

**Kwai Tsing District  
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	S01 – Kwai Hing  S02 – Kwai Shing East Estate	4	<p>(a) The representations object to moving Shing Fung House and Shing Hei House of Kwai Shing East Estate from S02 to S01, and suggest to maintain the whole of Kwai Shing East Estate in S02 because:</p> <p>(i) the two buildings are situated on a slope while the rest of S01 is not;</p> <p>(ii) the community integrity would be impaired by separating Kwai Shing East Estate into 2 DCCAs; and</p> <p>(iii) S01 comprises mostly private housing estates, which have different community concerns.</p> <p>(b) One of the four representations proposes to even out the population of S01 and S02 by transferring Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate to S01 (instead of Shing Fung House and Shing Hei House) as it is an interim housing block and the residents' sense of</p>	<p>The representation to transfer Block 12 of Kwai Shing East Estate instead of Shing Fung House and Shing Hei House from S02 to S01 is <b>accepted</b> because:</p> <p>(i) the community ties of Kwai Shing East Estate can be improved; and</p> <p>(ii) the resultant population of S01 and S02 will still fall within the permissible limits:</p> <p>S01: 16,609 (-3.40%) S02: 19,899 (+15.73%)</p> <p>The representation to retain the whole Kwai Shing East Estate in S02 is <b>not accepted</b> because the resultant population of S02 would be 22,793, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+32.56%).</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			belonging to the estate is relatively weaker.	
2	S04 – Lower Tai Wo Hau	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for S04.	The supporting view is noted.
3	S08 – Shek Lei Extension	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for S08.	The supporting view is noted.
4	S08 – Shek Lei Extension S09 – Shek Lei	3	These representations suggest to: (a) retain Shek Yan House of Shek Lei Estate in S09; and instead (b) move Shek Tai House of Shek Lei Estate from S09 to S08 to maintain geographical integrity.	The representations are <b>not accepted</b> because the resultant population of S08 would be 22,010, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+28.01%).
5	S08 – Shek Lei Extension S11 – Kwai Fong S15 – Hing Fong	10	Ten representations object to allocating Kwai Ching House of Kwai Fong Estate from S11 to S15 because: (a) this would affect the unity of the whole estate, as all other blocks are situated in S11; and (b) the nature of Kwai Ching House, which is a public housing block, and the needs of its residents would be different from all others in S15, which are all private buildings.  One of the representations also objects to transferring Greenknoll Court from S08 to S11 as it is	The representations are <b>accepted</b> because: (a) the original S11 comprises the whole of Kwai Fong Estate and some industrial buildings which are sparsely populated. The local community ties established among residents of Kwai Fong Estate could be preserved by retaining Kwai Ching House (the only separated block) in S11; (b) Greenknoll Court is physically separated from Kwai Fong Estate by industrial buildings, but close to other residential settlements in S08; and (c) if Shek Yan House of Shek Lei Estate is also retained in S09 under item 18, the boundaries of the DCCAs of S08, S09, S11 and S15 can remain the same as those in 1999.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			geographically far away from Kwai Fong Estate in S11, and suggests retaining the existing DCCA boundary for S11.	In accepting these representations, the EAC has also taken the following into consideration: (i) the resultant population of two of the DCCAs would slightly exceed the 25% deviation limits: S09: 21,611 (+25.69%) S11: 21,745 (+26.47%); and (ii) there is a representation supporting the demarcation proposals for S08 (see item 3).
6	S09 – Shek Lei	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for S09.	The supporting view is noted.
7	S11 – Kwai Fong  S15 – Hing Fong	1	The representation proposes to transfer Kwai Ming House from S11 to S15 to preserve community integrity and the population distribution between the two DCCAs.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> so as to preserve the community integrity of Kwai Fong Estate (see item 5).
8	S12 – Lai Yiu  S13 – Lai Wah	1	The representation suggests to include Wonderland Villas in S12 instead of S13, because the residents of Wonderland Villas share common transportation facilities and concerns over living environment with those of Wah Yuen Chuen and Regency Park in S12.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because there has been a very strong and well established sense of affiliation of the residents of Wonderland Villas with the community in this DCCA.
9	S14 – Cho Yiu	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for S14.	The supporting view is noted.
10	S19 – Wai Ying  S21 – Greenfield	2	The representations object to allocating Serene Garden to S21 because: (a) Serene Garden was in the same constituency with Greedfield	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because: (i) if Serene Garden is not transferred out of the existing S19, the population of S19 would be 22,348, which

