

選舉管理委員會

ELECTORAL AFFAIRS COMMISSION

香港灣仔港灣道 25 號
海港中心 10 樓

10/F, Harbour Centre
25 Harbour Road
Wan Chai
Hong Kong

本函檔號 OUR REF.: REO CR/14/5/LC-20

圖文傳真 Fax: 2507 5810

來函檔號 YOUR REF.:

電話 Tel.: 2827 1419

網址 Web Site: <https://www.eac.hk>

3 September 2019

The Honourable Mrs Carrie Lam Cheng Yuet-ngor, GBM, GBS
The Chief Executive
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region
People's Republic of China
Chief Executive's Office
Hong Kong

Dear Madam,

Pursuant to section 18 of the Electoral Affairs Commission Ordinance, we have the pleasure in submitting to you a report containing our recommendations on the delineation of Legislative Council geographical constituencies for the purpose of the general election in respect of the Legislative Council to be held in 2020.

For the coming election, we recommend that the boundaries of the existing 5 geographical constituencies be adopted and that the 35 seats for the geographical constituencies be allocated as follows:

| <u>Geographical Constituency</u> | <u>No. of Seats</u> |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Hong Kong Island | 6 |
| Kowloon West | 6 |
| Kowloon East | 5 |
| New Territories West | 9 |
| New Territories East | 9 |
| Total: | <hr/> 35 |

Yours faithfully,

Barnabas Wah FUNG,
Chairman

Arthur Yee-shun LUK,
Member

Fanny Mui-ching CHEUNG,
Member

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| CE | Chief Executive |
| CMAB | Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau |
| C&SD | Census and Statistics Department |
| DC | District Council |
| DCCA, DCCAs | District Council Constituency Area, District Council Constituency Areas |
| DCO | District Councils Ordinance (Chapter 547) |
| EAC | Electoral Affairs Commission |
| EACO | Electoral Affairs Commission Ordinance (Chapter 541) |
| FC, FCs | functional constituency, functional constituencies |
| GC, GCs | geographical constituency, geographical constituencies |
| HKI | Hong Kong Island |
| KE | Kowloon East |
| KW | Kowloon West |
| LandsD | Lands Department |
| LCO | Legislative Council Ordinance (Chapter 542) |
| LegCo | Legislative Council |
| NTE | New Territories East |

| | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| NTW | New Territories West |
| PlanD | Planning Department |
| REO | Registration and Electoral Office |
| the AHSG | the Ad Hoc Subgroup set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population Distribution Projections in the Planning Department |
| the resulting number | the number which results when the population quota is multiplied by the number of Members to be returned to the Legislative Council by that Geographical Constituency |

CONTENTS

| | | <u>Page</u> |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Section 1 | The Electoral Affairs Commission | 1 |
| Section 2 | Responsibility of the EAC | 1 |
| Section 3 | Scope of the Report | 2 |
| CHAPTER 2 | DELINEATION OF GEOGRAPHICAL CONSTITUENCIES | 3 |
| Section 1 | Statutory Requirements for Delineation | 3 |
| Section 2 | Working Principles | 5 |
| Section 3 | Name and Code of GCs | 7 |
| Section 4 | Population Forecasts | 7 |
| Section 5 | The Process of Delineation | 9 |
| Section 6 | Provisional Recommendations | 12 |
| CHAPTER 3 | PUBLIC CONSULTATION | 13 |
| Section 1 | Consultation Period and Public Forum | 13 |
| Section 2 | Number of Representations Received | 15 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------|
| CHAPTER 4 | CONSIDERATION OF REPRESENTATIONS | 16 |
| Section 1 | Deliberations and Observations | 16 |
| Section 2 | The Recommendations | 29 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 5 | A CONCLUDING NOTE | 31 |
| Section 1 | Acknowledgements | 31 |
| Section 2 | Conclusion | 32 |
| | | |
| <i>Appendices</i> | | |
| Appendix I | Method for Allocation of Seats | 33 |
| Appendix II | Details of the Provisional Recommendations | 35 |
| Appendix III | Summary of Written/Oral Representations | 63 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Section 1 : The Electoral Affairs Commission

1.1 The Electoral Affairs Commission (“EAC”) is an independent and apolitical statutory body established under section 3 of the Electoral Affairs Commission Ordinance (Chapter 541) (“EACO”), with the primary objective of upholding openness, honesty and fairness in public elections.

Section 2 : Responsibility of the EAC

1.2 Under section 4(a) of the EACO, one of the functions of the EAC is to consider or review the boundaries of geographical constituencies (“GCs”) for the purpose of making recommendations on the boundaries and names of GCs for a Legislative Council (“LegCo”) general election.

1.3 The EAC is required under section 18 of the EACO to submit a report to the Chief Executive (“CE”) of its recommendations on the boundaries and names of each GC in relation to a LegCo general election within 36 months from the preceding LegCo general election. As the last LegCo general election was held on 4 September 2016, the EAC has to submit the report on the boundaries and names of the GCs for the 2020 LegCo General Election to the CE by 3 September 2019.

1.4 Under section 21 of the EACO, the CE-in-Council shall consider the EAC's report as soon as practicable after the receipt of it. Subject to the CE-in-Council's adoption of the boundaries and names as recommended by the EAC, the CE-in-Council, having regard to the EAC's final recommendations, will make and table at the LegCo the relevant Order according to sections 18(2) and 19(2) of the Legislative Council Ordinance (Chapter 542) ("LCO"). After the completion of the negative vetting procedure of the LegCo, the boundaries and names of the GCs will come into effect for the seventh LegCo general election to be held in the third quarter of 2020.

Section 3 : Scope of the Report

1.5 The scope and content of this report are based on the requirements stipulated under section 18 of the EACO. The report is published in two volumes. **Volume 1** mainly describes how the proposed delineation of the boundaries of GCs was worked out and sets out the EAC's recommendations on the boundaries and names of the GCs with the reasons for those recommendations. It also contains a summary of all written and oral representations made to the EAC and reproduces all the written representations. **Volume 2** contains the descriptions of the recommended GC boundaries and maps showing the boundaries and names of the recommended GCs.

CHAPTER 2

DELINEATION OF GEOGRAPHICAL CONSTITUENCIES

Section 1 : Statutory Requirements for Delineation

Provisions stipulated under the LCO

2.1 In making the recommendations in respect of the delineation of GC boundaries, the EAC is required to adhere to the following provisions stipulated under the LCO:

- (a) there are to be **5** GCs for the purpose of returning Members at elections for those constituencies [section 18(1) of the LCO];
- (b) at a general election, **35 Members** are to be returned for all GCs [section 19(1) of the LCO]; and
- (c) the number of Members to be returned for each GC is to be a number **not less than 5 nor greater than 9** [section 19(2) of the LCO].

Criteria stipulated under the EACO

2.2 In accordance with the EACO, the EAC shall:

- (a) ensure that the population in each proposed GC is as near as practicable to the number which results (i.e. “the resulting number”) when the population quota is multiplied by the number of Members to be returned to the LegCo by that GC [section 20(1)(a) of the EACO];
- (b) where it is not practicable to comply with (a) above in respect of a proposed GC, ensure that the population in that GC does not exceed or fall short of the resulting number applicable to that GC by more than 15% [section 20(1)(b) of the EACO]; and
- (c) ensure that each proposed GC is to be constituted by 2 or more contiguous whole District Council (“DC”) constituencies [section 20(2) of the EACO].

2.3 In making such recommendations, the EAC shall also have regard to:

- (a) community identities and the preservation of local ties [section 20(3)(a) of the EACO];

- (b) physical features (such as size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area or any part thereof [section 20(3)(b) of the EACO];
- (c) existing boundaries of administrative districts¹ [section 20(4)(a) of the EACO]; and
- (d) existing boundaries of GCs [section 20(4)(b) of the EACO].

2.4 The EAC may depart from the strict application of the requirements set out in paragraph 2.2(a) and (b) above only where it appears that a consideration referred to in paragraph 2.3(a) and (b) above renders such a departure necessary or desirable [section 20(5) of the EACO].

Section 2 : Working Principles

2.5 Apart from the statutory provisions and criteria set out above, the EAC has also adopted the following established working principles for the delineation exercise:

- (a) the existing boundaries of the 5 GCs should form the basis of consideration in the current delineation exercise;

¹ “Administrative districts” refers to the 18 Districts as set out in Part II of Schedule 1 to the District Councils Ordinance (Chapter 547).

- (b) for those existing GCs where the population falls within the permissible range of the population quota requirement, their boundaries will as far as possible be adopted to form new GCs;
- (c) Hong Kong Island (“HKI”), Kowloon and the New Territories are to be treated separately as far as possible having regard to the statutory criteria under the EACO;
- (d) when drawing up the boundaries of the GCs, splitting of DC constituencies in an administrative district should be avoided unless there are very strong reasons. Where splitting is necessary, it should affect the least number of administrative districts; and
- (e) factors with political implications are not considered.

2.6 The above working principles are generally the same as those adopted in the past. For item 2.5(c), the EAC has slightly revised the working principle concerned after review (please see paragraph 4.12 below for details).

