problems under the circumstances. The Commission fully understood the sentiments and wishes of the representations and has considered all of them very carefully. Insofar as they meet the criteria as stated in paragraphs 6.11 above, their views would be accepted as far as possible.

6.13 Criteria for delineating the DCCAs. A few representations proposed changes to District boundaries and to the permitted 25% departure—from the population quota. These representations were outside the jurisdiction of the Commission. This was despite the fact that the Commission had on many occasions informed the public of the criteria that the Commission had to follow. The Commission will explore further avenues in order to ensure that the public understands the relevant statutory criteria for delineating the DCCAs. Some representations also urged the Commission to change the provisional boundaries of DCCAs on the ground that the polling stations in the DCCAs concerned were not easily accessible to voters. The Commission will examine the possibility of increasing the number of polling stations for the 1999 DC election to better convenience the voters.

#### Names and code references. A small number of

representations regarding the naming of DCCAs were received. the names do not involve matters of principle, the Commission has seen fit to comply with the wishes of the requests as far as possible, because after all, the representers being locals would have a better knowledge or understanding of what a constituency area should be appropriately called. However, there were a few representations on names which the Commission has refused to accept either for the reason that the residents have already become accustomed to the names of the DBCAs covering the same or almost the same areas or the Commission's recommended names could more truly reflect the local situation and characteristics of the DCCAs concerned. As a result, the Commission has revised the names of five DCCAs in four Districts. Details of these revisions are set out in the table below. One representation commented on the code references of constituency areas, but the Commission was not convinced to make any change to the present coding system (paragraph 5.15 refers) which has been functioning well and well received by the public.

### Changes Made to the Names of DCCAs as a Result of Public Consultation

District	DCCA	DCCA Name			
	Code	EAC's Original Proposal	EAC's New Proposal		
Kwun Tong	J11	Sau Mau Ping West	Sau Mau Ping North		
	J13	Sau Mau Ping East	Sau Mau Ping South		
Tuen Mun	L17	Siu Shan	Siu Sun		

District	DCCA	DCCA Name			
	Code	EAC's Original Proposal EAC's New Propo			
Kwai Tsing	S19	Wang Hoi	Wai Hoi		
Sai Kung	Q14	Fu Ning	Fu Yu		

6.15 <u>Population discrepancies</u>. Certain representations challenged the population figures published by the Commission in support of its provisional recommendations. Some of these challenges were either personal estimates or information from unofficial sources, premised upon the number of living quarters in a building multiplied by personally assessed number of persons in each unit. The Ad Hoc Subgroup had been requested in all cases to verify the population figures and it advised that the population figures adopted by the Commission were forecasts as at end of March 1999 derived from the latest information on living quarters and results of the 1996 Population By-census. The incorrectness of the personal estimates was mainly due to the failure to take into account the vacancy factor and the lack of statistical basis for the personally assessed rate of persons per living An error in the occupancy rate could have an exaggerated effect. The Commission considers that the Ad Hoc Subgroup's forecast which is based on conventional methodology, sound parameters and well-proven statistical assumptions is much more reliable and therefore sees no good reason to depart from it.

#### Section 5: The Commission's Responses to Representations

6.16 The written representations, the oral representations made at the public forums and the representations of the PDBs contain comments related to the general aspects of the demarcation work or specific Districts or both. The Commission's responses to these comments are given in **Appendices V and VI-A to VI-T**. As a result of the public consultation, the Commission has revised the boundaries of 49 DCCAs in 14 Districts. Details of these revisions are set out in the table below. The four Districts to which no change has been made are Wan Chai, Yau Tsim Mong, Kowloon City and Kwai Tsing.

## Changes Made to the Boundaries of DCCAs as a Result of Public Consultation

District	No. of DCCAs	Code and Name of DCCAs Affected
	Affected	
Central & Western	4	A03 Castle Road
		A05 University
		A13 Tung Wah
		A14 Centre Street
Eastern	2	C33 Hing Man
	_	C34 Lok Hong
Southern	7	D01 Aberdeen
		D04 Lei Tung I
		D05 Lei Tung II
		D06 South Horizons East
		D13 Tin Wan
		D15 Bays Area
		D17 Stanley & Shek O