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>Garden in 1994, but was then transferred to the existing S19 in 1999. Putting it back to the constituency of Greenfield Garden would break the community ties established with the existing S19 over the past years;</p> <p>(b) the residents of Serene Garden and those of S21 use different transportation and community facilities;</p> <p>(c) the work of the Owners' Corporation would be more difficult with a consequential change of the responsible Area Committee;</p> <p>(d) the increase in population of the existing S19 is due to the recent completion of Tierra Verde but not Serene Garden; and</p> <p>(e) a low turnout rate for polling would be expected because there are residents not supporting the demarcation proposal.</p> <p>The representations also suggest retaining Serene Garden in S19 even though the resultant population of S19 would exceed the permissible limits, as this is also allowed for some other DCCAs.</p>	<p>exceeds the upper permissible limit (+29.98%); and</p> <p>(ii) geographically, Serene Garden is contiguous to Greenfield Garden and Grand Horizon in S21.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
11	S20 – Tsing Yi Estate	3	The representations support the demarcation proposals for S20.	The supporting views are noted.
12	S22 – Cheung Ching	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for S22.	The supporting view is noted.
13	S23 – Cheung Hong S24 – Shing Hong S25 – Tsing Yi South	1	This representation proposes to: (a) transfer Hong Fung House of Cheung Hong Estate and Ching Shing Court from S24 to S23; and (b) transfer Mount Haven and Cheung Wang Estate from S25 to S24 because: (i) the resultant population of S23, S24 and S25 would be closer to the population quota; and (ii) the proposal can cater for the sharp population increase of Cheung Wang Estate by the end of 2003.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because it would affect the unaltered boundaries of S23 and S24.
14	S25 – Tsing Yi South S26 – Cheung Hang	2	<u>Proposal (a)</u> One of these two representations objects to allocating Cheung Wang Estate and Mount Haven to S25 because: (i) the area covered by the existing S25 is the largest in the district, and the population of the proposed S25 is too large to be managed by one DC member;	The proposals are <b>not accepted</b> because: (i) although the proposed S25 covers a large area, its population of 21,222 (+23.43%) is within the permissible limits; (ii) if Cheung Wang Estate is not transferred out of the existing S26, the population of S26 would be 22,959, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+33.53%); and (iii) there are supporting views for S26 (see item 15).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>(ii) the population of S25 would further increase in the future upon completion of the remaining blocks of Cheung Wang Estate;</p> <p>(iii) because of the scattered population, there would be difficulties in allocating resources for community activities in the proposed S25; and</p> <p>(iv) it is difficult to find a suitable location for the polling station in S25 because of the scattered population.</p> <p><u>Proposal (b)</u> The other one representation proposes to maintain Cheung Wang Estate in S26 to preserve geographical integrity and improve the population distribution between the DCCAs.</p>	The REO will take note of the representations when identifying the location for the polling station in S25.
15	S26 – Cheung Hang	2	The representations support the demarcation proposals for S26.	The supporting views are noted.

**Kwai Tsing District**  
**Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 24 January 2003**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
16	S01 – Kwai Hing  S02 – Kwai Shing East Estate	1	Same as item 1.	See item 1.
17	S06 – Shek Yam	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for S06.	The supporting view is noted.
18	S08 – Shek Lei Extension  S09 – Shei Lei	2	The representations suggest to: (a) retain Shek Yan House of Shek Lei Estate in S09 so as to preserve the community integrity of Shek Lei Estate; and (b) if part of Shek Lei Estate in S09 is to be given to S06, it may be better to give Shek On House, Shek Tai House, or one of the two interim housing blocks instead of Shek Yan House, as the latter is far away from the major residential settlement in S08.	The representation to retain Shek Yan House in S09 is <b>accepted</b> because: (i) by retaining Shek Yan House in S09, the community integrity of Shek Lei Estate can be preserved; (ii) Shek Yan House is far away from the major residential settlement in S08; and (iii) if Shek Yan House is retained in S09 and Greenknoll Court is retained in S08 under item 5, both S08 and S09 can remain unaltered; notwithstanding that: (i) the population in S09 will become 21,611 (+25.69%), which is marginally over the upper permissible limit; and (ii) there are supporting views for the provisional proposals for S08 and S09 (see items 3 and 6).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
19	S11 – Kwai Fong  S15 – Hing Fong	4	Same as item 5.	See item 5.
20	S19 – Wai Ying  S21 – Greenfield	1	Same as item 10.	See item 10.
21	S24 – Shing Hong	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for S24.	The supporting view is noted.
22	S25 – Tsing Yi South	1	This representation suggests that there should be one polling station for each of the four major housing estates in S25 because they are located far away from one another.	REO will take this into consideration when identifying the locations of polling stations for S25.
23	S25 – Tsing Yi South  S26 – Cheung Hang	1	Same as proposal (b) in item 14.	See item 14.



