## Wong Tai Sin District Summaries of Written Representations

Item	DCCAs	No. of		Representations	EAC's views
no.	concerned	representations		-	
1	H01 –	19	(a)	All representations	The representations are <b>accepted</b> ,
	Lung Tsui		()	object to including	subject to our proposed modification
	0			Mui Yuen House and	to proposal (c) below, because:
	H04 –			Tao Yuen House of	(i) the community integrity of H04
	Fung			Chuk Yuen North	can be preserved;
	Wong			Estate and Ying Fuk	(ii) H04 and H15 consist of
	8			Court in H04 because:	different types of housing and
	H13 –			(i) their community	they belong to different Area
	Tsui Chuk			concerns are	Committees, and they are
	& Pang			different from the	physically separated; and
	Ching			other private	(iii) moving Hsin Kuang Centre and
	U			residential	Tropicana Gardens to H04
	H15 –			developments in	instead of H01 would leave H01
	Chuk			H04 and the	unaltered.
	Yuen			community	
	North			integrity of H04	For proposal (c), we recommend
				would be	moving an additional block, ie Pak
				hampered;	Yuen House, to H13 which would
				(ii) they share	bring the population of both H13
				common facilities	and H15 within the permissible
				with other blocks	limits, otherwise the population of
				of Chuk Yuen	H15 would be 22,860 (+32.95%).
				North Estate;	
				(iii) there is no direct	The resultant population distribution
				access between	will be:
				H04 and H15;	
				(iv) H04 and H15	H01: 15,391 (-10.49%)
				belong to different	H04: 15,768 (-8.29%)
				Area Committees;	H13: 21,135 (+22.92%)
				(v) the population of	H15: 19,856 (+15.48%)
				the existing H16	
				(ie Chuk Yuen	
				North) is within	
				the permissible	
				limits and change	
				is not necessary;	
				and	
				(vi) the office of	
				H04's DC	
				member would	
				likely be set up at	
				Chuk Yuen North	
				Estate and	

Item	DCCAs	No. of	Representations	EAC's views
no.	concerned	representations		
			residents of Fung	
			Wong San Tsuen	
			in H04 would feel	
			isolated.	
			(b) Ten representations	
			further suggest	
			transferring Hsin	
			Kuang Centre and	
			Tropicana Gardens	
			from H01 to H04	
			because:	
			(i) they have similar community	
			concerns ; and	
			(ii) it can also bring	
			the population of	
			H04 within the	
			permissible limits.	
			(c) One representation	
			further proposes to put	
			Ying Fuk Court, Tao	
			Yuen House, Mui Yuen House, Cheung	
			Yuen House, Tung	
			Yuen House, Yung	
			Yuen House, Wai	
			Yuen House and Pak	
			Yuen House in H15,	
			Chung Yuen House in	
			H13 and Chui Yuen	
			House in H14 because:	
			(i) the population of	
			the existing H15	
			is below the lower	
			permissible limit; and	
			(ii) Chung Yuen	
			House shares	
			common facilities	
			with Pang Ching	
			Court in H13.	
			· · · · · · · · ·	
2	H06 –	3	(a) These representations	The representations are <b>accepted</b> ,
	Lung Sing		propose to re-group	except the delineation part under
			Chi Mei, Kam Wah, Luk Ching, Tan Fung	proposal (c) because:
			Luk Ching, Tan Fung	(i) the established community ties

Item	DCCAs concerned	No. of	Representations	EAC's views
Item no.		No. of representations	<ul> <li>Houses of Choi Hung Estate back to H24;</li> <li>(b) one representation further suggests moving Grand View Garden from H24 to H20;</li> <li>(c) one representation further suggests moving Grand View Garden and Regent on the Hill from H24 to H20 and retaining the name of H24 (ie Chi Choi 池彩); and</li> <li>(d) one representation further proposes to transfer Chi Lin Care and Attention Home and Regent on the Hill from H24 to H06.</li> <li>The reasons given are:</li> <li>(i) the four blocks of Choi Hung Estate have</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>in H24 will be maintained by retaining Chi Mei, Kam Wah, Luk Ching, Tan Fung Houses of Choi Hung Estate in H24;</li> <li>(ii) Grand View Garden shares common transport facilities with other housing estates in H20;</li> <li>(iii) it can leave H24 and H25 basically unaltered except for minor rectifications of boundary between H24 and H25 to put the whole Kam Pik House in H25; and</li> <li>(iv) the name of H24 would remain as "Chi Choi 池彩" as recommended in (c).</li> <li>The delineation part under proposal (c) is <b>not accepted</b> because the resultant population of H20 would become 21,886 (+27.29%).</li> <li>The resultant population distribution</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>(ii) Grand View Garden shares common transport facilities with other housing estates in H20.</li> </ul>	H06: 20,429 (+18.81%) H20: 21,393 (+24.42%) H24: 14,596 (-15.11%) H25: 14,096 (-18.02%)
3	H07 – San Po Kong H08 – Tung Tau H09 – Tung Mei	9	<ul> <li>All nine representations object to moving a few blocks of private residential buildings from H07 to H08 because:</li> <li>(a) H07 and H08 belong to two different Area Committees;</li> <li>(b) H08 consists of public housing estates, the residents of which have different community concerns and use different facilities;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The representations are in principle accepted because:</li> <li>(i) the community integrity of San Po Kong can be maintained;</li> <li>(ii) H07 and H08 consist of different types of housing with different community concerns;</li> <li>(iii) the interests of the isolated private building in H08 may be neglected; and</li> <li>(iv) the overall population of the district has increased by 22,825 when compared with that in 1999, with an average population per DCCA of</li> </ul>