District		Code and Name of DCCAs Affected
	Affected	
Sham Shui Po	5	F01 Po Lai
		F02 Cheung Sha Wan
		F09 Lai Kok
		F15 Chak On
		F19 Tai Hang Tung & Yau Yat Tsuen
Wong Toi Sin	2	U05 Fung Tak
Wong Tai Sin	2	H05 Fung Tak H18 Tsz Wan East
		H18 ISZ Wan East
Kwun Tong	7	J07 Shun Tin West
		J11 Sau Mau Ping North
		J12 Hiu Lai
		J13 Sau Mau Ping South
		J24 Tsui Ping North
		J25 Po Lok
		J27 Hip Hong
Tsuen Wan	4	K08 Allway
		K09 Lai To
		K12 Tsuen Wan Rural East
		K14 Lei Muk Shue East
Tuen Mun	2	L12 San Hui
		L13 Prime View
Yuen Long	4	M09 Ping Shan South
		M10 Ping Shan North
		M19 Fairview Park
		M20 San Tin
North	2	N02 Fanling Town
		N11 Shek Wu Hui
Tai Po	2	P13 Lam Tsuen Valley
		P14 Po Nga
L	1	ı

District	No. of DCCAs	Code and Name of DCCAs Affected
	Affected	
Sai Kung	4	Q01 Sai Kung Central
		Q03 Sai Kung Islands
		Q04 Hang Hau East
		Q06 Hang Hau West
Sha Tin	2	R18 Heng To
		R25 Heng On
Islands	2	T03 Discovery Bay
		T04 Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau
Total:	49	

Section 6 : Deviation from the Population Quota by more than 25%

from the population quota by more than 25%. Out of these 14 DCCAs, the Commission has allowed 8 to deviate from the population quota in order to maintain the integrity or homogeneity or local ties of the communities. These 8 DCCAs are D16 Wong Chuk Hang (+25.27%) in Southern District, H05 Fung Tak (+33.26%) in Wong Tai Sin District, L27 Tin King (+25.31%) in Tuen Mun District, M11 Ha Tsuen (-34.23%) and M21 Kam Tin (-46.17%) in Yuen Long District, and R03 Wo Che (+34.98%), R08 Pok Hong (+27.82%) and R18 Heng To (+25.50%) in Sha Tin District.

6.18 The Commission has allowed the remaining 6 DCCAs to deviate from the population quota because of the large area covered by these DCCAs and/or the need to preserve community identities and local

ties. These 6 DCCAs are P19 Sai Kung North (-60.93%) in Tai Po District, Q03 Sai Kung Islands (-32.89%) in Sai Kung District, and T04 Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau (-44.04%), T05 Lamma & Po Toi (-69.37%), T06 Cheung Chau South (-40.26%) and T07 Cheung Chau North (-26.06%) in Islands District.

#### Section 7: The Recommendations

6.19 After the Commission has carefully considered all the public representations, it makes its final recommendations in respect of 390 The name of and the estimated population in each of the DCCAs are provided in the **tables** at the end of this Chapter. The details of the demarcation of the DCCAs are shown on the 22 maps in **Part I** of Volume 2. The descriptions of their boundaries, the major areas and buildings therein, their estimated populations and percentage deviations from the population quota are set out in **Part II** of **Volume 2**. Of the 390 DCCAs contained in the Commission's final recommendations, the boundaries of 204 are identical to those of the same number of the 1994 DBCAs. Given that there were only 346 DBCAs in 1994, this means that 58.96% of the 1994 DBCAs have been kept intact and adopted by the Commission as its finally determined DCCAs.

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

		中西區		標準人口基數偏差百份比
	(	Central and Western	估計人口	+/- % of
建	議選區 Re	ecommended Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
A01	中環	Chung Wan	17 902	+5.04%
A02	半山東	Mid Levels East	19 290	+13.18%
A03	衛城	Castle Road	18 838	+10.53%
A04	山頂	Peak	19 559	+14.76%
A05	大學	University	19 860	+16.53%
A06	堅摩	Kennedy Town & Mount Davis	16 132	-5.35%
A07	觀龍	Kwun Lung	14 971	-12.16%
A08	西環	Sai Wan	20 279	+18.99%
A09	寶翠	Belcher	17 990	+5.56%
A10	石塘咀	Shek Tong Tsui	20 314	+19.19%
A11	西營盤	Sai Ying Pun	21 133	+24.00%
A12	上環	Sheung Wan	14 470	-15.10%
A13	東華	Tung Wah	14 729	-13.58%
A14	正街	Centre Street	14 887	-12.65%
A15	水街	Water Street	17 209	+0.97%