**Views Expressed by District Council Members  
at the Meeting of the Kwai Tsing District Council on 27 January 2003**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
24	S01 – Kwai Hing  S02 – Kwai Shing East Estate	2	Same as item 1.	See item 1.
25	S04 – Lower Tai Wo Hau	1	Same as item 2.	See item 2.
26	S06 – Shek Yam  S07 – On Yam	1	The representation suggests to transferring the squatter area in S06 to S07 because: (a) the area belonged to S07 in the 1994 District Boards Election; and (b) it is geographically closer to On Yam Estate in S07 than S06.	The representation is <b>accepted</b> because: (i) the resultant population would be within the permissible limits and the distribution would be more even: S06: 19,889 (+15.67%) S07: 19,520 (+13.53%); and (ii) the geographical ties between the squatter area and On Yam Estate can be maintained.
27	S08 – Shek Lei Extension  S11 – Kwai Fong	1	The representation objects to transferring Greenknoll Court from S08 to S11 because it has closer community ties with Shek Lei Estate rather than with Kwai Fong Estate.	See item 5.
28	S08 – Shek Lei Extension  S11 – Kwai Fong	1	Same as item 5.	See item 5.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	S15 – Hing Fong			
29	S11 – Kwai Fong  S15 – Hing Fong	1	The representation suggests to: (a) transfer Kwai Chung Police Married Quarters from S12 to S15; and (b) transfer Kwai Ching House of Kwai Fong Estate from S15 to S11.	<u>Proposal (a)</u> The proposal is <b>not accepted</b> as no substantial reason in support of improvements to community ties is given.  <u>Proposal (b)</u> See item 5.
30	S12 – Lai Yiu  S14 – Cho Yiu	1	This representation proposes to: (a) transfer Lai King Disciplined Services Quarters from S14 to S12; and (b) transfer Kwai Chung Police Married Quarters from S12 to S15, because Lai King Disciplined Services Quarters, as compared with Kwai Chung Police Married Quarters, are much closer to Lai Yiu Estate in S12.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because: (i) no substantial reason in support of improvements to community ties is given; (ii) it would affect the boundary of S14 which is unaltered; and (iii) there is one representation supporting the demarcation proposals for S14 (see item 9).
31	S19 – Wai Ying  S21 – Greenfield	1	Same as item 10.	See item 10.
32	S22 – Cheung Ching	1	Same as item 12.	See item 12.
33	S22 – Cheung Ching  S23 – Cheung Hong	2	These representations propose to: (a) transfer Mayfair Gardens from S25 to S22; and (b) transfer Ching Yeung House and Ching Mui House of Cheung Ching	The representations are <b>not accepted</b> because: (i) the boundaries of S22 and S23, which are both unaltered under the provisional recommendations, would be affected; and (ii) Cheung Ching Estate would

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	S25 – Tsing Yi South		Estate from S22 to S23 if necessary because: (i) Mayfair Gardens is far away from Cheung Wang Estate in S25; and (ii) it would be difficult for the DC member of S25 to take care of the needs of all major estates therein.	then be split into two DCCAs.
34	S25 – Tsing Yi South	1	Same as proposal (a) in item 14.	See item 14.
35	S26 – Cheung Hang	1	Same as item 15.	See item 15.
36	Community considerations	3	These representations suggest that community integrity, local ties, community identities and geographical features should be considered in delineating constituency boundaries.	Due regard has already been paid to such factors.
37	Designation of polling stations	2	These representations suggest the following: (a) no more than one polling station should be designated for one housing estate so as to avoid confusion to residents; (b) the number of polling stations in S12 should not be increased even though the area covered is large, as candidates could hardly cope with the situation on the polling day in the event that there are too many polling stations; and	The REO will take these into consideration when identifying locations for polling stations.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			(c) the polling station to be designated for S23 should not be set up on the hilly slope as before and should be easily accessible and convenient to residents.	
38	Boundary Descriptions	1	This representation suggests that the estimated population of each major estate/area be included in the boundary descriptions for reference by DC members in the future.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because including the estimated population of only major estate/area in the boundary descriptions would not give readers a full picture of the DCCA, while it is impracticable to include the populations of all settlements therein.
39	District Boundary	2	These representations suggest that the district boundary between Kwai Tsing and Sham Shui Po, which bisects Nob Hill, should be reviewed.	The subject is outside the EAC's jurisdiction.
40	Working principles for demarcation	1	This representation suggests that the following two factors should also be included as working principles for demarcation: (i) designation of polling stations; and (ii) the effect on the voter turnout rate.	The location of polling station and voter turnout are not considerations in delineating DCCAs.