Item	DCCAs	No. of	Representations	EAC's views
no.	concerned	representations		
			<ul><li>may be neglected; and</li><li>(e) the population of San</li></ul>	quota by more than 25% for certain DCCAs is justifiable.
4	H07 – San Po Kong H08 – Tung Tau	1	The representation suggests to move the whole San Po Kong (including New Lai King Building) from H07 to H08 because: (a) the population of the latter is relatively low; and confusion to residents of San Po Kong can be avoided.	

Item	DCCAs	No. of	Representations	EAC's views
no.	concerned	representations		
5	H10 – Lok Fu H11 – Wang Tau Hom	2	The representations suggest to move Wang Tak House, Wang Kei House and Wang Yip House of Wang Tau Hom Estate from H10 to H11 because: (a) the community integrity of Wang Tau Hom Estate can be enhanced by putting the whole estate in one DCCA (H11); and (b) the confusion to residents and electors of Wang Tau Hom Estate in seeking help from the relevant DC member or casting votes for the appropriate constituency could be avoided.	<ul> <li>(i) the community integrity of Wang Tau Hom Estate can be enhanced; and</li> <li>(ii) the resultant population would still be within the permissible limits: H10: 16,659 (-3.11%)</li> </ul>
6	H17 – Ching Oi H18 – Ching On	1	The representation suggests to retain the existing H19 (ie Tsz Wan North) unchanged as far as possible.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because by maintaining the status quo for H19, the resultant population would far exceed the upper permissible limit (+126.40%).
7	H18 – Ching On H19 – Tsz Wan East	1	<ul> <li>(a) The representation objects to moving On Hong House of Tsz On Court from H19 to H18; and</li> <li>(b) proposes to group Hong Kin House of Tsz Hong Estate from H19 to H18 instead because: <ul> <li>(i) On Hong House and On Yan House, both belonging to Tsz On Court, share common facilities and have strong community ties with Tsz Wan</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The representation is not accepted, although the community integrity of Tsz On Court, which comprises two blocks, would be maintained, because:</li> <li>(i) if only proposal (a) were accepted, the resultant population of H19 would be 22,588, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+31.37%); and</li> <li>(ii) the population deviation for the two DCCAs could be contained within the permissible limits if both proposals (a) and (b) were accepted; however it would be unfair to preserve the community integrity of Tsz On Court by sacrificing that of Tsz</li> </ul>

Item no.	DCCAs concerned	No. of representations	Representations	EAC's views
			East; and (ii) residents of Hong Kin House have just moved to H19 for a few months and have not yet established strong community ties with the constituency of Tsz Wan East and there will not be any difficulties for them to adapt themselves to another DCCA.	
8	Number of elected seats	1	The number of Wong Tai Sin DC members should be increased so as to provide proper service.	The subject is outside EAC's jurisdiction.

## Wong Tai Sin District Oral Representations Received at the Public Forum on 23 January 2003

Item no.	DCCAs concerned	No. of representations	Representations	EAC's views
9	H04 – Fung Wong H15 – Chuk Yuen North	1	Same as item 1(a).	See items 1(i) and (ii).
10	H07 – San Po Kong H08 – Tung Tau	3	Same as item 3.	See item 3.
11	H07 – San Po Kong	1	This representation proposes that a new DCCA should be delineated for Rhythm Garden.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because the population of Rhythm Garden is only 9,679 (-43.71%), which is below the lower permissible limit.
12	H18 – Ching On H19 – Tsz Wan East	2	Same as item 7.	See item 7.
13	H20 – King Fu H24 – Ngau Tsuen H25 – Choi Hung	1	Same as items 2(a) and (c).	See item 2.