Section 3 : Name and Code of GCs

2.7 In determining the name and code reference for the GCs, the EAC considers that the name of GCs should comprise two easily distinguished components, namely the name of the area in which the GC is situated and a directional reference, same as those adopted for the existing GCs (i.e. HKI, Kowloon West (“KW”), Kowloon East (“KE”), New Territories West (“NTW”) and New Territories East (“NTE”)). The GCs are also distinguished by a code and numbering system starting from “LC 1” and ending at “LC 5”, being arranged from south to north and from west to east. The EAC hopes that with the adoption of this naming and coding system, anyone who consults the maps would find it easier to understand and locate the GCs. These methods have been adopted by the EAC since the first LegCo general election in 1998.

Section 4 : Population Forecasts

2.8 Section 20(6) of the EACO stipulates that for the purpose of making recommendations on the delineation of GCs, the EAC shall endeavour to estimate the total population of Hong Kong or any proposed GC in the year in which LegCo general election is to be held.

2.9 As in the past, the necessary projected population figures are provided by an Ad Hoc Subgroup (“AHS”) set up specially for the purpose of the delineation exercise under the Working Group on Population

Distribution Projections in the Planning Department (“PlanD”). These population projection figures are the information required for conducting the delineation exercise. The AHSG was chaired by an Assistant Director of the PlanD and comprised of representatives from the Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau (“CMAB”), the Census and Statistics Department (“C&SD”), the Housing Department, the Lands Department (“LandsD”) and the Registration and Electoral Office (“REO”). Most of them are professional departments which have all along been responsible for carrying out territory-wide population censuses and projections on population distribution. To ensure that the projections can cater for the 2020 LegCo General Election, the AHSG was requested by the EAC to project the population distribution figures as at a date as close to the election date as practicable. For this reason, the AHSG had followed the practice in past delineation exercises and provided the EAC with the projected population figures as at **30 June 2020**, having considered that the 2020 LegCo General Election would be held in the third quarter of 2020.

2.10 On the basis of the latest statistics of population projections (2017-based) released by the C&SD, the AHSG provided the population forecasts for the delineation of GCs for the 2020 LegCo General Election. As at 30 June 2020, the total population of Hong Kong is projected at **7 558 100** and the **population quota** is therefore **215 946** for the purpose of this delineation exercise, which is the number resulted from Hong Kong’s total population (i.e. 7 558 100) divided by the total number of Members to be returned for all GCs (i.e. 35) as defined in section 17(1) of the EACO.

Section 5 : The Process of Delineation

2.11 According to the population forecasts mentioned in paragraph 2.10 above, and the statutory provisions and criteria as well as established working principles set out in paragraphs 2.1 to 2.5 above, the EAC drew up its provisional recommendations on the boundaries and names of GCs for the 2020 LegCo General Election.

2.12 In accordance with the law, the number of GCs for the purpose of returning Members at the 2020 LegCo General Election is 5. In respect of the delineation of GC boundaries, it is the EAC's established working principles that the existing boundaries of the 5 GCs should form the basis of consideration, and for those existing GCs where the projected population falls within the statutory permissible range of the population quota requirement, their boundaries will as far as possible be adopted to form new GCs. According to the above working principles and based on the latest projected population figures, the EAC has allocated the 35 seats to the existing 5 GCs by using the established two-step calculation method.

2.13 The two-step calculation method for allocating the seats is detailed below:

- (a) Step One: Seats were allocated among the 5 GCs by dividing the projected population of each GC by the population quota, i.e. 215 946 and thereafter, allocating the seats according to the integer of the calculated number subject to the statutory limits (i.e. not less than 5 seats nor

greater than 9 seats for each GC). Accordingly, 32 seats were first allocated to the 5 GCs.

- (b) Step Two: There were 3 seats remaining after Step One. Since the number of seats allocated to NTW GC has reached the upper limit for returning Members in each GC (i.e. 9) as permitted under the statutory requirement of the LCO in Step One, NTW GC would not be included in the allocation of seats in Step Two. In other words, the remaining 3 seats could only be allocated to 3 of the 4 GCs of which the allocated seats have not yet reached the statutory upper limit. Under such circumstances, there were 4 options for allocation, as shown in the “Descriptions of the Proposed GC Boundaries” contained in the consultation document prepared by the EAC, and the options are tabulated in **Appendix I** of this report. Having examined the 4 options, the EAC adopted the one with the smallest range of percentage deviation of the population from the resulting number in the individual GCs (i.e. Option C).

2.14 The number of seats proposed to be allocated to each GC in accordance with the aforesaid method is as follows:

| Proposed Name of GC (Code) | Projected Population | Seats Allocated in Step One | Seats Allocated in Step Two | Proposed Number of Seats |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| HKI (LC 1) | 1 232 700 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| KW (LC 2) | 1 205 300 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| KE (LC 3) | 1 120 800 | 5 | - | 5 |
| NTW (LC 4) | 2 174 700 | 9 | - | 9 |
| NTE (LC 5) | 1 824 600 | 8 | 1 | 9 |
| Total: | 7 558 100 | 32 | 3 | 35 |

Details of the calculation are shown in **Appendix I**.

2.15 Under the existing boundaries, the projected populations of the 5 GCs are all within the statutory permissible range. All along, for those GCs or DC constituencies which do fall within the statutory permissible range, the EAC will not on its own initiative adjust their boundaries. In addition, according to the experience in past delineation exercises, especially the delineation of constituencies for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the public has prevalently advocated maintenance of the status quo of DC constituency boundaries as far as possible, and that the boundaries of the existing 5 GCs have been adopted since the first LegCo general election in 1998, hence, the EAC proposed the adoption of the boundaries of the existing 5 GCs as the GC boundaries for the 2020 LegCo General Election under the

provisional recommendations.

2.16 The provisional recommendations of the EAC satisfied all the statutory provisions and criteria as well as established working principles set out in paragraphs 2.1 to 2.5 above. As the adoption of the boundaries of the existing 5 GCs is proposed, the EAC also proposes the adoption of the names and codes of the existing 5 GCs.

Section 6 : Provisional Recommendations

2.17 After the EAC has drawn up the provisional recommendations on the GC boundaries, the EAC Secretariat has prepared for the public consultation exercise. The public consultation period ran from 8 May 2019 to 6 June 2019. Details of the EAC's provisional recommendations, including the projected population and component District Council Constituency Areas ("DCCAs") of each GC, are set out in **Appendix II**.

CHAPTER 3

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Section 1 : Consultation Period and Public Forum

3.1 Pursuant to the provision of section 19 of the EACO, the EAC conducted a public consultation exercise on its provisional recommendations from 8 May 2019 to 6 June 2019 (a period of 30 days). During this period, members of the public could send in their written representations to the EAC to express their views on the EAC's provisional recommendations on the boundaries and names of the GCs.

3.2 Throughout the public consultation period, descriptions of the proposed GC boundaries, together with the method for the allocation of seats, component administrative districts and DCCAs, and maps showing the proposed boundaries of the GCs were available for public inspection at the Home Affairs Enquiry Centres of all District Offices, the REO, Philatelic Offices of Hongkong Post, management offices of all public housing estates, and all major and district public libraries. The information was also uploaded onto the EAC's website for public viewing.

3.3 The consultation document has contained the Message from the EAC Chairman, explaining to the public the statutory provisions and criteria as well as working principles adopted by the EAC in delineating the GC

boundaries, and also the justifications for the provisional recommendations.

3.4 The public consultation exercise was widely publicised by the EAC through Announcements in the Public Interest on radio and TV, press releases, newspaper advertisements, posters, the EAC's website and Government Gazette.

3.5 On the first day of the consultation period (i.e. 8 May 2019), the EAC held a press conference to launch the exercise, and invited the public to give their views on the EAC's provisional recommendations. To ensure that its final recommendations can fully take into account the public opinions, the EAC appealed to the public to actively participate in the consultation and express their views for or against the provisional recommendations.

3.6 A public forum was conducted from 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. on 16 May 2019 at the Lai Chi Kok Community Hall, 863 Lai Chi Kok Road, Sham Shui Po, Kowloon, where members of the public could express their oral representations to the EAC directly. Audio-visual aids showing the maps and other relevant information were used to facilitate the participants to better understand the content of the provisional recommendations.

3.7 The REO attended a meeting of the LegCo Panel on Constitutional Affairs on 20 May 2019 to brief LegCo Members on the delineation exercise and listen to their views on the EAC's provisional recommendations.

Section 2 : Number of Representations Received

3.8 During the consultation period, the EAC has received a total of 12 written representations. Besides, nine persons attended the public forum held on 16 May 2019. Four oral representations were received at the forum.

3.9 Among the representations received by the EAC, some of the views were not related to the boundaries or names of the GCs but concerned other matters such as boundaries of the administrative districts, the number of GCs and the upper or lower limit of seats permitted for each GC. The EAC has referred the relevant representations to the Government for reference.

3.10 All the written representations are recorded in Part II of this volume. A summary of all written and oral representations is also provided in **Appendix III** of this volume.