總數 Total: 267 563

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

				標準人口基數偏差百份比
	,	Wan Chai	估計人口	+/- % of
建議	選區 Recom	mended Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
B01	軒尼詩	Hennessy	15 389	-9.70%
B02	愛群	Oi Kwan	14 088	-17.34%
B03	鵝頸	Canal Road	16 059	-5.77%
B04	銅鑼灣	Causeway Bay	15 901	-6.70%
B05	大坑	Tai Hang	14 947	-12.30%
B06	渣甸山	Jardine's Lookout	14 456	-15.18%
B07	樂活	Broadwood	14 094	-17.30%
B08	跑馬地	Happy Valley	15 879	-6.83%
B09	司徒拔道	Stubbs Road	14 344	-15.84%
B10	修頓	Southorn	19 898	+16.75%
B11	大佛口	Tai Fat Hau	17 083	+0.23%

總數 Total: 172 138

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

		-		
		東區	估計人口	標準人口基數偏差百份比
7-1-1-2-2	Eastern 建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas			+/- % of
			Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
C01	太古城西	Tai Koo Shing West	19 094	+12.03%
C02	太古城東	Tai Koo Shing East	20 779	+21.92%
C03	鯉景灣	Lei King Wan	17 574	+3.12%
C04	筲箕灣	Shau Kei Wan	16 305	-4.33%
C05	阿公岩	A Kung Ngam	16 856	-1.10%
C06	杏花邨	Heng Fa Chuen	20 280	+18.99%
C07	翠灣	Tsui Wan	14 412	-15.44%
C08	欣怡	Yan Yee	14 679	-13.87%
C09	小西灣	Siu Sai Wan	15 604	-8.44%
C10	富景	Fullview	16 452	-3.47%
C11	環翠	Wan Tsui	17 108	+0.38%
C12	翡翠	Fei Tsui	14 988	-12.06%
C13	柏架山	Mount Parker	18 465	+8.34%
C14	寶馬山	Braemar Hill	16 681	-2.12%
C15	天后	Tin Hau	16 689	-2.08%
C16	炮台山	Fortress Hill	15 680	-8.00%
C17	維園	Victoria Park	18 655	+9.46%
C18	城市花園	City Garden	17 089	+0.27%
C19	和富	Provident	15 136	-11.19%
C20	堡壘	Fort Street	18 854	+10.63%
C21	北角邨	North Point Estate	16 174	-5.10%
C22	錦屏	Kam Ping	20 822	+22.17%
C23	丹拿	Tanner	18 078	+6.07%
C24	健康村	Healthy Village	17 845	+4.71%
C25	鰂魚涌	Quarry Bay	17 837	+4.66%
C26	南豐	Nam Fung	16 257	-4.61%
C27	康怡	Kornhill	13 347	-21.69%
C28	康山	Kornhill Garden	16 261	-4.59%
C29	興東	Hing Tung	19 723	+15.72%
C30	西灣河	Sai Wan Ho	19 237	+12.87%
C31	下耀東	Lower Yiu Tung	19 589	+14.94%
C32	上耀東	Upper Yiu Tung	14 789	-13.23%
C33	興民	Hing Man	15 670	-8.06%
C34	樂康	Lok Hong	15 771	-7.46%
C35	翠德	Tsui Tak	14 589	-14.40%
C36	漁灣	Yue Wan	18 594	+9.10%
C37	曉翠	Hiu Tsui	13 500	-20.79%
		總數 Total		

總數 Total: 629 463

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

南區				標準人口基準偏差百份比
Southern			估計人口	+/- % of
建議	選區 Recomme	nded Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
D01	香港仔	Aberdeen	13 537	-20.57%
D02	鴨脷洲邨	Ap Lei Chau Estate	17 320	+1.63%
D03	鴨脷洲北	Ap Lei Chau North	18 448	+8.24%
D04	利東一	Lei Tung I	14 893	-12.62%
D05	利東二	Lei Tung II	14 031	-17.67%
D06	海怡東	South Horizons East	13 488	-20.86%
D07	海怡西	South Horizons West	13 942	-18.20%
D08	華貴	Wah Kwai	19 764	+15.97%
D09	華富一	Wah Fu I	15 504	-9.03%
D10	華富二	Wah Fu II	17 844	+4.70%
D11	薄扶林	Pokfulam	17 833	+4.64%
D12	置富	Chi Fu	14 992	-12.03%
D13	田灣	Tin Wan	19 445	+14.09%
D14	香漁	Heung Yue	16 188	-5.02%
D15	海灣	Bays Area	18 945	+11.16%
D16	黃竹坑	Wong Chuk Hang	21 349	+25.27%
D17	赤柱及石澳	Stanley & Shek O	20 337	+19.33%

