

**Yuen Long District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	M02 – Shui Pin M09 – Ping Shan South	1	The representation proposes to move Shui Pin Tsuen and Villa by the Park from M09 to M02.	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of M02 would be 23,348, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+35.79%).
2	M05 – Tai Kiu M06 – Fung Cheung	1	The representation proposes to move Hop Yick Plaza, Kui Fat Building or nearby old residential buildings from M05 to M06.	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of M06 would be 23,268, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+35.33%).
3	M12 – Tin Shing	5	These representations support the demarcation proposals for this DCCA.	The supporting views are noted.
4	M13 – Shui Oi M14 – Shui Wah M15 – Chung Wah M16 – Yuet Yan M17 – Fu Yan M18 – Yat Chak M19 – Tin Heng M20 – Wang Yat	3	These representations support the demarcation proposals for these 11 DCCAs.	The supporting views are noted.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	M22 – Kingswood South M23 – Tin Yiu M24 – Tsz Yau			
5	M12 – Tin Shing M23 – Tin Yiu M24 – Tsz Yau	1	The representation proposes to re-delineate Tin Yau Court, Tin Yiu Estate and Tin Tsz Estate in M12, M23 and M24 according to the 1999 DCCA boundaries, ie Yiu Yau (formerly M12), Tin Yiu (formerly M13) and Tin Tsz (formerly M18).	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of the proposed Yiu Yau DCCA and the proposed Tin Tsz DCCA would exceed the permissible limits as follows: Yiu Yau: 39,252 (+128.29%) Tin Tsz: 12,860 (-25.21%)
6	M13 – Shui Oi M14 – Shui Wah	1	The representation proposes to move Shui Sum House from M13 to M14 because: (a) Shui Sum House belongs to Tin Shui (1) Estate in M14 and shares the same building management with the other 5 blocks of the Estate; (b) Shui Sum House is closely related to Shui Lung House/Shui Chuen House of Tin Shui (1) Estate in terms of community ties and geographical link, and the only access to Shui Shum House is through Shui Lung House/Shui Chuen House; (c) for the past two DCs elections, the residents of the 6 blocks were in the same constituency and went to the same polling station to vote;	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of M14 would be 23,416, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+36.19%).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			and (d) the above proposals would bring about a better shape for M13 and M14.	
7	M13 – Shui Oi M14 – Shui Wah M15 – Chung Wah	1	The representation proposes: (a) the same as item 6; and (b) moving Wah Yuet House of Tin Wah Estate from M14 to M15.	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of M15 would be 23,093, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+34.31%).
8	M13 – Shui Oi M14 – Shui Wah M15 – Chung Wah	3	These representations propose the following: (a)(i) moving Shui Sum House of Tin Shui (1) Estate and Shui Fai House of Tin Shui (2) Estate from M13 to M14, and transferring Wah Sui House, Wah Yau House and Wah Yuet House of Tin Wah Estate from M14 to M15 so as to keep the boundaries of the two existing DCCAs, namely Shui Oi (formerly M14) and Tin Shui (formerly M15) unchanged; (ii) renaming M14 as Tin Shui; and (iii) combining Tin Wah Estate in M14 and M15 and Tin Chung Court in M15 to form one DCCA; and (b) same as proposal (a) except moving Shui Choi House of Tin Shui (1) Estate from	These representations are not accepted because the resultant population of M15 would be 29,240, which far exceeds the upper permissible limit (+70.06%).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			M13 to M14, and retaining Shui Fai House of Tin Shui (2) Estate in M13.	
9	M14 – Shui Wah	1	The representation proposes to split M14 into 2 DCCAs, namely, Tin Shui DCCA and Tin Wah DCCA.	The representation is not accepted because the resultant population of the proposed Tin Wah DCCA would be 12,835, which exceeds the lower permissible limit (-25.35%).
10	M14 – Shui Wah M15 – Chung Wah	1	The representation proposes to group the whole of Tin Wah Estate in M14 and M15 (total of 7 blocks) into one DCCA because: (a) community ties and identification should be preserved; and (b) the split of the Estate into 2 DCCAs would confuse electors.	The representation is not accepted because: (i) if a separate DCCA is delineated for Tin Wah Estate, the resultant population would be 12,835, which exceeds the lower permissible limit (-25.35%); (ii) if part of Tin Wah Estate (ie Wah Choi House, Wah Long House, Wah Yat House and Ancillary Facilities Block) in M15 has to be transferred to M14 to keep the whole of the Estate intact, the resultant population of M14 would be 24,972, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+45.24%); and (iii) if the other part of Tin Wah Estate (ie Wah Sui House, Wah Yau House and Wah Yuet House) in M14 has to be transferred to M15 to keep the whole Estate intact, the resultant population of M15 would be 29,240, which also exceeds the upper permissible limit (+70.06%).
11	M15 – Chung Wah M16 – Yuet Yan	1	The representation proposes the following: (a) delineating Tin Chung Court to form one DCCA, which should be renamed as Tin Chung;	The representation is not accepted because: <u>for proposal (a)</u> although the resultant population of the proposed Tin Chung DCCA

