2018 Legislative Council By-election Summary of Ballot Papers in Ballot Boxes that were Not Counted Architectural, Surveying, Planning and Landscape Functional Constituency

| Code | Name of Functional Constituency | Breakdown of invalid ballot papers | | | Breakdown of rejected questionable ballot papers | | | | |
|-------|--|---|-----------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------|
| | | (1) Endorsed with the words "重複" and "TENDERED" | (2) Unmarked | (3) Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station | (4) With writing or mark by which the elector can possibly be identified | (5) Substantially mutilated | (6) Void for uncertainty | (7) ^{Note1} Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station | Total |
| | Architectural, Surveying, Planning and Landscape | 0 | 89 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 119 |
| Total | | 0 | 89 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 119 |

59

Note 1 According to section 77(7)(a)(ii) of the EAC(EP)(LC) Reg, any ballot paper, which appears to be not marked in accordance with section 57(2) using the chop to be affixed to give a single "
"
"
in the circle opposite the name so fithe candidates of the elector's or authorised representative's choice, is questionable and the RO should decide whether the vote is to be counted. When making decision, if the RO believes the ballot paper is not marked with the chop provided at the polling station, the vote is not to be counted pursuant to section 80(1)(hb).