CHAPTER 4

CONSIDERATION OF REPRESENTATIONS

Section 1 : Deliberations and Observations

4.1 After the public consultation period has ended, the EAC has looked into each of the written and oral representations (including the views expressed by LegCo Members at the meeting of the Panel on Constitutional Affairs held on 20 May 2019) to consider whether they should be accepted.

4.2 As with past delineation exercises, when deliberating on the representations received, the EAC has adopted the same set of statutory provisions and criteria as well as working principles adopted in drawing up the provisional recommendations (see Chapter 2) to examine the grounds put forward in the representations in a prudent manner.

4.3 In drawing up the provisional recommendations and deliberating on the representations, the EAC has adopted basically the same approach as in previous delineation exercises. Regarding the views expressed in the representations, the EAC has noted the following matters, and set out its observations, so that the public may fully understand the factors that have been taken into consideration by the EAC.

(a) Number of GCs

4.4 The “principle of equal representation” is an important consideration in the delineation of GC boundaries. The underlying concept is that similar numbers of people should have equal numbers of representatives. In this regard, the EACO has set out the statutory criteria (see paragraph 2.2 above) for delineating GC boundaries and the EAC shall ensure that the population in each proposed GC is as near its resulting number as practicable. However, owing to the situation of population distribution in Hong Kong, it is not practicable for the population of each GC to strictly adhere to its resulting number. Hence, the statutory criteria also allow the population of a GC to exceed or fall short of its resulting number by not more than 15%, i.e. the statutory permissible range of deviation.

4.5 During the present consultation period, the EAC has received quite a number of representations proposing an increase in the number of GCs. The majority of the representations pointed out that NTW GC has a relatively large projected population, and when dividing the projected population of that GC by the population quota in accordance with the EAC’s established method for the allocation of seats, the result is that its entitled number of seats should be 10. However, as the statutory upper limit of seats for each GC is capped at 9 under the LCO, only 9 seats could be allocated to NTW GC. As a result, its percentage deviation of the population from the resulting number reached +11.90%. The representations pointed out that under such circumstance, NTW GC could not be allocated with the number

of seats it should have, and therefore it was proposed that one more GC should be added in the New Territories so as to achieve a more even distribution of population among the GCs in the New Territories, and to resolve the problem of allocation of seats in NTW GC in the event that the statutory upper limit be exceeded because of its large population. These proposals made in the representations included combining the Tuen Mun and Yuen Long Districts of NTW GC and the North and Tai Po Districts of NTE GC into a new GC named “New Territories North”, or making the Islands District an additional individual GC, etc.

4.6 In delineating the LegCo GC boundaries, the EAC must strictly adhere to the requirements prescribed in the LCO, whereby section 18 stipulates that there are 5 LegCo GCs at present. This requirement is a statutory pre-condition for the EAC, as to which the EAC has no authority to make any variation. Therefore, under the circumstance that there is no increase in the number of GCs under the law, the EAC cannot add new GCs to the New Territories, which will make the total number of GCs in Hong Kong exceed the statutory number of 5. As the representations regarding the number of GCs involve amendment to the LCO, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant views to the CMAB for consideration.

4.7 The EAC also noted the point in the representations that the number of seats of NTW GC should have been 10 but only 9 seats could be allocated to it due to the statutory upper limit of seats. However, as a matter

of fact, in strictly adhering to the statutory requirement on the number of Members to be returned for each GC (i.e. a number not less than 5 nor greater than 9), after applying the calculation method mentioned in paragraph 2.13 above, the percentage deviation of the population from the resulting number for NTW GC under the provisional recommendations was still within the statutory permissible range. The existing boundary of NTW GC therefore has complied with the statutory requirements (including the 15% statutory permissible range of deviation), and adjustment will not be necessary.

4.8 Notwithstanding the above, the EAC understands that the public has all along been concerned with the growing projected population in NTW GC. As such, the EAC did re-examine the situation of NTW GC before reaching the present provisional recommendations, and to see if one of the administrative districts in NTW GC could be transferred to another GC contiguous to the administrative district concerned so as to reduce the projected population of NTW GC. There were 7 options as listed below. However, they were either considered not feasible under the law or not recommended by the EAC. Details are as follows:

2 options infeasible under the law

| Option | Administrative district involved in the adjustment | Reasons for being infeasible |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Transferring the Kwai Tsing District from NTW GC to NTE GC | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Under this option, the percentage deviation of NTE GC (+20.12%) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. |

| Option | Administrative district involved in the adjustment | Reasons for being infeasible |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Transferring the Yuen Long District from NTW GC to NTE GC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Under this option, the percentage deviation of NTE GC (+27.36%) will exceed the statutory permissible upper limit. |

5 options possible under the law but not recommended by the EAC

| Option | Administrative district involved in the adjustment | If the option is adopted, the GCs having the highest/lowest percentage deviation of population | | Reasons for not being recommended by the EAC |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Lowest | Highest | |
| 1 | Transferring the Islands District from NTW GC to NTE GC | -6.98% (KW) | +3.80% (KE) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The existing NTE GC has a very wide expanse. After the adjustment under Option 1, the newly delineated area of the GC will be further enlarged; and Lantau Island spans over two administrative districts, namely Tsuen Wan and Islands. The north-eastern part of Lantau Island (e.g. Sunny Bay, etc.) belongs to the Tsuen Wan District while the rest (e.g. Tung Chung, Mui Wo, etc.) belongs to the Islands District. Currently, both the Tsuen |

| Option | Administrative district involved in the adjustment | If the option is adopted, the GCs having the highest/lowest percentage deviation of population | | Reasons for not being recommended by the EAC |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Lowest | Highest | |
| | | | | Wan and Islands Districts are within NTW GC. Therefore, Option 1 will split Lantau Island into two different GCs, i.e. the area other than the north-eastern part of Lantau Island will be transferred to NTE GC while the north-eastern part will remain in NTW GC. |
| 2 | Transferring the Tsuen Wan District from NTW GC to NTE GC | -6.98% (KW) | +10.18% (NTE) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Tsuen Wan District covers the north-eastern part of Lantau Island (e.g. Sunny Bay, etc.), and both the Tsuen Wan and Islands Districts are currently within NTW GC. • By transferring the Tsuen Wan District to NTE GC, Option 2 will split Lantau Island into two different GCs, i.e. the north-eastern part of Lantau Island (the Tsuen Wan District) will be transferred to NTE GC while the rest (the Islands District) will remain in NTW GC. |

| Option | Administrative district involved in the adjustment | If the option is adopted, the GCs having the highest/lowest percentage deviation of population | | Reasons for not being recommended by the EAC |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Lowest | Highest | |
| 3 | Transferring the Kwai Tsing District from NTW GC to KW GC | -4.86% (HKI) | +5.62% (NTE) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on the existing boundaries of the 5 GCs, the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number for the 5 GCs are all within the statutory permissible range; and Transferring an administrative district from the New Territories to Kowloon does not accord with the working principle for delineation (i.e. HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories are to be treated separately as far as possible having regard to the statutory criteria). |

| Option | Administrative district involved in the adjustment | If the option is adopted, the GCs having the highest/lowest percentage deviation of population | | Reasons for not being recommended by the EAC |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Lowest | Highest | |
| 4 | Transferring the Kwai Tsing District from NTW GC to HKI GC | -6.98% (KW) | +5.62% (NTE) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on the existing boundaries of the 5 GCs, the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number for the 5 GCs are all within the statutory permissible range; • Transferring an administrative district from the New Territories to HKI does not accord with the working principle for delineation (i.e. HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories are to be treated separately as far as possible having regard to the statutory criteria); and • Traditionally, HKI is self-contained. |
| 5 | Transferring the Islands District from NTW GC to HKI GC | -6.98% (KW) | +5.62% (NTE) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lantau Island spans over two administrative districts, namely Tsuen Wan and Islands. Similar to the reasons for not adopting Options 1 and 2, Option 5 will split Lantau Island into two different GCs, i.e. the area |

| Option | Administrative district involved in the adjustment | If the option is adopted, the GCs having the highest/lowest percentage deviation of population | | Reasons for not being recommended by the EAC |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Lowest | Highest | |
| | | | | <p>other than the north-eastern part of Lantau Island will be transferred to HKI GC while the north-eastern part will remain in NTW GC;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on the existing boundaries of the 5 GCs, the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number for the 5 GCs are all within the statutory permissible range; • Transferring an administrative district from the New Territories to HKI does not accord with the working principle for delineation (i.e. HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories are to be treated separately as far as possible having regard to the statutory criteria); and • Traditionally, HKI is self-contained. |

4.9 To sum up, although the options above permissible under the law may yield a smaller percentage deviation of the projected population from the resulting number for individual GCs than that of the provisional recommendations [-6.98% (lowest) to +11.90% (highest)], these options will either split Lantau Island into different GCs or involve the transfer of an administrative district in the New Territories to HKI or KW GC, deviating from the EAC's working principle of treating HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories separately as far as possible having regard to the statutory criteria under the EACO. Furthermore, as mentioned in paragraph 2.15 above, all along, the EAC will not on its own initiative adjust the boundaries of those GCs or DC constituencies which do fall within the statutory permissible range. According to the experience in past delineation exercises, especially the delineation of constituencies for the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, the public has prevalently advocated maintenance of the status quo of DC constituency boundaries as far as possible. As the boundaries of the existing 5 GCs have been adopted since the first LegCo general election in 1998, the EAC considers that adjusting the existing boundaries simply for the sake of reducing the percentage deviations of the population among the 5 GCs where the population do fall within the statutory permissible range does not accord with the EAC's working principle, and would alter the accustomed GC boundaries for members of the public.

