

**Kwun Tong District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	J01 – Kwun Tong Central	1	<p>The representation proposes to:</p> <p>(a) transfer the residential buildings in the north of Kwun Tong Road from J01 to J27, J28, J29 or J30 as J01 mainly consists of industrial buildings, and the concerns of residential and industrial buildings are different; and</p> <p>(b) merge J01 with J02 or J22, if the resultant population of J01 is not within the permissible limits.</p>	<p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p><u>for proposal (a)</u></p> <p>(i) the residential buildings concerned have all along been in J01; and</p> <p>(ii) if the residential buildings concerned are excluded from J01, the population of J01 would be 296 (-98.28%), and it would have to merge with the residential buildings nearby to form a new DCCA.</p> <p><u>for proposal (b)</u></p> <p>(i) since J02 and J22 also consist of residential buildings, the same situation of having both industrial and residential buildings in one DCCA would also occur; and</p> <p>(ii) the proposal would result in changes in J01, J02, J27, J29 and J30, which do not require any changes at all in the original proposal.</p>
2	J07 – Shun Tin J08 – Sheung Shun J09 – Lee On Tin	7	<p>(a) All of these representations suggest transferring Tin Hang House and Tin Yiu House of Shun Tin Estate from J09 back to J07 (ie to group the all blocks of Shun Tin Estate in one DCCA);</p> <p>(b) one representation further proposes to move Lee Ming House and Lee Yip</p>	<p>The representations are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the resultant population of one DCCA in any one of the proposals would exceed the permissible limits:</p> <p><u>Proposal (a)</u> J07: 24,694 (+43.62%)</p> <p><u>Proposal (b)</u> J07: 24,694 (+43.62%)</p> <p><u>Proposal (c)</u> J10: 22,515 (+30.95%)</p> <p><u>Proposal (d)</u> J10: 22,515 (+30.95%)</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>House of Shun Lee Estate from J08 to J09;</p> <p>(c) one representation further proposes to move Tin Wan House and Tin Chu House of Shun Tin Estate from J07 to J10 instead; and</p> <p>(d) one representation suggests the same thing as proposals (a) to (c), and to rename J09 and J10.</p> <p>Reasons given are:</p> <p>(i) division of Shun Tin Estate into different DCCAs would adversely affect its community integrity as the component blocks of Shun Tin Estate share common concerns and facilities;</p> <p>(ii) by maintaining different blocks of Shun Tin Estate almost equally in two DCCAs, there would be an equal share of the DC members' services enjoyed by residents; otherwise, Tin Hang House and Tin Yiu House would become the minority in J09;</p> <p>(iii) it would be difficult for the DC member concerned to serve</p>	<p>(ii) J08, which is unaltered, would be affected under proposals (b) and (d);</p> <p>(iii) Shun Tin Estate is also separated into two DCCAs under the status quo;</p> <p>(iv) political implications will not be considered;</p> <p>(v) Shun Tin Estate, Shun On Estate and Shun Lee Estate in J09 are of the same type of housing;</p> <p>(vi) population figures quoted come from the Housing Department as at June 2002; it is essential to adopt the forecast figures provided by the Ad Hoc Subgroup; and</p> <p>(vii) there is a representation supporting the proposals for J07 (see item 12).</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>three estates as there would be conflicting interests among them; and</p> <p>(iv) the population of Shun Tin Estate has been overestimated.</p>	
3	<p>J11 – Sau Mau Ping North</p> <p>J13 – Sau Mau Ping South</p>	3	<p>(a) One representation proposes to move Sau Ming House of Sau Mau Ping Estate from J13 to J11 so as to preserve community ties;</p> <p>(b) two representations propose the same as (a) above, but further suggest moving Sau Nga House and Sau Yee House from J11 to J13, as it would result in a better population distribution; and</p> <p>(c) one representation proposes to amend the names of DCCAs and boundary descriptions as follows:</p> <p>(i) J11 and J13 to be renamed as Upper Sau Mau Ping and Lower Sau Mau Ping respectively;</p> <p>(ii) Sau Mau Ping (III) Estate to be deleted and Sau Hong House and Sau Lok House to be added in the boundary descriptions of J11; and</p>	<p><u>For proposal (a)</u> The representation is not accepted as Sau Ming House has not been in J11 since 1999, and under the proposal the resultant population of J11 would be 23,420, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+36.21%).</p> <p><u>For proposal (b)</u> The representations are not accepted as Sau Nga House and Sau Yee House are geographically separated from the rest of J13.</p> <p><u>For proposal (c)</u></p> <p>(i) Proposal (c)(i) is not accepted as the delineation of J11 and J13 is in fact similar to that for the 1999 DCs Election, and electors have got used to such names;</p> <p>(ii) proposal (c)(ii) is accepted because most blocks of Sau Mau Ping (III) Estate have been demolished and it would be clearer to specify the two blocks which still exist; and</p> <p>(iii) proposal (c)(iii) is accepted.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			(iii) blocks 19-20 of Sau Mau Ping (I) Estate to be deleted and Sau Mau Ping Estate Ancillary Facilities Block to be added in the boundary descriptions of J13.	
4	J16 – Lam Tin	1	The representation proposes to delete “Lam Tin Estate” from the boundary descriptions of J16 because the estate has already been demolished.	The representation is accepted for the reason so specified.
5	J16 – Lam Tin J18 – Ping Tin	1	The representation proposes to move Ping Chun House of Ping Tin Estate from J16 to J18 because: (a) all blocks of Ping Tin Estate are in J18, except Ping Chun House; (b) to preserve the community integrity, the whole Ping Tin Estate should be kept intact in J18; and (c) it would also facilitate the DC member to serve the whole Ping Tin Estate.	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of J16 would be 12,671, which exceeds the lower permissible limit (-26.31%).
6	J18 – Ping Tin J23 – King Tin	1	The representation proposes to transfer SHK Kei Hau Secondary School and FDBWA Szeto Ho Secondary School from J18 to J23 to facilitate efficiency in community building.	The representation is not accepted because: (i) the two schools have all along been in J18, and there are no substantial reasons in support of the proposed move; and

