

**Sham Shui Po District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	F05 – Nam Cheong South F06 – Nam Cheong Central F07 – Nam Cheong West	7	<p>These representations propose:</p> <p>(a) to transfer some blocks in F06 to F05 and F07 to even out the population in these three DCCAs, because the relatively high population in F06 would pose unfairness to both the DC member and residents, given that resources for each DCCA are the same; and</p> <p>(b) to retain the 1999 DCCA boundaries for F06 and F07 in order to preserve community integrity and have two DC members instead of one to tackle the various problems of the old buildings.</p>	<p>Proposal (a) is accepted, subject to only F05 and F06 being affected, because:</p> <p>(i) F05 and F06 are new DCCAs while the boundary of F07 has only been slightly rectified in the provisional recommendations; and</p> <p>(ii) by refining the boundaries of F05 and F06, their populations would be more even and closer to the population quota.</p> <p>The resultant population of F05 and F06 would be:</p> <p>F05: 18,043 (+4.94%) F06: 17,235 (+0.24%)</p> <p>Proposal (b) is not accepted because the population in both F06 and F07 is below the lower permissible limit:</p> <p>F06: 11,109 (-35.39%) F07: 11,702 (-31.94%)</p>

**Views Expressed by District Council Members
at the Meeting of the Sham Shui Po District Council on 28 January 2003**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
2	F05 – Nam Cheong South F06 – Nam Cheong Central F07 – Nam Cheong West F08 – Fu Cheong	6	<p>These representations put up proposals as follows:</p> <p>(a) Three of these representations propose the same as that in proposal (a) in item 1.</p> <p>(b) Three representations propose to:</p> <p>(i) transfer Nam Cheong Estate from the proposed F07 to join Fu Cheong Estate in the proposed F08, and</p> <p>(ii) combine the private premises in the proposed F07 with the existing F07; because:</p> <p>(i) public housing estates and private premises should not be mixed in a DCCA; and</p> <p>(ii) the actual population of Fu Cheong Estate should be less than the projected figures, and so the actual population of the resultant F08 should not exceed the upper permissible limit.</p> <p>But these three</p>	<p>For (a), see proposal (a) in item 1.</p> <p>The proposals under (b) are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) the resultant population of F05 and F06 would fall below the lower permissible limit, while that of F08 would exceed the upper limit:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">F05: 12,467 (-27.49%) F06: 11,109 (-35.39%) F08: 24,029 (+39.75%);</p> <p>(ii) there is one representation supporting putting Fu Cheong Estate and Nam Cheong Estate in two different DCCAs (see (c)); and</p> <p>(iii) it is necessary to use the same set of population data with the same basis and same cut-off date in projecting the population for all DCCAs.</p> <p>For (c), the supporting view is noted.</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>representations would still support the EAC's proposals, if the resultant population arising from combining Fu Cheong Estate and Nam Cheong Estate into one DCCA is considered not acceptable.</p> <p>(c) One representation supports putting Fu Cheong Estate and Nam Cheong Estate in two different DCCAs, as they belong to different communities - the former is new but the latter is relatively old and would be for sale.</p>	
3	F14 – Mei Foo North	1	This representation supports the demarcation proposals for F14.	The supporting view is noted.
4	F15 – Lung Ping F19 – Tai Hang Tung & Yau Yat Tsuen	1	This representation proposes to group Yau Yat Tsuen, Parc Oasis and the City University of Hong Kong in one DCCA, because their residents are all of the same social class and different from those in the rest of their DCCAs.	The representation is not accepted because: (i) it would affect the boundaries of F15 and F19, which are unaltered under the provisional recommendations; and (ii) Tai Hang Tung Estate would become geographically separated.
5	District Boundary	3	These representations propose that the north-western boundary should be re-aligned with Lai King Hill Road so as to delineate Wah Lai Estate and its	The demarcation of district boundaries is outside EAC's jurisdiction.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			neighbouring estates from Kwai Tsing District to Sham Shui Po District, since the residents concerned mostly use the facilities of Sham Shui Po.	
6	Population quota	1	The representation proposes that: (a) longer term consideration should be taken in this demarcation exercise; and (b) different population quotas should be used for private premises and public housing as the level of difficulties encountered by the DC members would not be the same.	<u>Proposal (a)</u> The view is noted. <u>Proposal (b)</u> The subject is outside EAC's jurisdiction.
7	Criteria for delineating DCCAs	1	This representation opines that, apart from the population quota, consideration should also be given to the culture/habit of residents and the possibility of minimising changes to existing boundaries so as to avoid disruption to residents and DC members.	Due regard has already been paid to such factors.
8	Publication of the finalised decision on the delineation of boundaries	1	The final decision on the delineation of boundaries should be published as early as possible to facilitate preparation work to be done by the prospective candidates for the 2003 DCs Election.	The final boundaries will be published once approved by the CE in Council.