(b) Number of Seats

4.10 In view of the projected population growth in NTW GC, apart from the above representations asking for an increase in the number of GCs, there were also representations seeking to have more seats allocated to NTW GC by revising the maximum number of Members to be returned for each GC to 10. As explained above, the EAC must strictly adhere to the requirements prescribed under the LCO in drawing up the delineation proposals. At present, section 19 of the LCO stipulates that the number of Members to be returned for each GC is to be a number not less than 5 nor greater than 9. As the representations regarding the number of seats involve amendment to the LCO, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the relevant views have been referred to the CMAB for consideration.

(c) Working Principles

4.11 Besides, there were representations questioning the EAC's working principle of treating HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories separately. Amongst them, there was a comment that the principle is not in line with the changing needs of the community because the boundaries of many areas are not as clear cut as in the past due to rapid urban development in Hong Kong.

4.12 As a matter of fact, prior to the commencement of the present delineation exercise, the EAC did review the working principles to be adopted

in the delineation exercise, including the one mentioned in the above representations. While the EAC agrees that on-going development in community infrastructure and transport network may increase connections of HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories, in particular their bordering areas, the EAC also notes that the boundaries and names of the existing GCs were drawn up according to this working principle in the first LegCo general election in 1998 and have been used since then. Members of the public are already used to the conventional division of HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories as three distinct components. In this connection, the EAC considers that HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories should be treated separately as far as possible having regard to the statutory criteria under the EACO (in particular the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number of the GCs are all within the 15% statutory permissible range). After cautious assessment of the above considerations, when drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC has accordingly revised the working principle concerned from the original working principle of “HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories are to be treated separately, as these areas are traditionally regarded as distinct from one another” to read as “HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories are to be treated separately as far as possible having regard to the statutory criteria under the EACO”.

(d) Others

4.13 The EAC has also received other views apart from the major representations above. Some of the representations proposed to split one or

more administrative districts and transfer certain areas to contiguous GCs, such as transferring islands other than Lantau Island of the Islands District from NTW GC to HKI GC, or transferring the area of Tseung Kwan O of the Sai Kung District from NTE GC to KE GC, etc. Furthermore, there were also representations involving the adjustment of the boundaries of administrative districts, increase in the number of LegCo functional constituency seats, and amendment to the calculation method of the proportional representation system, etc.

4.14 In respect of the above proposals of adjusting the GC boundaries, for the delineation of GC boundaries for the 2020 LegCo General Election, the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number of the existing 5 GCs are all within the 15% permissible range stipulated under section 20(1)(b) of the EACO as calculated with the latest projected population figures. Adjustment to their existing boundaries will not be necessary in principle. Furthermore, according to the established working principles, the EAC should avoid the splitting of DC constituencies in an administrative district when drawing up the boundaries of the GCs in order to maintain their local integrity. As for the other proposals which involve the adjustment of the boundaries of administrative districts and amendment to the existing electoral system, since they are related to the primary legislation, which do not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant views to the Government for consideration.

(e) Conclusion

4.15 In conclusion, the EAC must strictly adhere to the requirements prescribed in the LCO on the number of GCs and seats, and has no authority to make any variation. As for the proposals of adjusting the existing GC boundaries, the EAC would like to reiterate that, with the projected populations of the existing 5 GCs all fall within the statutory permissible range, adjustment to their boundaries is not necessary in principle. To avoid altering unnecessarily the GC boundaries accustomed to by members of the public, the EAC does not recommend changing the existing boundaries unless the projected populations of the GCs no longer meet the statutory criteria or there are overwhelming reasons to support the re-delineation of GCs.

Section 2 : The Recommendations

4.16 Having considered the representations received, the EAC examined and made its final recommendations at its meeting held on 25 July 2019. The EAC's views on the representations are set out in the last column of **Appendix III**.

4.17 As explained in Section 5 of Chapter 2 above, the EAC's provisional recommendations has satisfied all the statutory provisions and criteria as well as established working principles. All public representations received during the consultation period (including supporting and opposing views as well as specific proposals given in the representations) have been

taken into account by the EAC. After weighing and balancing all relevant considerations, the EAC has decided to adopt its provisional recommendations as the final recommendations (i.e. adopting the boundaries, names and codes of the existing 5 GCs, and the allocation of seats resulted from the calculation using the latest projected population figures).

4.18 The final recommendations in respect of the 5 GCs, including the number of seats, names, codes, component DCCAs and projected population details for each recommended GC, and the maps showing the boundaries and names of the recommended GCs are contained in **Volume 2** of this report.

CHAPTER 5

A CONCLUDING NOTE

Section 1 : Acknowledgements

5.1 With the completion of this delineation exercise, the EAC would like to express its gratitude towards the following government departments for their contributions: the AHSG for its provision of the projected population figures; the LandsD for its production of maps for the production of the consultation materials and the report; the Information Services Department for its contribution to the publicity programme relating to the consultation exercise; the Government Logistics Department for the printing of the consultation materials and this report; and the Home Affairs Department for the provision of venue for holding the public forum and its assistance to distribute the consultation documents and publicity posters.

5.2 The EAC is particularly thankful to the EAC Secretariat for their dedicated and concerted efforts in the preparation and support for the delineation exercise.

5.3 The EAC is most grateful to those members of the public for their representations, put forth in writing or orally at the public forum.

Section 2 : Conclusion

5.4 As in previous delineation exercises, the EAC has adhered to the statutory provisions and criteria as well as its working principles in this delineation exercise. As always, the EAC has paid no regard to any suggestions with political implications or those which are not relevant to the statutory requirements.

5.5 Delineation of GC boundaries is an integral part of a LegCo general election. The EAC is committed to conducting each and every election under its supervision in an open, fair and honest manner. The EAC has all the time held on to this important principle in this delineation exercise.

Method for Allocation of Seats

| Proposed name of Geographical Constituency ("GC") (Code) | Component administrative district | (A) Projected population as at 30 June 2020 | (B) Allocated number of seats (according to the integer of the calculated number) = population of GC ÷ population quota ^{Note 1} | (C) Entitled number of seats subject to the statutory limits ^{Note 2} | (D) Allocation of remaining seats ^{Note 3} | (E) Total number of seats in 2020 = (C)+(D) | (F) Resulting number = (E) x population quota | (G) % of deviation from resulting number ^{Note 4} = $\frac{[(A)-(F)]}{(F)} \times 100\%$ |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| HONG KONG ISLAND (LC 1) | Central & Western | 239 500 | | | | | | |
| | Wan Chai | 175 700 | | | | | | |
| | Eastern | 547 900 | | | | | | |
| | Southern | 269 600 | | | | | | |
| | Sub-total: | 1 232 700 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 295 676 | -4.86% |
| KOWLOON WEST (LC 2) | Yau Tsim Mong | 328 100 | | | | | | |
| | Sham Shui Po | 447 800 | | | | | | |
| | Kowloon City | 429 400 | | | | | | |
| | Sub-total: | 1 205 300 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 295 676 | -6.98% |
| KOWLOON EAST (LC 3) | Wong Tai Sin | 425 200 | | | | | | |
| | Kwun Tong | 695 600 | | | | | | |
| | Sub-total: | 1 120 800 | 5 | 5 | - | 5 | 1 079 730 | +3.80% |
| NEW TERRITORIES WEST (LC 4) | Tsuen Wan | 316 800 | | | | | | |
| | Tuen Mun | 508 800 | | | | | | |
| | Yuen Long | 650 700 | | | | | | |
| | Kwai Tsing | 509 900 | | | | | | |
| | Islands | 188 500 | | | | | | |
| | Sub-total: | 2 174 700 | 10 | 9 | - | 9 | 1 943 514 | +11.90% |
| NEW TERRITORIES EAST (LC 5) | North | 325 100 | | | | | | |
| | Tai Po | 312 300 | | | | | | |
| | Sha Tin | 706 000 | | | | | | |
| | Sai Kung | 481 200 | | | | | | |
| | Sub-total: | 1 824 600 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 1 943 514 | -6.12% |
| TOTAL: | | 7 558 100 | - | 32 | 3 | 35 | | |

Note:

1. For the purpose of this delineation exercise, the “population quota” is the total population of Hong Kong divided by the total number of Members to be returned for all GCs, i.e. $7\,558\,100 \div 35 = 215\,946$.
2. In accordance with section 19(2) of the Legislative Council Ordinance (Chapter 542) (“LCO”), the number of Members to be returned for each GC is to be a number, not less than 5 nor greater than 9.
3. Since New Territories West GC has been allocated the maximum number of seats for a GC (i.e. 9) as permitted under the statutory requirement of the LCO, the remaining 3 seats can only be allocated to the other 4 GCs. There are 4 options for allocation as shown below:

| Option | A | B | C | D |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Proposed name of GC (Code) | | | | |
| HONG KONG ISLAND (LC 1) | [5]+(1) {-4.86%} | [5]+(1) {-4.86%} | [5]+(1) {-4.86%} | [5] {+14.17%} |
| KOWLOON WEST (LC 2) | [5]+(1) {-6.98%} | [5] {+11.63%} | [5]+(1) {-6.98%} | [5]+(1) {-6.98%} |
| KOWLOON EAST (LC 3) | [5]+(1) {-13.50%} | [5]+(1) {-13.50%} | [5] {+3.80%} | [5]+(1) {-13.50%} |
| NEW TERRITORIES WEST (LC 4) | [9] {+11.90%} | [9] {+11.90%} | [9] {+11.90%} | [9] {+11.90%} |
| NEW TERRITORIES EAST (LC 5) | [8] {+5.62%} | [8]+(1) {-6.12%} | [8]+(1) {-6.12%} | [8]+(1) {-6.12%} |

Among the 4 options, Option C has the smallest range of percentage deviations of the population from the resulting number in the individual GCs, i.e. the variation between individual GCs in terms of the number of persons represented by a Legislative Council (“LegCo”) seat is reduced to a minimum. Accordingly, the remaining 3 seats will be allocated to Hong Kong Island, Kowloon West and New Territories East GCs.