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
				(ii) the population of J18 and that of J23 are within the permissible limits. Changing their boundaries is therefore not necessary.
7	J21 – Yau Tong Sze Shan West J22 – Lai Kong	4	The representations object to allocating blocks 32-38 (Phase 3) of Laguna City to J21 and propose to move these blocks back to J22 because: (a) community integrity and residents' sense of belonging would be hampered by the separation; (b) it would diffuse the enthusiasm of the voters living in Phase 3 to cast their votes as the polling station for J21 would be far away from Laguna City; (c) Phase 3 is geographically separated from the rest of J21 by Cha Kwo Ling and there is hardly anything in common between residents of the two areas; (d) residents of Laguna City share common community problems and concerns, and it would be a waste of resources to have 2 DC members serving them; (e) the population of J21 would likely increase sharply in the coming	The representations are accepted because: (i) keeping Laguna City intact would preserve the community integrity and resident's sense of belonging; (ii) the concerns of residents in Laguna City are very different from those living in J21, which mainly comprises Home Ownership Scheme housing estates and village clusters; and (iii) Phase 3 of Laguna City may be isolated as it is geographically separated from the rest of J21 by Cha Kwo Ling; notwithstanding that the resultant population (23,204) will exceed the upper permissible limit (+34.95%) while the overall population of Kwun Tong has decreased by 2,174 (0.37%) when compared with that of 1999.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>years, and Phase 3 of Laguna City may then have to be moved to other DCCAs;</p> <p>(f) the population of Laguna City is just the same as that in 1999 and the supporting reasons in keeping Laguna City intact in the 1999 DCs Election should still be valid; and</p> <p>(g) there are other DCCAs with population exceeding the permissible limits under the provisional recommendations.</p>	
8	J31 – Ngau Tau Kok	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for J31.	The supporting view is noted.
9	J31 – Ngau Tau Kok	1	The representation proposes to transfer Tak Bo Garden from J31 to other DCCAs because it is a private residential development, which is different from the public housing estates in the rest of the constituency.	<p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the nearest DCCA, J32, would then have a population of 22,055, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+28.27%); and</p> <p>(ii) there is a representation supporting the demarcation proposals for J31 (see item 8).</p>
10	J33 – Lok Wah North J34 – Lok Wah South	1	The representation suggests to move Fai Wah House of Lok Wah South Estate from J33 to J34 because the population of the latter is smaller.	<p>The representation is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the population of J33 and J34 are within the permissible limits and the change of boundary is not necessary; and</p> <p>(ii) changes to existing unaltered DCCAs should be kept to the minimum and suggestions for any such changes solely for bringing about a better population distribution should</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
				not be entertained, since, by doing so, many unaltered DCCAs would have to be re-delineated.

Kwun Tong District
Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 23 January 2003

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
11	J07 – Shun Tin J09 – Lee On Tin	3	Same as item 2.	See item 2.
12	J07 – Shun Tin J09 – Lee On Tin	1	This representation opines that: (a) putting Tin Hang House and Tin Yiu House in J09 would not cause confusion; (b) the delineation of DCCAs should not affect district administration and residents' use of facilities; and (c) a DC member should serve all residents in the DCCA concerned irrespective of the types of housing.	Views are noted.
13	J21 – Yau Tong Sze Shan West J22 – Lai Kong	4	Same as item 7.	See item 7.