

**Yau Tsim Mong District  
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	E01 – Tsim Sha Tsui West  E16 – Tsim Sha Tsui East	1	The representation proposes to move the area bounded by Nathan Road, Jordan Road, Cox's Road and Austin Road from E01 to E16 because: (a) the boundary shape and the community integrity of E01 would be adversely affected by the inclusion of the area concerned; and (b) residents of the area concerned would get confused as to whether they belong to Tsim Sha Tsui East or Tsim Sha Tsui West, thus affecting their community commitments and desire for voting.	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because: (i) it would affect the boundary of E01, which is the same as that of the 1999 DCCA; and (ii) the resultant population of E16 would be 22,327, which exceeds the population quota (+29.85%).
2	E05 – Charming  E06 – Mong Kok West  E07 – Fu Pak	1	This representation objects to the delineation of E07 and proposes the following: (a) moving the old residential area bounded by Argyle Street, Nathan Road, Shantung Street and Ferry Street from E06 to E07; (b) moving Park Avenue from E07 to E05; (c) moving the area bounded by Ferry Street, Dundas Street, Canton Road and Pitt Street from E05 to E06; and (d) moving Hoi Yu House of Hoi Fu Court from E07 to E05, if permissible under the	The representation is <b>not accepted</b> because: (i) it would split Park Avenue and Central Park, which are under the same development and management, into 2 DCCAs, namely E05 and E07 respectively; and (ii) the boundary of E05 would be greatly affected, with the addition of the Park Avenue and loss of the old residential area, as a result of the proposed changes.

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>population criterion. Reasons given are:</p> <p>(a) the proposal would cater for the population increase from new developments, namely Hoi Fu Court, Park Avenue and Central Park in E07;</p> <p>(b) the population of E06 would become too high upon the transfer of the old residential area from E07 to E06 (from -34.60% to +13.07%); and</p> <p>(c) the population of E07 would be too low (from +39.69% to -11.59%).</p>	
3	<p>E05 – Charming</p> <p>E06 – Mong Kok West</p> <p>E07 – Fu Pak</p>	1	<p>This representation objects to the proposed groupings of E05, E06 and E07 and proposes the following:</p> <p><u>Proposal (a) -</u></p> <p>(i) keeping the old residential area east of Ferry Street within E07;</p> <p>(ii) moving the area bounded by Dundas Street, Canton Road, Pitt Street and Ferry Street from E05 to E06;</p> <p>(iii) moving Park Avenue from E07 to E05; and</p> <p>(iv) moving Hoi Yu House of Hoi Fu Court from E07 to E05.</p> <p><u>Proposal (b) -</u></p> <p>(i) same as proposal (a) except that the area bounded by Shantung Street, Canton Road, Soy Street and Ferry Street be transferred</p>	<p>Proposals (a) - (c) are <b>not accepted</b> because the resultant population deviation would exceed the permissible limits:</p> <p><u>Proposal (a)</u> E07: 21,637 (+25.84%)</p> <p><u>Proposal (b)</u> E06: 12,758 (-25.80%) E07: 25,147 (+46.25%)</p> <p><u>Proposal (c)</u> E06: 12,758 (-25.80%) E07: 23,594 (+37.22%)</p> <p>Proposal (d) is <b>not accepted</b>, although the resultant population deviation would not exceed the permissible limits, because:</p> <p>(i) it would split Park Avenue and Central Park, which are under the same development and management, into 2 DCCAs, namely E05 and E07 respectively;</p> <p>(ii) the boundary of E05 would be</p>

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
			<p>from E06 to E07.</p> <p><u>Proposal (c)</u>  (i) same as proposal (b) except that Hoi Yu House not be moved from E07 to E05.</p> <p><u>Proposal (d)</u>  (i) same as proposal (a) except that the area bounded by Fife Street, Nathan Road, Argyle Street and Canton Road not be transferred from E07 to E05.</p> <p>The reason given is that E07 would be substantially affected as the old residential area east of Ferry Street which captured all of the electors in the 1999 DCs election would be re-delineated to E06. (There were in fact no electors to the west of Ferry Street at that time.)</p>	<p>greatly affected as a result of the proposed changes; and (iii) for E07, the population and deviation from the population quota would increase from 15,201 (-11.59%) to 19,455 (+13.15%).</p>