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	M17 – Fu Yan		and (b) grouping Tin Fu Court in M17 and Tin Yuet Estate in M16 into one DCCA, which should be renamed as Yuet Fu.	would still be within the permissible limits, there is little scope for adjustment for Tin Chung Estate to form a DCCA on its own because the adjacent DCCAs will then be unduly affected by the suggested change and their corresponding populations will exceed the permissible limits; and <u>for proposal (b)</u> (i) the resultant population of the proposed DCCA of Yuet Fu would be 28,544, which far exceeds the upper permissible limit (+66.01%). (ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for M17 (see item 13).
12	M16 – Yuet Yan M17 – Fu Yan M18 – Yat Chak	3	These representations propose: (a) the same as item 11(b); and (b) grouping Tin Chak Estate in M18 and Tin Yan Court in M17 into a DCCA, which should be renamed as Chak Yan.	These representations are not accepted because: <u>for proposal (a)</u> see item 11 (b). <u>for proposal (b)</u> (i) the resultant population of the proposed DCCA of Chak Yan would be 24,474, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+42.34%). (ii) there are supporting views for the demarcation proposals for M17 (see item 13).
13	M16 – Yuet Yan M17 – Fu Yan	6	These representations support the demarcation proposals for M17 and object to any other proposals for combining Tin Fu Court in M17 and Tin Yuet Estate in M16 to form a DCCA.	The supporting views are noted.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
14	M18 – Yat Chak M20 – Wang Yat	3	These representations propose to group Tin Yat Estate in M18 and M20 and Grandeur Terrace in M20 into a DCCA.	These representations are not accepted because the resultant population of the suggested DCCA would be 24,346, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+41.60%).
15	M19 – Tin Heng M20 – Wang Yat	4	These representations propose to allocate Heng Tung House and Heng Wan House of Tin Heng Estate from M20 to M19 so that the whole Estate (14 blocks) is kept within M19 because: (a) the separation of the 2 blocks from the rest of the Estate would hamper the community integrity and create inconvenience to the residents of these two blocks in seeking assistance from their DC member; and (b) the resultant populations of both M19 and M20 would not exceed the permissible limits.	These representations are accepted because: (i) the community integrity could be maintained in that the whole of the Tin Heng Estate would be put in the same DCCA; and (ii) a better population distribution would be achieved in M20, from 20,156 (+17.23%) to 17,301 (+0.62%); although the resultant population of M19 would be 21,913, which would exceed the upper permissible limit (+27.45%).
16	M21 – Kingswood North	5	These representations support the demarcation proposals for this DCCA.	The supporting views are noted.
17	M22 – Kingswood South	6	These representations support keeping Locwood Court, Sherwood Court and Chestwood Court within the same DCCA.	The supporting views are noted.
18	M22 – Kingswood South M24 – Tsz Yau	5	These representations propose to move Tin Lai Court from M22 to M24 to preserve its community ties.	These representations are not accepted because the resultant population of M24 would be 26,215, which exceeds the upper permissible limit (+52.47%).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
19	M23 – Tin Yiu M24 – Tsz Yau	3	<p>These representations propose that:</p> <p>(a) Yiu Hing House and Yiu Shing House of Tin Yiu (1) Estate in M23 merge with Tin Yiu (2) Estate (in M24) to form M23; and</p> <p>(b) Yiu Foo House, Yiu Hong House, Yiu Man House and Yiu Yat House of Tin Yiu (1) Estate in M23 merge with Tin Yau Court in M24 to form M24, which should be renamed as Yiu Yau.</p>	<p>These representations are not accepted because:</p> <p><u>for proposal (a)</u> although the resultant population of the proposed Tin Yiu DCCA would still be within the permissible limits (+11.81%), there is little scope for these parts of Tin Yiu Estates to form a DCCA on their own because this would result in some of the DCCAs in the northern part of Tin Shui Wai exceeding the permissible limits.</p> <p><u>for proposal (b)</u> although the resultant population of Yiu Yau would still be within the permissible limits (+4.76%), there is little scope for Tin Yiu Estate (part) and Tin Yau Court to form a DCCA on their own because the DCCAs in the northern part of the Tin Shui Wai area would then be unduly affected by the suggested change and their corresponding populations would exceed the permissible limits.</p>

Yuen Long District
Oral Representations received at the Public Forum on 24 January 2003

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
20	M13 – Shui Oi M14 – Shui Wah M15 – Chung Wah	1	Same as item 7.	See item 7.
21	M19 – Tin Heng M20 – Wang Yat	1	Same as item 15.	See item 15.
22	M18 – Yat Chak M20 – Wing Yat	3	These representations object to splitting the 9 blocks of Tin Yat Estate into 2 DCCAs, namely M18 and M20, and propose that they should remain in one single DCCA.	The representations are not accepted because while community integrity might be a valid consideration, there is little scope to put all the 9 blocks of Tin Yat Estate within a DCCA as this would result in some of the adjacent DCCAs exceeding the permissible limits.
23	M17 – Fu Yan	1	Same as item 13.	See item 13.
24	M18 – Yat Chak	1	The representation supports the demarcation proposals for this DCCA.	The supporting views are noted.
25	M18 – Yat Chak M19 – Tin Heng M20 – Tin Yat	1	Same as items 14, 15 and 22.	See items 14, 15 and 22.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
26	M13 – Shui Oi M14 – Shui Wah M15 – Chung Wah M16 – Yuet Yan M17 – Fu Yan M18 – Yat Chak M19 – Tin Heng M20 – Wang Yat M22 – Kingswood South M23 – Tin Yiu M24 – Tsz Yau	2	Same as items 8, 12, 14, 15, 18 and 19.	See items 8, 12, 14, 15, 18 and 19.