[] number of entitled seats

() number of remaining seats to be allocated

{ } % of deviation of the population from the resulting number

4. The Electoral Affairs Commission (“EAC”) has used the existing 5 GC boundaries for the seat allocation and the percentage deviations of the population from the resulting number for the 5 GCs are all within the statutory permissible range (i.e. the population in that GC does not exceed or fall short of the resulting number applicable to that GC by more than 15%). As such, the EAC recommends the adoption of the existing 5 GC boundaries as the proposed GC boundaries for the 2020 LegCo General Election.

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of Geographical Constituency ("GC") | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 District Council Constituency Areas ("DCCAs") included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| LC 1 | 香港島 Hong Kong Island (包括中西區、 灣仔、東區及 南區四區) (Including the four administrative districts of Central & Western, Wan Chai, Eastern and Southern) | 中西區 中環 半山東 衛城 山頂 大學 觀龍 堅摩 西環 寶翠 石塘咀 西營盤 上環 東華 正街 水街 | Central & Western Chung Wan Mid Levels East Castle Road Peak University Kwun Lung Kennedy Town & Mount Davis Sai Wan Belcher Shek Tong Tsui Sai Ying Pun Sheung Wan Tung Wah Centre Street Water Street | 1 232 700 | -4.86% |
| | | | | 239 500 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | <u>灣仔</u> | <u>Wan Chai</u> | | | |
| | 軒尼詩 | Hennessy | 12 500 | | |
| | 愛群 | Oi Kwan | 12 300 | | |
| | 鵝頸 | Canal Road | 12 300 | | |
| | 銅鑼灣 | Causeway Bay | 12 700 | | |
| | 維園 | Victoria Park | 13 300 | | |
| | 天后 | Tin Hau | 14 900 | | |
| | 大坑 | Tai Hang | 13 500 | | |
| | 渣甸山 | Jardine's Lookout | 14 900 | | |
| | 樂活 | Broadwood | 13 400 | | |
| | 跑馬地 | Happy Valley | 13 200 | | |
| | 司徒拔道 | Stubbs Road | 15 000 | | |
| | 修頓 | Southern | 14 500 | | |
| | 大佛口 | Tai Fat Hau | 13 200 | | |
| | | | 175 700 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 東區 | Eastern | | | |
| | 太古城西 | Tai Koo Shing West | 17 500 | | |
| | 太古城東 | Tai Koo Shing East | 17 900 | | |
| | 鯉景灣 | Lei King Wan | 20 400 | | |
| | 西灣河 | Sai Wan Ho | 20 000 | | |
| | 愛秩序灣 | Aldrich Bay | 17 600 | | |
| | 筲箕灣 | Shaukeiwan | 14 500 | | |
| | 阿公岩 | A Kung Ngam | 19 600 | | |
| | 杏花邨 | Heng Fa Chuen | 18 400 | | |
| | 翠灣 | Tsui Wan | 12 500 | | |
| | 欣藍 | Yan Lam | 16 000 | | |
| | 小西灣 | Siu Sai Wan | 12 300 | | |
| | 景怡 | King Yee | 14 700 | | |
| | 環翠 | Wan Tsui | 15 400 | | |
| | 翡翠 | Fei Tsui | 15 200 | | |
| | 柏架山 | Mount Parker | 12 700 | | |
| | 寶馬山 | Braemar Hill | 16 100 | | |
| | 炮台山 | Fortress Hill | 15 800 | | |
| | 城市花園 | City Garden | 17 200 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 和富 | Provident | 21 100 | | |
| | 堡壘 | Fort Street | 16 000 | | |
| | 錦屏 | Kam Ping | 15 900 | | |
| | 丹拿 | Tanner | 16 500 | | |
| | 健康村 | Healthy Village | 14 600 | | |
| | 鰂魚涌 | Quarry Bay | 13 000 | | |
| | 南豐 | Nam Fung | 13 600 | | |
| | 康怡 | Kornhill | 14 400 | | |
| | 康山 | Kornhill Garden | 13 700 | | |
| | 興東 | Hing Tung | 17 900 | | |
| | 下耀東 | Lower Yiu Tung | 17 000 | | |
| | 上耀東 | Upper Yiu Tung | 13 000 | | |
| | 興民 | Hing Man | 14 400 | | |
| | 樂康 | Lok Hong | 12 600 | | |
| | 翠德 | Tsui Tak | 12 500 | | |
| | 漁灣 | Yue Wan | 14 700 | | |
| | 佳曉 | Kai Hiu | 13 200 | | |
| | | | 547 900 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 南區 | <u>Southern</u> | | | |
| | 香港仔 | Aberdeen | | 19 100 | |
| | 鴨脷洲邨 | Ap Lei Chau Estate | | 12 000 | |
| | 鴨脷洲北 | Ap Lei Chau North | | 13 600 | |
| | 利東一 | Lei Tung I | | 13 700 | |
| | 利東二 | Lei Tung II | | 14 400 | |
| | 海怡東 | South Horizons East | | 14 700 | |
| | 海怡西 | South Horizons West | | 14 700 | |
| | 華貴 | Wah Kwai | | 13 700 | |
| | 華富南 | Wah Fu South | | 12 800 | |
| | 華富北 | Wah Fu North | | 14 000 | |
| | 薄扶林 | Pokfulam | | 20 900 | |
| | 置富 | Chi Fu | | 16 100 | |
| | 田灣 | Tin Wan | | 18 300 | |
| | 石漁 | Shek Yue | | 15 500 | |
| | 黃竹坑 | Wong Chuk Hang | | 17 200 | |
| | 海灣 | Bays Area | | 18 500 | |
| | 赤柱及石澳 | Stanley & Shek O | | 20 400 | |
| | | | | 269 600 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| LC 2 | 九龍西 Kowloon West (包括油尖旺、 深水埗及九龍城 三區) (Including the three administrative districts of Yau Tsim Mong, Sham Shui Po and Kowloon City) | <u>油尖旺</u> 尖沙咀西 九龍站 佐敦西 油麻地南 富榮 旺角西 富柏 奧運 櫻桃 大角咀南 大角咀北 大南 旺角北 旺角東 旺角南 油麻地北 | <u>Yau Tsim Mong</u> Tsim Sha Tsui West Kowloon Station Jordan West Yau Ma Tei South Charming Mong Kok West Fu Pak Olympic Cherry Tai Kok Tsui South Tai Kok Tsui North Tai Nan Mong Kok North Mong Kok East Mong Kok South Yau Ma Tei North | 1 205 300 | -6.98% |
| | | | | 12 600 17 400 16 600 19 000 16 200 16 100 18 800 17 200 15 600 14 500 20 400 20 200 18 700 16 400 16 800 12 600 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 尖東及京士柏 | East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park | 14 400 | | |
| | 佐敦北 | Jordan North | 17 600 | | |
| | 佐敦南 | Jordan South | 12 600 | | |
| | 尖沙咀中 | Tsim Sha Tsui Central | 14 400 | | |
| | | | 328 100 | | |
| | <u>深水埗</u> | <u>Sham Shui Po</u> | | | |
| | 寶麗 | Po Lai | 17 900 | | |
| | 長沙灣 | Cheung Sha Wan | 15 700 | | |
| | 南昌北 | Nam Cheong North | 19 300 | | |
| | 石硤尾 | Shek Kip Mei | 19 000 | | |
| | 南昌東 | Nam Cheong East | 22 500 | | |
| | 南昌南 | Nam Cheong South | 19 700 | | |
| | 南昌中 | Nam Cheong Central | 19 700 | | |
| | 南昌西 | Nam Cheong West | 20 700 | | |
| | 富昌 | Fu Cheong | 18 500 | | |
| | 麗閣 | Lai Kok | 13 000 | | |
| | 幸福 | Fortune | 23 400 | | |
| | 碧匯 | Pik Wui | 24 300 | | |
| | 荔枝角中 | Lai Chi Kok Central | 18 800 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 荔枝角南 | Lai Chi Kok South | 16 700 | | |
| | 美孚南 | Mei Foo South | 16 400 | | |
| | 美孚中 | Mei Foo Central | 12 600 | | |
| | 美孚北 | Mei Foo North | 15 700 | | |
| | 荔枝角北 | Lai Chi Kok North | 15 600 | | |
| | 元州 | Un Chau | 18 300 | | |
| | 蘇屋 | So Uk | 19 200 | | |
| | 李鄭屋 | Lei Cheng Uk | 13 300 | | |
| | 龍坪及上白田 | Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin | 11 500 | | |
| | 下白田 | Ha Pak Tin | 22 000 | | |
| | 又一村 | Yau Yat Tsuen | 14 500 | | |
| | 南山、大坑東 及大坑西 | Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai | 19 500 | | |
| | | | 447 800 | | |
| | 九龍城 | <u>Kowloon City</u> | | | |
| | 馬頭圍 | Ma Tau Wai | 20 400 | | |
| | 宋皇臺 | Sung Wong Toi | 20 300 | | |
| | 馬坑涌 | Ma Hang Chung | 20 700 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 馬頭角 | Ma Tau Kok | 14 400 | | |
| | 樂民 | Lok Man | 15 200 | | |
| | 常樂 | Sheung Lok | 22 100 | | |
| | 何文田 | Ho Man Tin | 19 600 | | |
| | 嘉道理 | Kadoorie | 19 100 | | |
| | 太子 | Prince | 14 900 | | |