總數 Total: 287 860

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

		油尖旺		標準人口基數偏差百份比
	Yau Tsim Mong			+/- % of
建議	選區 Recomn	nended Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
E01	尖沙咀西	Tsim Sha Tsui West	17 716	+3.95%
E02	渡船角	Ferry Point	15 601	-8.46%
E03	佐敦	Jordan	15 960	-6.35%
E04	油麻地	Yau Ma Tei	21 063	+23.59%
E05	富榮	Charming	14 521	-14.80%
E06	旺角西	Mong Kok West	15 351	-9.93%
E07	旺角中	Mong Kok Central	16 235	-4.74%
E08	櫻桃	Cherry	16 448	-3.49%
E09	大角咀	Tai Kok Tsui	19 888	+16.69%
E10	詩歌舞	Sycamore	17 213	+1.00%
E11	大南	Tai Nan	17 665	+3.65%
E12	旺角北	Mong Kok North	17 190	+0.86%
E13	旺角東	Mong Kok East	18 656	+9.46%
E14	旺角南	Mong Kok South	20 728	+21.62%
E15	京士柏	King's Park	20 269	+18.93%
E16	尖沙咀東	Tsim Sha Tsui East	20 012	+17.42%

總數 Total: 284 516

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

	:গ			標準人口基數偏差百份比
		估計人口	操华八□荃数牖左日切记 +/- % of	
	Sham Shui Po 建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas			
		•	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	( 17 043 )
F01	寶麗	Po Lai	15 369	-9.82%
F02	長沙灣	Cheung Sha Wan	18 559	+8.90%
F03	南昌北	Nam Cheong North	21 045	+23.48%
F04	南昌東	Nam Cheong East	20 908	+22.68%
F05	南昌南	Nam Cheong South	16 248	-4.66%
F06	南昌中	Nam Cheong Central	14 935	-12.37%
F07	下南昌	Lower Nam Cheong	14 690	-13.81%
F08	南昌西	Nam Cheong West	16 214	-4.86%
F09	麗閣	Lai Kok	20 805	+22.07%
F10	元州	Un Chau	19 759	+15.94%
F11	荔枝角	Lai Chi Kok	17 776	+4.30%
F12	美孚	Mei Foo	15 053	-11.68%
F13	荔灣	Lai Wan	16 303	-4.34%
F14	清荔	Ching Lai	17 617	+3.37%
F15	澤安	Chak On	17 595	+3.24%
F16	蘇屋	So Uk	16 316	-4.27%
F17	李鄭屋	Lei Cheng Uk	18 539	+8.78%
F18	白田	Pak Tin	18 647	+9.41%
F19	大坑東及又一村	Tai Hang Tung & Yau Yat Tsuen	15 543	-8.80%
F20	南山	Nam Shan	16 824	-1.28%
F21	石峽尾	Shek Kip Mei	20 377	+19.56%

總數 Total: 369 122

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

	-	 九龍城		標準人口基數偏差百份比
Kowloon City			估計人口	+/- % of
建議	選區 Recomm	nended Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
G01	馬頭圍	Ma Tau Wai	16 902	-0.83%
G02	馬坑涌	Ma Hang Chung	19 080	+11.95%
G03	馬頭角	Ma Tau Kok	16 884	-0.93%
G04	樂民	Lok Man	16 673	-2.17%
G05	常樂	Sheung Lok	20 343	+19.36%
G06	何文田	Ho Man Tin	20 457	+20.03%
G07	嘉道理	Kadoorie	19 142	+12.32%
G08	太子	Prince	17 957	+5.36%
G09	九龍塘	Kowloon Tong	20 411	+19.76%
G10	龍城	Lung Shing	19 488	+14.35%
G11	啟德	Kai Tak	19 035	+11.69%
G12	海心	Hoi Sham	16 980	-0.37%
G13	土瓜灣北	To Kwa Wan North	14 334	-15.90%
G14	土瓜灣南	To Kwa Wan South	15 606	-8.43%
G15	鶴園	Hok Yuen	15 190	-10.87%
G16	黃埔東	Whampoa East	17 517	+2.78%
G17	黄埔西	Whampoa West	14 628	-14.17%
G18	紅磡灣	Hung Hom Bay	16 937	-0.62%
G19	紅磡	Hung Hom	18 283	+7.28%
G20	家維	Ka Wai	16 843	-1.17%
G21	愛谷	Oi Kuk	16 640	-2.36%
G22	愛俊	Oi Chun	17 278	+1.38%

