

2023 District Council Ordinary Election
Summary of Ballot Papers in Ballot Boxes that were Not Counted

District Council Geographical Constituencies

District	Code	Breakdown of invalid ballot papers					Breakdown of rejected questionable ballot papers				Total
		(1) Endorsed with the words “重複” and “TENDERED” on the front	(2) Unmarked	(3) Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station (clearly invalid)	(4) Containing votes for more than 1 candidate	(5) ^{Note 1} Containing a vote for a candidate whose name and other information are crossed out	(6) With writing or mark by which the elector can possibly be identified	(7) ^{Note 2} Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station	(8) Substantially mutilated	(9) Void for uncertainty	
Central and Western	A1	-	111	2	91	-	5	-	-	24	233
	A2	-	145	11	105	-	2	6	-	69	338
Wan Chai	B1	-	150	2	110	-	18	3	1	32	316
Eastern	C1	1	326	13	209	-	38	33	21	59	700
	C2	-	347	4	296	-	33	11	7	101	799
	C3	-	324	-	229	-	1	3	-	115	672
Southern	D1	2	183	27	150	-	-	7	-	48	417
	D2	-	224	1	156	-	2	5	-	41	429
Yau Tsim Mong	E1	-	147	-	112	-	2	10	1	22	294
	E2	1	216	1	142	-	17	1	1	39	418
Sham Shui Po	F1	1	361	1	254	-	5	12	-	73	707
	F2	-	294	2	205	-	10	7	-	69	587
Kowloon City	G1	-	280	4	188	-	9	6	-	60	547
	G2	2	368	7	299	-	3	11	-	78	768
Wong Tai Sin	H1	2	312	33	222	-	8	2	-	66	645
	H2	-	329	3	248	-	10	13	27	108	738

^{Note 1} Referring to a deceased or disqualified candidate. In this election, no name and other information of the candidate on the ballot paper had to be crossed out due to the aforementioned reasons.

^{Note 2} According to section 76(5)(a)(ii) of the EAC (EP) (DC) Reg, any ballot paper, which appears to be not marked in accordance with section 58(2), i.e. the chop is not affixed to give a single “√” in the circle opposite the name of the candidate of the elector’s choice, is questionable, and it should be up to the PRO to decide whether the vote is to be counted. When making the decision, if the PRO believes that the ballot paper is not marked with the chop provided at the polling station, the vote is not to be counted pursuant to section 78(1)(g).

2023 District Council Ordinary Election
Summary of Ballot Papers in Ballot Boxes that were Not Counted

District Council Geographical Constituencies

District	Code	Breakdown of invalid ballot papers					Breakdown of rejected questionable ballot papers				Total
		(1) Endorsed with the words “重複” and “TENDERED” on the front	(2) Unmarked	(3) Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station (clearly invalid)	(4) Containing votes for more than 1 candidate	(5) ^{Note 1} Containing a vote for a candidate whose name and other information are crossed out	(6) With writing or mark by which the elector can possibly be identified	(7) ^{Note 2} Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station	(8) Substantially mutilated	(9) Void for uncertainty	
Kwun Tong	J1	1	274	-	211	-	3	4	-	115	608
	J2	1	264	3	210	-	4	2	-	31	515
	J3	1	172	7	149	-	-	3	-	30	362
	J4	2	281	1	191	-	14	5	-	48	542
Tsuen Wan	K1	-	259	3	213	-	3	4	-	54	536
	K2	3	286	2	219	-	4	11	-	43	568
Tuen Mun	L1	-	240	11	200	-	2	1	-	69	523
	L2	1	261	3	212	-	4	10	-	51	542
	L3	1	242	1	204	-	9	13	-	78	548
Yuen Long	M1	-	251	-	206	-	-	-	-	86	543
	M2	-	199	6	128	-	15	1	-	48	397
	M3	-	209	3	167	-	5	3	-	56	443
	M4	1	267	3	228	-	1	-	-	70	570
North	N1	-	269	10	240	-	9	4	-	74	606
	N2	2	224	1	199	-	11	5	-	54	496
Tai Po	P1	-	244	2	160	-	4	10	22	85	527
	P2	-	240	2	230	-	2	2	-	69	545

^{Note 1} Referring to a deceased or disqualified candidate. In this election, no name and other information of the candidate on the ballot paper had to be crossed out due to the aforementioned reasons.

^{Note 2} According to section 76(5)(a)(ii) of the EAC (EP) (DC) Reg, any ballot paper, which appears to be not marked in accordance with section 58(2), i.e. the chop is not affixed to give a single “√” in the circle opposite the name of the candidate of the elector’s choice, is questionable, and it should be up to the PRO to decide whether the vote is to be counted. When making the decision, if the PRO believes that the ballot paper is not marked with the chop provided at the polling station, the vote is not to be counted pursuant to section 78(1)(g).

2023 District Council Ordinary Election
Summary of Ballot Papers in Ballot Boxes that were Not Counted

District Council Geographical Constituencies

District	Code	Breakdown of invalid ballot papers					Breakdown of rejected questionable ballot papers				Total
		(1) Endorsed with the words “重複” and “TENDERED” on the front	(2) Unmarked	(3) Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station (clearly invalid)	(4) Containing votes for more than 1 candidate	(5) ^{Note 1} Containing a vote for a candidate whose name and other information are crossed out	(6) With writing or mark by which the elector can possibly be identified	(7) ^{Note 2} Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station	(8) Substantially mutilated	(9) Void for uncertainty	
Sai Kung	Q1	-	155	1	154	-	-	1	-	37	348
	Q2	1	279	8	215	-	7	4	-	73	587
	Q3	-	309	4	240	-	-	-	-	88	641
Sha Tin	R1	1	284	9	215	-	4	9	9	35	566
	R2	-	367	1	314	-	16	10	-	116	824
	R3	2	284	2	193	-	7	9	-	47	544
	R4	-	404	4	291	-	3	3	-	137	842
Kwai Tsing	S1	1	372	2	253	-	6	3	-	90	727
	S2	2	201	4	205	-	5	-	-	58	475
	S3	-	203	9	164	-	9	13	-	64	462
Islands	T1	-	203	6	158	-	4	1	1	46	419
Total		29	11 360	219	8 785	-	314	261	90	2 856	23 914

^{Note 1} Referring to a deceased or disqualified candidate. In this election, no name and other information of the candidate on the ballot paper had to be crossed out due to the aforementioned reasons.

^{Note 2} According to section 76(5)(a)(ii) of the EAC (EP) (DC) Reg, any ballot paper, which appears to be not marked in accordance with section 58(2), i.e. the chop is not affixed to give a single “√” in the circle opposite the name of the candidate of the elector’s choice, is questionable, and it should be up to the PRO to decide whether the vote is to be counted. When making the decision, if the PRO believes that the ballot paper is not marked with the chop provided at the polling station, the vote is not to be counted pursuant to section 78(1)(g).