| | 九龍塘 | Kowloon Tong | 20 100 | | |
| | 龍城 | Lung Shing | 15 500 | | |
| | 啟德北 | Kai Tak North | 13 400 | | |
| | 啟德東 | Kai Tak East | 12 900 | | |
| | 啟德中及南 | Kai Tak Central & South | 17 300 | | |
| | 海心 | Hoi Sham | 14 900 | | |
| | 土瓜灣北 | To Kwa Wan North | 14 500 | | |
| | 土瓜灣南 | To Kwa Wan South | 16 700 | | |
| | 鶴園海逸 | Hok Yuen Laguna Verde | 18 800 | | |
| | 黃埔東 | Whampoa East | 17 400 | | |
| | 黃埔西 | Whampoa West | 20 700 | | |
| | 紅磡灣 | Hung Hom Bay | 18 300 | | |
| | 紅磡 | Hung Hom | 13 800 | | |
| | 家維 | Ka Wai | 19 800 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | | 愛民 | Oi Man | 15 700 | |
| | | 愛俊 | Oi Chun | 12 900 | |
| | | | | 429 400 | |
| LC 3 | 九龍東 Kowloon East (包括黃大仙 及觀塘兩區) (Including the two administrative districts of Wong Tai Sin and Kwun Tong) | 黃大仙 | Wong Tai Sin | | 1 120 800 |
| | | 龍趣 | Lung Tsui | 16 200 | |
| | | 龍下 | Lung Ha | 16 600 | |
| | | 龍上 | Lung Sheung | 19 300 | |
| | | 鳳凰 | Fung Wong | 15 000 | |
| | | 鳳德 | Fung Tak | 15 500 | |
| | | 龍星 | Lung Sing | 19 000 | |
| | | 新蒲崗 | San Po Kong | 19 900 | |
| | | 東頭 | Tung Tau | 17 900 | |
| | | 東美 | Tung Mei | 15 900 | |
| | | 樂富 | Lok Fu | 13 900 | |
| | | 橫頭磡 | Wang Tau Hom | 16 700 | |
| | | 天強 | Tin Keung | 13 800 | |
| | | 翠竹及鵬程 | Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching | 17 500 | +3.80% |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 竹園南 | Chuk Yuen South | 15 200 | | |
| | 竹園北 | Chuk Yuen North | 15 000 | | |
| | 慈雲西 | Tsz Wan West | 20 000 | | |
| | 正愛 | Ching Oi | 20 600 | | |
| | 正安 | Ching On | 22 100 | | |
| | 慈雲東 | Tsz Wan East | 20 400 | | |
| | 瓊富 | King Fu | 20 400 | | |
| | 彩雲東 | Choi Wan East | 13 900 | | |
| | 彩雲南 | Choi Wan South | 12 400 | | |
| | 彩雲西 | Choi Wan West | 13 200 | | |
| | 池彩 | Chi Choi | 20 100 | | |
| | 彩虹 | Choi Hung | 14 700 | | |
| | | | 425 200 | | |
| | <u>觀塘</u> | <u>Kwun Tong</u> | | | |
| | 觀塘中心 | Kwun Tong Central | 16 600 | | |
| | 九龍灣 | Kowloon Bay | 13 200 | | |
| | 啟業 | Kai Yip | 20 200 | | |
| | 麗晶 | Lai Ching | 15 400 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 坪石 | Ping Shek | 16 400 | | |
| | 彩德 | Choi Tak | 17 500 | | |
| | 佐敦谷 | Jordan Valley | 20 200 | | |
| | 順天 | Shun Tin | 18 200 | | |
| | 雙順 | Sheung Shun | 17 500 | | |
| | 安利 | On Lee | 18 200 | | |
| | 觀塘安泰 | Kwun Tong On Tai | 20 800 | | |
| | 秀茂坪北 | Sau Mau Ping North | 18 900 | | |
| | 秀茂坪中 | Sau Mau Ping Central | 19 600 | | |
| | 安達 | On Tat | 19 700 | | |
| | 秀茂坪南 | Sau Mau Ping South | 20 000 | | |
| | 寶達 | Po Tat | 20 200 | | |
| | 廣德 | Kwong Tak | 17 800 | | |
| | 興田 | Hing Tin | 16 800 | | |
| | 藍田 | Lam Tin | 20 400 | | |
| | 平田 | Ping Tin | 17 500 | | |
| | 栢雅 | Pak Nga | 13 000 | | |
| | 俊翔 | Chun Cheung | 17 100 | | |
| | 油塘東 | Yau Tong East | 15 700 | | |
| | 油翠 | Yau Chui | 17 900 | | |
| | 油麗 | Yau Lai | 16 000 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 油塘西 | Yau Tong West | 20 400 | | |
| | 麗港城 | Laguna City | 24 500 | | |
| | 景田 | King Tin | 20 600 | | |
| | 翠屏 | Tsui Ping | 18 400 | | |
| | 曉麗 | Hiu Lai | 17 400 | | |
| | 寶樂 | Po Lok | 13 800 | | |
| | 月華 | Yuet Wah | 13 300 | | |
| | 協康 | Hip Hong | 16 000 | | |
| | 樂華南 | Lok Wah South | 12 500 | | |
| | 樂華北 | Lok Wah North | 12 400 | | |
| | 康樂 | Hong Lok | 15 400 | | |
| | 定安 | Ting On | 16 600 | | |
| | 牛頭角上邨 | Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate | 15 000 | | |
| | 牛頭角下邨 | Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate | 17 500 | | |
| | 淘大 | To Tai | 17 000 | | |
| | | | 695 600 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| LC 4 | 新界西 New Territories West (包括荃灣、 屯門、元朗、 葵青及離島 五區) (Including the five administrative districts of Tsuen Wan, Tuen Mun, Yuen Long, Kwai Tsing and Islands) | 荃灣 德華 楊屋道 荃灣南 海濱 荃灣西 祈德尊 荃灣中心 愉景 福來 綠楊 馬灣 荃灣郊區 汀深 麗濤 荃威 象石 | Tsuen Wan Tak Wah Yeung Uk Road Tsuen Wan South Hoi Bun Tsuen Wan West Clague Garden Tsuen Wan Centre Discovery Park Fuk Loi Luk Yeung Ma Wan Tsuen Wan Rural Ting Sham Lai To Allway Cheung Shek | 2 174 700 | +11.90% |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | | 石圍角 | Shek Wai Kok | 12 600 | |
| | | 梨木樹西 | Lei Muk Shue West | 17 000 | |
| | | 梨木樹東 | Lei Muk Shue East | 17 300 | |
| | | | | 316 800 | |
| | | <u>屯門</u> | <u>Tuen Mun</u> | | |
| | | 屯門市中心 | Tuen Mun Town Centre | 20 600 | |
| | | 兆置 | Siu Chi | 19 700 | |
| | | 安定 | On Ting | 15 600 | |
| | | 兆翠 | Siu Tsui | 18 300 | |
| | | 友愛南 | Yau Oi South | 14 800 | |
| | | 友愛北 | Yau Oi North | 14 400 | |
| | | 翠興 | Tsui Hing | 17 900 | |
| | | 山景 | Shan King | 16 900 | |
| | | 景興 | King Hing | 15 200 | |
| | | 興澤 | Hing Tsak | 15 100 | |
| | | 新墟 | San Hui | 20 400 | |
| | | 掃管笏 | So Kwun Wat | 17 100 | |
| | | 三聖 | Sam Shing | 17 100 | |
| | | 恒福 | Hanford | 14 300 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 悅湖 | Yuet Wu | 13 500 | | |
| | 兆禧 | Siu Hei | 12 500 | | |
| | 湖景 | Wu King | 13 100 | | |
| | 蝴蝶 | Butterfly | 15 900 | | |
| | 富新 | Fu Sun | 18 300 | | |
| | 樂翠 | Lok Tsui | 14 500 | | |
| | 龍門 | Lung Mun | 17 000 | | |
| | 新景 | San King | 13 900 | | |
| | 良景 | Leung King | 12 700 | | |
| | 田景 | Tin King | 15 600 | | |
| | 寶田 | Po Tin | 15 000 | | |
| | 建生 | Kin Sang | 17 200 | | |
| | 兆康 | Siu Hong | 15 600 | | |
| | 欣田 | Yan Tin | 17 000 | | |
| | 屯門鄉郊 | Tuen Mun Rural | 20 800 | | |
| | 富泰 | Fu Tai | 18 900 | | |
| | 景峰 | Prime View | 19 900 | | |
| | | | 508 800 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 元朗 | <u>Yuen Long</u> | | | |
| | 豐年 | Fung Nin | 19 000 | | |
| | 元朗中心 | Yuen Long Centre | 15 600 | | |
| | 鳳翔 | Fung Cheung | 16 100 | | |
| | 元龍 | Yuen Lung | 13 800 | | |
| | 十八鄉中 | Shap Pat Heung Central | 20 900 | | |
| | 水邊 | Shui Pin | 18 600 | | |
| | 南屏 | Nam Ping | 14 700 | | |
| | 北朗 | Pek Long | 13 200 | | |
| | 元朗東頭 | Yuen Long Tung Tau | 13 700 | | |
| | 十八鄉北 | Shap Pat Heung North | 15 100 | | |
| | 十八鄉東 | Shap Pat Heung East | 13 700 | | |
| | 十八鄉西 | Shap Pat Heung West | 19 600 | | |
| | 屏山南 | Ping Shan South | 16 700 | | |
| | 洪福 | Hung Fuk | 12 600 | | |
| | 廈村 | Ha Tsuen | 15 700 | | |
| | 屏山中 | Ping Shan Central | 15 000 | | |
| | 盛欣 | Shing Yan | 14 300 | | |
| | 天盛 | Tin Shing | 12 900 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 天耀 | Tin Yiu | 12 600 | | |
| | 耀祐 | Yiu Yau | 13 700 | | |
| | 慈祐 | Tsz Yau | 14 400 | | |
| | 嘉湖南 | Kingswood South | 16 700 | | |
| | 瑞愛 | Shui Oi | 17 400 | | |
| | 瑞華 | Shui Wah | 14 600 | | |
| | 頌華 | Chung Wah | 16 800 | | |
| | 頌栢 | Chung Pak | 15 700 | | |
| | 嘉湖北 | Kingswood North | 21 900 | | |
| | 悅恩 | Yuet Yan | 18 400 | | |
| | 晴景 | Ching King | 18 900 | | |
| | 富恩 | Fu Yan | 19 700 | | |