總數 Total: 386 608

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

			1	ı
		黄大仙	估計人口	標準人口基數偏差百份比
	Wong Tai Sin			+/- % of
建	議選區 Recom	mended Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	( 17 043 )
H01	龍趣	Lung Tsui	18 930	+11.07%
H02	龍啟	Lung Kai	16 442	-3.53%
H03	龍上	Lung Sheung	19 036	+11.69%
H04	鳳凰	Fung Wong	13 221	-22.43%
H05	鳳德	Fung Tak	22 711	+33.26%
H06	鑽石山	Diamond Hill	20 446	+19.97%
H07	新蒲崗	San Po Kong	20 689	+21.39%
H08	東頭	Tung Tau	17 640	+3.50%
H09	東美	Tung Mei	17 466	+2.48%
H10	樂富	Lok Fu	17 547	+2.96%
H11	横頭磡	Wang Tau Hom	18 092	+6.16%
H12	天强	Tin Keung	17 661	+3.63%
H13	翠竹及鵬程	Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching	17 511	+2.75%
H14	竹園南	Chuk Yuen South	17 431	+2.28%
H15	竹園中	Chuk Yuen Central	13 451	-21.08%
H16	竹園北	Chuk Yuen North	16 804	-1.40%
H17	慈雲西	Tsz Wan West	18 743	+9.97%
H18	慈雲東	Tsz Wan East	15 822	-7.16%
H19	慈雲北	Tsz Wan North	19 433	+14.02%
H20	瓊富	King Fu	14 683	-13.85%
H21	彩雲東	Choi Wan East	13 875	-18.59%
H22	彩雲南	Choi Wan South	16 694	-2.05%
H23	彩雲西	Choi Wan West	13 675	-19.76%
H24	池彩	Chi Choi	15 548	-8.77%
H25	彩虹	Choi Hung	14 516	-14.83%

總數 Total: 428 067

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

		##□ [1 <del>2]:</del>	1	無海「ロ甘敷店学宝がリ
	觀塘 Kwa Tana			標準人口基數偏差百份比
Kwun Tong  建業課題 Recommended Constituency Areas			估計人口	+/- % of
	建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas		Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	( 17 043 )
J01	觀塘中心	Kwun Tong Central	15 770	-7.47%
J02	九龍灣	Kowloon Bay	15 102	-11.39%
J03	啟業	Kai Yip	17 341	+1.75%
J04	麗晶	Lai Ching	20 548	+20.57%
	坪石	Ping Shek	16 160	-5.18%
J06	佐敦谷	Jordan Valley	19 025	+11.63%
	順天西	Shun Tin West	13 265	-22.17%
J08	雙順	Sheung Shun	15 141	-11.16%
J09	利安	Lee On	16 042	-5.87%
J10	順天東	Shun Tin East	15 013	-11.91%
J11	秀茂坪北	Sau Mau Ping North	16 603	-2.58%
J12	曉麗	Hiu Lai	20 752	+21.76%
J13	秀茂坪南	Sau Mau Ping South	16 679	-2.14%
J14	興田	Hing Tin	16 119	-5.42%
J15	德田	Tak Tin	16 105	-5.50%
J16	藍田	Lam Tin	13 588	-20.27%
J17	廣德	Kwong Tak	17 321	+1.63%
J18	平田	Ping Tin	15 432	-9.45%
J19	康栢	Hong Pak	15 795	-7.32%
J20	油塘四山	Yau Tong Sze Shan	15 936	-6.50%
J21	麗港	Lai Kong	21 024	+23.36%
J22	景田	King Tin	16 654	-2.28%
J23	翠屏南	Tsui Ping South	17 227	+1.08%
J24	翠屏北	Tsui Ping North	14 736	-13.54%
J25	寶樂	Po Lok	18 496	+8.53%
J26	月華	Yuet Wah	15 662	-8.10%
J27	協康	Hip Hong	21 026	+23.37%
J28	康樂	Hong Lok	18 364	+7.75%
J29	定安	Ting On	20 166	+18.32%
J30	上牛頭角	Upper Ngau Tau Kok	19 725	+15.74%
J31	下牛頭角	Lower Ngau Tau Kok	20 412	+19.77%
J32	淘大	To Tai	19 394	+13.79%
J33	樂華北	Lok Wah North	19 209	+12.71%
J34	樂華南	Lok Wah South	15 698	-7.89%
U 3 3 T	\\\ \+\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	とのR W dill Boddill		7.0570

