

**Eastern District  
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	C04 – Shaukei- wan  C30 – Sai Wan Ho	3	<p>All three representations object to including Les Saisons in C04 and propose to include it in C30 because:</p> <p>(a) Les Saisons is more associated with C30 than with C04 in geographical and community identity terms; and shares common community facilities with C30;</p> <p>(b) including Les Saisons in C30 would convenience electors in casting their votes at the polling station; and</p> <p>(c) residents and Owners' Committee of Les Saisons have expressed their concern that C04's DC member may neglect their interests because Les Saisons is situated far away from the rest of C04. Therefore they would not like to be included in C04.</p>	<p>The representations are <b>accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) reason (a) is considered valid; and</p> <p>(ii) the resultant population will still be within the permissible deviation limits as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">C04 : 13,048 (-24.11%) C30 : 18,307 (+6.47%)</p>
2	C09 – Yan Lam  C10 – Siu Sai Wan  C11 – King Yee	6	<p>All six representations support the demarcation proposals for C09, C10, C11 and C37.</p> <p>One of the representations also suggests that the same venue be designated as the polling station for C10 in</p>	<p>The supporting views are noted.</p> <p>The EAC will try to accommodate the request.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	C37 – Kai Hiu		future DCs elections.	
3	C10 – Siu Sai Wan	3	These representations support the demarcation proposals for this DCCA.	The supporting views are noted.
4	C11 – King Yee	1	This representation supports the demarcation proposals for this DCCA.	The supporting view is noted.
5	C13 – Fei Tsui C33 – Hing Man C34 – Lok Hong	2	<p>(a) Both representations object to including Shan Tsui Court in C34 because:</p> <p>(i) geographically it is more related to the adjacent Hing Man Estate in C33 as it is separated from C34 by Chai Wan Road – a trunk road with heavy traffic;</p> <p>(ii) EAC's proposal would discourage the electors (most of them being the elderly) from Shan Tsui Court to cast their votes at the polling station in C34 as they would have to travel a very long distance from Shan Tsui Court to the polling station; and</p> <p>(iii) residents of Shan Tsui Court have long been accustomed to the existing boundary since 1996.</p>	<p>The objection under (a) is <b>accepted</b>. Shan Tsui Court is to be retained in C33, with the following taken into consideration:</p> <p>(i) while the location of polling station should not be a consideration in delineating DCCAs, local geography and community ties are considered valid reasons;</p> <p>(ii) if the representation is to be accepted, the resultant population of C33 and C34 will exceed the permissible deviation limits as follows: C33 : 21,541 (+25.28%) C34 : 11,301 (-34.27%); and</p> <p>(iii) there is no other viable option to accede to the wish of the residents in C33 because all other options would unnecessarily lead to drastic changes to the boundaries of the existing adjacent DCCAs.</p> <p>The suggestion under (b) is not accepted because:</p> <p>(a) it would unnecessarily affect the existing boundaries of C13, which should not be changed because the population in C13 is within the permissible limits; and</p> <p>(b) it would split C33 into two separate parts as the two blocks are located in the middle of C33.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>(According to the REO's records, Shan Tsui Court had been in C34 since 1994 and moved to C33 in 1999.)</p> <p>(b) One of the representations further suggests moving two blocks – Lok Hing House and Yu Hing House of Hing Wah (II) Estate in C33 to C13 if Shan Tsui Court is kept intact in C33, where the population would exceed the upper permissible deviation limit.</p>	
6	C14 – Mount Parker	1	This representation supports the demarcation proposals of this DCCA.	The supporting view is noted.
7	C20 – Provident C23 – Tanner C24 – Healthy Village	1	The representation objects to allocating Ka Wai Building (146-166 Java Road) to C20 and proposes to move it to either C23 or C24 in order to preserve community integrity as residents of Ka Wai Building are used to using the facilities in C23 and C24.	<p>The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) to preserve community integrity is not considered a convincing reason, as North Point is a built-up area with a good transportation network. The community identity is seen to be homogeneous; and</p> <p>(ii) the representation would unnecessarily affect the existing boundaries of C23 and inevitably C22 Kam Ping (as C22 is adjacent to C20), which should not be changed because the population in C22 and C23 is within the permissible deviation limits.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
8	C22 – Kam Ping C23 – Tanner C24 – Healthy Village	1	<p>The representation proposes to allocate the area bounded by Tin Chiu Street, King's Road, Kam Hong Street and Island Eastern Corridor to either C22 or C23, so that the boundary of C24 can remain unchanged because:</p> <p>(a) the area concerned is geographically separated from C24 by C22 and C23; hence it would affect the community integrity of C24;</p> <p>(b) the residents of the area concerned would be reluctant to travel a long distance to cast their votes at a polling station in C24;</p> <p>(c) the residents would be confused by the election advertisements of the DC members of C22, C23 and C24 that would all be displayed along Kam Hong Street/Tin Chiu Street and King's Road;</p> <p>(d) it would be difficult for C24's DC member to look after the interests of the residents in the area concerned, since the DC member's office would be far away from them; and</p> <p>(e) the estimated population of the area concerned should be around 1,000 only. If it is allocated to</p>	<p>The representation is <b>not accepted</b>, though it is true that the area in question is geographically more related to C22 or C23 than to C24, because:</p> <p>(i) reasons (b) and (c) are considered not valid; and</p> <p>(ii) the representation would unnecessarily affect the existing boundaries of C22 or C23, which should not be changed because the population in C22 and C23 is within the permissible deviation limits.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			C22 or C23, the resultant population of either one of the DCCAs would still stay within the permissible deviation limits.	
9	C32 – Upper Yiu Tung	1	This representation supports the demarcation proposals of this DCCA.	The supporting view is noted.
10	C37 – Kai Hiu	1	This representation supports the demarcation proposals of this DCCA.	The supporting view is noted.

**Eastern District**  
**Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 23 January 2003**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
11	C04 – Shaukei- wan  C30 – Sai Wan Ho	4	Same as item 1.	See item 1.
12	C22 – Kam Ping  C23 – Tanner  C24 – Healthy Village	1	Same as item 8.	See item 8.
13	C33 – Hing Man  C34 – Lok Hong	3	(a) One of the representations is the same as item 5.  (b) One of the representations opines that the community identity and local ties should be the major consideration for this demarcation exercise and suggests that the existing boundary of C33 should be kept unchanged though its resultant population would slightly exceed the population quota. This is backed up by the result of an opinion survey, which shows that majority of the residents of Shan Tsui Court wish to keep the estate intact	For (a), (b) and (c)(ii), see item 5.  For (c)(i), the population figures provided by the AHSG should be relied on insofar as this demarcation exercise is concerned.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>in C33.</p> <p>(c) One of the representations opines that-</p> <p>(i) the population of C34 is under-estimated because the occupancy rate of the estates in C34 is high; and</p> <p>(ii) Shan Tsui Court is geographically separated from C34 because it is located on a steep slope.</p>	
14	C37 – Kai Hiu	1	<p>This representation objects to the demarcation proposals for C37 because:</p> <p>(a) Kai Tsui Court is geographically separated from the rest of the buildings in C37; and</p> <p>(b) it would be difficult for the existing C37's DC member to look after the interests of the residents of Kai Tsui Court as her office is far away from Kai Tsui Court.</p>	<p>The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) it would render the population of C37 falling beyond the population quota (-26.77%);</p> <p>(ii) reason (b) is not considered valid; and</p> <p>(iii) there are seven representations supporting the EAC's demarcation proposals for C37 (see items 2 and 10).</p>