| | 逸澤 | Yat Chak | 20 000 | | |
| | 天恒 | Tin Heng | 20 100 | | |
| | 宏逸 | Wang Yat | 18 200 | | |
| | 屏山北 | Ping Shan North | 14 500 | | |
| | 錦綉花園 | Fairview Park | 19 700 | | |
| | 新田 | San Tin | 19 800 | | |
| | 錦田 | Kam Tin | 21 700 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | | 八鄉北 | Pat Heung North | 13 600 | |
| | | 八鄉南 | Pat Heung South | 21 100 | |
| | | | | 650 700 | |
| | <u>葵青</u> | <u>Kwai Tsing</u> | | | |
| | 葵興 | Kwai Hing | | 13 200 | |
| | 葵聯 | Kwai Luen | | 13 400 | |
| | 葵盛東邨 | Kwai Shing East Estate | | 19 800 | |
| | 上大窩口 | Upper Tai Wo Hau | | 14 600 | |
| | 下大窩口 | Lower Tai Wo Hau | | 13 000 | |
| | 葵涌邨南 | Kwai Chung Estate South | | 21 500 | |
| | 葵涌邨北 | Kwai Chung Estate North | | 19 700 | |
| | 石蔭 | Shek Yam | | 19 600 | |
| | 大白田西 | Tai Pak Tin West | | 15 600 | |
| | 大白田東 | Tai Pak Tin East | | 16 200 | |
| | 安蔭 | On Yam | | 16 000 | |
| | 石籬北 | Shek Lei North | | 15 000 | |
| | 石籬南 | Shek Lei South | | 19 400 | |
| | 葵芳 | Kwai Fong | | 18 000 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 興芳 | Hing Fong | 14 800 | | |
| | 華麗 | Wah Lai | 16 300 | | |
| | 荔華 | Lai Wah | 15 500 | | |
| | 祖堯 | Cho Yiu | 15 300 | | |
| | 荔景 | Lai King | 13 600 | | |
| | 葵盛西邨 | Kwai Shing West Estate | 17 800 | | |
| | 安灝 | On Ho | 19 800 | | |
| | 偉盈 | Wai Ying | 19 400 | | |
| | 青衣邨 | Tsing Yi Estate | 15 500 | | |
| | 翠怡 | Greenfield | 18 100 | | |
| | 長青 | Cheung Ching | 19 400 | | |
| | 長康 | Cheung Hong | 14 100 | | |
| | 盛康 | Shing Hong | 14 600 | | |
| | 青衣南 | Tsing Yi South | 16 900 | | |
| | 長亨 | Cheung Hang | 13 200 | | |
| | 青發 | Ching Fat | 17 900 | | |
| | 長安 | Cheung On | 12 700 | | |
| | | | 509 900 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 離島 | <u>Islands</u> | | | |
| | 大嶼山 | Lantau | | 24 500 | |
| | 滿逸 | Mun Yat | | 23 900 | |
| | 逸東邨北 | Yat Tung Estate North | | 24 500 | |
| | 東涌南 | Tung Chung South | | 21 100 | |
| | 東涌中 | Tung Chung Central | | 20 900 | |
| | 東涌北 | Tung Chung North | | 19 100 | |
| | 愉景灣 | Discovery Bay | | 19 900 | |
| | 坪洲及喜靈洲 | Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau | | 6 400 | |
| | 南丫及蒲台 | Lamma & Po Toi | | 6 500 | |
| | 長洲 | Cheung Chau | | 21 700 | |
| | | | | 188 500 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| LC 5 | 新界東 New Territories East (包括北區、 大埔、沙田 及西貢四區) (Including the four administrative districts of North, Tai Po, Sha Tin and Sai Kung) | 北區 | North | 1 824 600 | -6.12% |
| | | 聯和墟 | Luen Wo Hui | 21 000 | |
| | | 粉嶺市 | Fanling Town | 15 200 | |
| | | 祥華 | Cheung Wah | 16 300 | |
| | | 華都 | Wah Do | 18 300 | |
| | | 華明 | Wah Ming | 16 400 | |
| | | 欣盛 | Yan Shing | 21 400 | |
| | | 粉嶺南 | Fanling South | 14 700 | |
| | | 盛福 | Shing Fuk | 14 600 | |
| | | 清河 | Ching Ho | 20 200 | |
| | | 御太 | Yu Tai | 19 700 | |
| | | 上水鄉郊 | Sheung Shui Rural | 21 300 | |
| | | 彩園 | Choi Yuen | 17 100 | |
| | | 石湖墟 | Shek Wu Hui | 23 200 | |
| | | 天平西 | Tin Ping West | 13 100 | |
| | | 鳳翠 | Fung Tsui | 18 700 | |
| | | 沙打 | Sha Ta | 18 400 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | | 天平東 | Tin Ping East | 17 100 | |
| | | 皇后山 | Queen's Hill | 18 400 | |
| | | | | 325 100 | |
| | 大埔 | <u>Tai Po</u> | | | |
| | 大埔墟 | Tai Po Hui | | 19 600 | |
| | 頌汀 | Chung Ting | | 14 700 | |
| | 大埔中 | Tai Po Central | | 13 700 | |
| | 大元 | Tai Yuen | | 13 600 | |
| | 富亨 | Fu Heng | | 15 300 | |
| | 怡富 | Yee Fu | | 14 900 | |
| | 富明新 | Fu Ming Sun | | 13 300 | |
| | 廣福及寶湖 | Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove | | 12 600 | |
| | 宏福 | Wang Fuk | | 23 400 | |
| | 大埔滘 | Tai Po Kau | | 18 000 | |
| | 運頭塘 | Wan Tau Tong | | 15 500 | |
| | 新富 | San Fu | | 15 500 | |
| | 林村谷 | Lam Tsuen Valley | | 17 900 | |
| | 寶雅 | Po Nga | | 17 800 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | | 太和 | Tai Wo | 13 500 | |
| | | 舊墟及太湖 | Old Market & Serenity | 17 400 | |
| | | 康樂園 | Hong Lok Yuen | 20 700 | |
| | | 船灣 | Shuen Wan | 20 800 | |
| | | 西貢北 | Sai Kung North | 14 100 | |
| | | | | 312 300 | |
| | | <u>沙田</u> | <u>Sha Tin</u> | | |
| | | 沙田市中心 | Sha Tin Town Centre | 18 800 | |
| | | 瀝源 | Lek Yuen | 15 700 | |
| | | 禾輦邨 | Wo Che Estate | 17 300 | |
| | | 第一城 | City One | 15 700 | |
| | | 愉城 | Yue Shing | 15 300 | |
| | | 王屋 | Wong Uk | 17 000 | |
| | | 沙角 | Sha Kok | 15 900 | |
| | | 博康 | Pok Hong | 15 900 | |
| | | 水泉澳 | Shui Chuen O | 20 700 | |
| | | 乙泉 | Jat Chuen | 19 700 | |
| | | 秦豐 | Chun Fung | 15 500 | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 新田圍 | Sun Tin Wai | 15 900 | | |
| | 翠田 | Chui Tin | 14 800 | | |
| | 顯嘉 | Hin Ka | 13 200 | | |
| | 下城門 | Lower Shing Mun | 19 700 | | |
| | 雲城 | Wan Shing | 19 800 | | |
| | 徑口 | Keng Hau | 19 400 | | |
| | 田心 | Tin Sum | 14 000 | | |
| | 翠嘉 | Chui Ka | 18 200 | | |
| | 大圍 | Tai Wai | 20 200 | | |
| | 松田 | Chung Tin | 15 000 | | |
| | 穗禾 | Sui Wo | 25 200 | | |
| | 火炭 | Fo Tan | 20 900 | | |
| | 駿馬 | Chun Ma | 13 600 | | |
| | 海嵐 | Hoi Nam | 12 700 | | |
| | 頌安 | Chung On | 16 200 | | |
| | 錦濤 | Kam To | 19 700 | | |
| | 馬鞍山市中心 | Ma On Shan Town Centre | 17 300 | | |
| | 烏溪沙 | Wu Kai Sha | 23 000 | | |
| | 利安 | Lee On | 16 100 | | |
| | 富龍 | Fu Lung | 17 400 | | |
| | 錦英 | Kam Ying | 16 800 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 耀安 | Yiu On | 17 600 | | |
| | 恆安 | Heng On | 19 800 | | |
| | 大水坑 | Tai Shui Hang | 18 900 | | |
| | 鞍泰 | On Tai | 14 300 | | |
| | 愉欣 | Yu Yan | 18 800 | | |
| | 帝怡 | Di Yee | 17 300 | | |
| | 碧湖 | Bik Woo | 16 300 | | |
| | 廣康 | Kwong Hong | 13 100 | | |
| | 廣源 | Kwong Yuen | 13 300 | | |
| | | | 706 000 | | |
| | 西貢 | <u>Sai Kung</u> | | | |
| | 西貢市中心 | Sai Kung Central | 11 200 | | |
| | 白沙灣 | Pak Sha Wan | 15 700 | | |
| | 西貢離島 | Sai Kung Islands | 12 900 | | |
| | 坑口東 | Hang Hau East | 13 800 | | |
| | 坑口西 | Hang Hau West | 19 200 | | |
| | 彩健 | Choi Kin | 19 400 | | |
| | 健明 | Kin Ming | 16 000 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 <u>DCCA</u> | 地方選區 <u>GC</u> | |
| | 都善 | Do Shin | 14 900 | | |
| | 維景 | Wai King | 14 500 | | |
| | 海晉 | Hoi Chun | 21 200 | | |
| | 寶怡 | Po Yee | 15 300 | | |
| | 富君 | Fu Kwan | 19 000 | | |
| | 澳唐 | O Tong | 17 400 | | |
| | 尚德 | Sheung Tak | 18 700 | | |
| | 廣明 | Kwong Ming | 17 800 | | |
| | 康景 | Hong King | 12 700 | | |
| | 翠林 | Tsui Lam | 14 800 | | |
| | 寶林 | Po Lam | 15 400 | | |
| | 欣英 | Yan Ying | 18 200 | | |
| | 慧茵 | Wai Yan | 13 600 | | |
| | 運亨 | Wan Hang | 14 100 | | |
| | 景林 | King Lam | 17 100 | | |
| | 厚德 | Hau Tak | 17 500 | | |
| | 富藍 | Fu Nam | 16 900 | | |
| | 德明 | Tak Ming | 18 700 | | |
| | 南安 | Nam On | 18 200 | | |
| | 軍寶 | Kwan Po | 13 700 | | |