總數 Total: 585 530

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

				無海 [ 口其數/6 关
		荃灣		標準人口基數偏差百份比
Tsuen Wan			<b></b> 估計人口	+/- % of
建調	養選區 Recomme	ended Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
K01	德華	Tak Wah	19 581	+14.89%
K02	楊屋道	Yeung Uk Road	19 067	+11.88%
K03	海濱	Hoi Bun	18 720	+9.84%
K04	祈德尊	Clague Garden	19 014	+11.56%
K05	福來	Fuk Loi	16 250	-4.65%
K06	愉景	Discovery Park	19 920	+16.88%
K07	荃灣中心	Tsuen Wan Centre	14 203	-16.66%
K08	荃威	Allway	18 984	+11.39%
K09	麗濤	Lai To	17 249	+1.21%
K10	麗興	Lai Hing	13 636	-19.99%
K11	荃灣郊區西	Tsuen Wan Rural West	14 103	-17.25%
K12	荃灣郊區東	Tsuen Wan Rural East	14 178	-16.81%
K13	綠楊	Luk Yeung	18 564	+8.92%
K14	梨木樹東	Lei Muk Shue East	15 560	-8.70%
K15	梨木樹西	Lei Muk Shue West	13 099	-23.14%
K16	石圍角	Shek Wai Kok	14 541	-14.68%
K17	象山	Cheung Shan	18 136	+6.41%

總數 Total: 284 805

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

		 屯門		
吧」 Tuen Mun			估計人口	標準人口基數偏差百份比 +/- % of
建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas			ー 「白声」 入口 Estimated	
代號 Code	競選區 <b>Reco</b> lling	•		Population Quota
,	+	名稱 Name	Population	(17 043 )
L01	屯門市中心	Tuen Mun Town Centre	17 914	+5.11%
L02	兆置	Siu Chi	14 586	-14.42%
L03	<b>兆麟</b>	Siu Lun	14 821	-13.04%
L04	安定	On Ting	16 080	-5.65%
L05	友愛南	Yau Oi South	17 541	+2.92%
L06	友愛北	Yau Oi North	16 909	-0.79%
L07	翠興	Tsui Hing	13 720	-19.50%
L08	山景南	Shan King South	16 404	-3.75%
L09	山景北	Shan King North	14 011	-17.79%
L10	大興南	Tai Hing South	13 510	-20.73%
L11	興澤	Hing Tsak	21 133	+24.00%
L12	新墟	San Hui	14 997	-12.00%
L13	景峰	Prime View	17 538	+2.90%
L14	恒順	Handsome	14 418	-15.40%
L15	三聖	Sam Shing	20 611	+20.94%
L16	翠福	Tsui Fook	20 107	+17.98%
L17	兆新	Siu Sun	16 525	-3.04%
L18	悅湖	Yuet Wu	14 075	-17.41%
L19	兆禧	Siu Hei	16 221	-4.82%
L20	湖景	Wu King	18 357	+7.71%
L21	蝴蝶	Butterfly	15 381	-9.75%
L22	樂翠	Lok Tsui	14 240	-16.45%
L23	龍門	Lung Mun	13 635	-20.00%
L24	新景	San King	21 107	+23.85%
L25	屯門鄉郊	Tuen Mun Rural	18 628	+9.30%
L26	良景	Leung King	18 610	+9.19%
L27	田景	Tin King	21 357	+25.31%
L28	建生	Kin Sang	18 707	+9.76%
L29	兆康	Siu Hong	18 446	+8.23%

總數 Total: 489 589

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

		元朗		標準人口基數偏差百份比
Yuen Long			— 估計人□ Estimated	+/- % of
建語	建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas			Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
M01	豐年	Fung Nin	20 055	+17.67%
M02	水邊	Shui Pin	20 618	+20.98%
M03	南屏	Nam Ping	17 118	+0.44%
M04	北朗	Pek Long	16 888	-0.91%
M05	大橋	Tai Kiu	20 537	+20.50%
M06	鳳翔	Fung Cheung	20 442	+19.94%
M07	十八郷北	Shap Pat Heung North	19 981	+17.24%
M08	十八郷南	Shap Pat Heung South	20 053	+17.66%
M09	屏山南	Ping Shan South	14 270	-16.27%
M10	屏山北	Ping Shan North	16 490	-3.24%
M11	廈村	Ha Tsuen	11 209	-34.23%
M12	耀祐	Yiu Yau	19 757	+15.92%
M13	天耀	Tin Yiu	20 046	+17.62%
M14	瑞愛	Shui Oi	16 791	-1.48%
M15	天瑞	Tin Shui	18 047	+5.89%
M16	嘉湖南	Kingswood South	16 182	-5.05%
M17	嘉湖北	Kingswood North	18 582	+9.03%
M18	天慈	Tin Tsz	12 828	-24.73%
M19	錦綉花園	Fairview Park	16 353	-4.05%
M20	新田	San Tin	17 221	+1.04%
M21	錦田	Kam Tin	9 175	-46.17%
M22	八鄉北	Pat Heung North	13 565	-20.41%
M23	八鄉南	Pat Heung South	15 156	-11.07%

總數 Total: 391 364

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

		北區		標準人口基數偏差百份比
		North	估計人口	+/- % of
建調	養選區 Recon	nmended Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
N01	聯和墟	Luen Wo Hui	18 961	+11.25%
N02	粉嶺市	Fanling Town	18 877	+10.76%
N03	祥華	Cheung Wah	19 771	+16.01%
N04	華心	Wah Sum	16 751	-1.71%
N05	華明	Wah Ming	21 131	+23.99%
N06	欣都	Yan Do	14 384	-15.60%
N07	嘉福	Ka Fuk	19 138	+12.29%
N08	上水鄉郊	Sheung Shui Rural	15 960	-6.35%
N09	彩旭太	Choi Yuk Tai	19 980	+17.23%
N10	彩園	Choi Yuen	15 641	-8.23%
N11	石湖墟	Shek Wu Hui	14 795	-13.19%
N12	天平西	Tin Ping West	14 030	-17.68%
N13	天平東	Tin Ping East	14 070	-17.44%
N14	鳳翠	Fung Tsui	14 745	-13.48%
N15	沙打	Sha Ta	15 125	-11.25%
N16	皇后山	Queen's Hill	15 633	-8.27%

總數 Total: 268 992

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

	<del></del>			標準人口基數偏差百份比
Tai Po			估計人口	+/- % of
建議	建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas			Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	( 17 043 )
P01	大埔墟	Tai Po Hui	19 148	+12.35%
P02	大埔中	Tai Po Central	20 373	+19.54%
P03	頌汀	Chung Ting	18 666	+9.52%
P04	大元	Tai Yuen	19 434	+14.03%
P05	富亨	Fu Heng	20 643	+21.12%
P06	怡富	Yee Fu	21 088	+23.73%
P07	富明	Fu Ming	18 254	+7.11%
P08	廣福	Kwong Fuk	15 096	-11.42%
P09	宏福	Wang Fuk	15 582	-8.57%
P10	大埔滘	Tai Po Kau	13 416	-21.28%
P11	運頭塘	Wan Tau Tong	21 162	+24.17%
P12	新富	San Fu	13 182	-22.65%
P13	林村谷	Lam Tsuen Valley	15 441	-9.40%
P14	寶雅	Po Nga	18 730	+9.90%
P15	太和	Tai Wo	19 815	+16.26%
P16	舊墟及太湖	Old Market & Serenity	15 950	-6.41%
P17	康樂園	Hong Lok Yuen	13 756	-19.29%
P18	船灣	Shuen Wan	12 883	-24.41%
P19	西貢北	Sai Kung North	6 659	-60.93%

總數 Total: 319 278

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

	_			悪冷   ロササルビンデルロ
		西貢	估計人口	標準人口基數偏差百份比
	Sai Kung			+/- % of
建議選	基區 Recomme	nded Constituency Areas	Estimated	Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	( 17 043 )
Q01	西貢中心	Sai Kung Central	15 655	-8.14%
Q02	白沙灣	Pak Sha Wan	15 706	-7.84%
Q03	西貢離島	Sai Kung Islands	11 437	-32.89%
Q04	坑口東	Hang Hau East	18 183	+6.69%
Q05	尚德	Sheung Tak	14 469	-15.10%
Q06	坑口西	Hang Hau West	12 868	-24.50%
Q07	翠林	Tsui Lam	20 517	+20.38%
Q08	康景	Hong King	18 174	+6.64%
Q09	寶林	Po Lam	21 231	+24.57%
Q10	欣英	Yan Ying	13 914	-18.36%
Q11	運亨	Wan Hang	19 507	+14.46%
Q12	景林	King Lam	20 720	+21.57%
Q13	厚德	Hau Tak	20 494	+20.25%
Q14	富裕	Fu Yu	14 288	-16.16%
Q15	東明	Tung Ming	18 725	+9.87%
Q16	安康	On Hong	20 890	+22.57%
Q17	廣明	Kwong Ming	18 433	+8.16%

總數 Total: 295 211

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

		沙田		標準人口基數偏差百份比
		Sha Tin	估計人口	保华八口
7,	建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas			Population Quota
	代號 Code 名稱 Name			( 17 043 )
R01	沙田市中心	Sha Tin Town Centre	Population 19 006	+11.52%
R02	瀝源	Lek Yuen	17 705	+3.88%
R03	禾 <u>崙</u> 邨	Wo Che Estate	23 004	+34.98%
R04	第一城	City One	15 751	-7.58%
R05	愉城	Yue Shing	18 961	+11.25%
R06	王屋	Wong Uk	20 982	+23.11%
R07	沙角	Sha Kok	19 494	+14.38%
R08	博康	Pok Hong	21 785	+27.82%
R09	乙明	Jat Min	14 803	-13.14%
R10	泰金	Chun Kam	13 037	-23.51%
R11	新翠	Sun Chui	15 157	-11.07%
R12	大圍	Tai Wai	17 370	+1.92%
R13	美城	May Shing	13 450	-21.08%
R14	下城門	Lower Shing Mun	12 893	-24.35%
R15	穗禾	Sui Wo	15 795	-7.32%
R16	火炭	Fo Tan	15 443	-9.39%
R17	駿馬	Chun Ma	16 769	-1.61%
R18	恒濤	Heng To	21 389	+25.50%
R19	錦豐	Kam Fung	18 394	+7.93%
R20	新港城	Sunshine City	21 102	+23.82%
R21	利安	Lee On	19 249	+12.94%
R22	富寶	Saddle Ridge	15 419	-9.53%
R23	錦英	Kam Ying	16 088	-5.60%
R24	耀安	Yiu On	19 102	+12.08%
R25	恒安	Heng On	17 275	+1.36%
R26	大水坑	Tai Shui Hang	15 349	-9.94%
R27	碧湖	Bik Woo	12 845	-24.63%
R28	廣康	Kwong Hong	13 790	-19.09%
R29	廣源	Kwong Yuen	17 745	+4.12%
R30	曾大屋	Tsang Tai Uk	14 464	-15.13%
R31	新田圍	Sun Tin Wai	14 491	-14.97%
R32	徑口	Keng Hau	19 615	+15.09%
R33	顯嘉	Hin Ka	16 764	-1.64%
R34	美田	Mei Tin	20 305	+19.14%
R35	田心	Tin Sum	19 134	+12.27%
R36	翠田	Chui Tin	17 797	+4.42%

總數 Total: 621 722

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

				標準人口基數偏差百份比
	Kwai Tsing			+/- % of
建	建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas			Population Quota
代號 Code		名稱 Name	Population	(17 043)
S01	葵興	Kwai Hing	15 581	-8.58%
S02	葵盛東邨	Kwai Shing East Estate	17 729	+4.03%
S03	上大窩口	Upper Tai Wo Hau	14 696	-13.77%
S04	下大窩口	Lower Tai Wo Hau	20 062	+17.71%
S05	葵涌邨	Kwai Chung Estate	16 317	-4.26%
S06	石蔭	Shek Yam	20 797	+22.03%
S07	安蔭	On Yam	19 820	+16.29%
S08	新石籬	Shek Lei Extension	19 294	+13.21%
S09	石籬	Shek Lei	18 013	+5.69%
S10	大白田	Tai Pak Tin	14 463	-15.14%
S11	葵芳	Kwai Fong	18 215	+6.88%
S12	華峰	Wah Fung	13 528	-20.62%
S13	祖堯	Cho Yiu	17 231	+1.10%
S14	麗瑤	Lai Yiu	13 447	-21.10%
S15	興芳	Hing Fong	14 966	-12.19%
S16	荔景	Lai King	17 706	+3.89%
S17	葵盛西邨	Kwai Shing West Estate	19 754	+15.91%
S18	雅安	Nga On	18 437	+8.18%
S19	偉海	Wai Hoi	14 272	-16.26%
S20	青衣邨	Tsing Yi Estate	18 651	+9.43%
S21	翠怡	Greenfield	15 650	-8.17%
S22	長青	Cheung Ching	18 978	+11.35%
S23	長康	Cheung Hong	16 273	-4.52%
S24	盛康	Shing Hong	17 083	+0.23%
S25	青衣南	Tsing Yi South	16 075	-5.68%
S26	長亨	Cheung Hang	18 254	+7.11%
S27	發泰	Fat Tai	17 347	+1.78%
S28	長安	Cheung On	17 280	+1.39%

總數 Total: 479 919

建議概要 Summary of Recommendations

	離島			標準人口基數偏差百份比
	Islands			+/- % of
建語	建議選區 Recommended Constituency Areas			Population Quota
代號 Code	弋號 Code 名稱 Name			(17 043)
T01	大嶼山	Lantau	14 856	-12.83%
T02	東涌新市鎮	Tung Chung New Town	16 723	-1.88%
T03	愉景灣	Discovery Bay	15 791	-7.35%
T04	坪洲及喜靈洲	Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau	9 537	-44.04%
T05	南丫及蒲台	Lamma & Po Toi	5 220	-69.37%
T06	長洲南	Cheung Chau South	10 181	-40.26%
T07	長洲北	Cheung Chau North	12 601	-26.06%

總數 Total: 84 909