暫定地方選區
Proposed Geographical Constituencies

| 暫定 地方選區代號 Proposed code of GC | 暫定地方選區名稱 Proposed name of GC | 所包括的 區議會選區 DCCAs included | 預計人口 (截至二零二零年 六月三十日) Projected population (as at 30 June 2020) | | 所得數目 偏離百分比 % of deviation from resulting number |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 區議會 選區 DCCA | 地方選區 GC | |
| | 環保北 | Wan Po North | 18 800 | | |
| | 環保南 | Wan Po South | 24 500 | | |
| | | | 481 200 | | |

Summary of Written/Oral Representations

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| Number of Geographical Constituencies (“GCs”) | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | - | Supports the Electoral Affairs Commission’s (“EAC”) provisional recommendations on maintaining the existing boundaries of the 5 GCs. | The supporting view is noted. |
| 2 | 4 | - | <p>(a) Propose to add a GC named “New Territories North” in the New Territories. Reasons provided by each representation are summarised as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the seats for New Territories West (“NTW”) GC is perennially insufficient. The percentage deviation of the population from the resulting number for NTW GC has long been high, and it reaches as high as +11.90% under the present delineation. The current mechanism is unfair to the electors concerned; ● New Territories East (“NTE”) and NTW GCs are too large in area. The Legislative Council (“LegCo”) candidates or Members of the GCs | <p><u>Items (a) to (d)</u> These proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the requirement of the Legislative Council Ordinance (Chapter 542) (“LCO”), there are to be 5 GCs for the purpose of returning Members for the 2020 LegCo General Election. This requirement is a statutory pre-condition for the EAC, as to which the EAC must strictly adhere and has no authority to make any variation. Under the circumstance that there is no increase in the number of GCs under the law, the EAC cannot add 1 GC to the New Territories;</p> <p>(ii) regarding the delineation of GC boundaries for the 2020 LegCo General Election, in accordance with the calculation based</p> |

* W: Number of written representations.

O: Number of oral representations.

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>concerned need to spend much time on reaching out to different places in the GCs due to their large size. It is also difficult for them to focus on their services, and let electors get to know them;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the northernmost parts of Hong Kong (such as Fanling, Sheung Shui, Lok Ma Chau and San Tin, etc.) are currently delineated into either NTE or NTW GC. The names and coverage of the GCs are inconsistent with the actual geographical situation; it is not reasonable for both the New Territories and Kowloon to have 2 GCs as the former is much larger in area than the latter; and it is considered more appropriate to add a new GC than to transfer administrative districts in existing GCs because the transfer of one of the administrative districts (such as the Kwai Tsing, Tsuen Wan, Islands or Yuen Long Districts) from NTW GC to another GC cannot | <p>on the latest projected population figures, the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number of the existing 5 GCs are all within the 15% permissible range stipulated under section 20(1)(b) of the Electoral Affairs Commission Ordinance (Chapter 541) (“EACO”). According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not necessary; and</p> <p>(iii) the delineation recommendations must be based on the statutory requirements and objective data of projected population. The daily operation of LegCo Members in the GCs is not a relevant factor of consideration.</p> <p>As the proposals made in the representations involve amendment to the LCO, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant views to the Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau (“CMAB”) for consideration.</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>reflect the local livelihood and social characteristics of the above administrative districts accurately.</p> | |
| | | | <p>(b) One of the representations proposes to transfer the Tuen Mun and Yuen Long Districts of NTW GC and the North and Tai Po Districts of NTE GC to the new GC named "New Territories North" so as to better reflect the local livelihood and social characteristics of the above administrative districts.</p> | |
| | | | <p>(c) One of the representations proposes to transfer the Yuen Long District of NTW GC and the North and Tai Po Districts (except the Sai Kung North Constituency) of NTE GC to the new GC named "New Territories North". Besides, it proposes to transfer District Council Constituency Area ("DCCA") of the Islands District (the Lamma & Po Toi Constituency) from NTW GC to Hong Kong Island ("HKI") GC while the Sai Kung North Constituency which currently belongs to the Tai Po District should</p> | |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>remain in NTE GC. The above proposal will lead to a more balanced distribution of population and seats among the new GC of "New Territories North" and the other 5 GCs, and a more logical GC delineation.</p> <p>(d) One of the representations proposes to transfer the Yuen Long District of NTW GC and the North District of NTE GC to the new GC named "New Territories North".</p> | |
| 3 | 1 | - | <p>(a) Since the size of HKI is larger than that of Kowloon, proposes to delineate HKI into 3 GCs by the name of, for instance, "Hong Kong Island East", "Hong Kong Island West" and "Hong Kong Island South".</p> <p>(b) Proposes that the Islands District should form an individual GC. Reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● the area of NTW GC is too large; ● the Islands District is very different from other administrative districts of NTW GC in terms of living environment, facilities, | <p><u>Items (a) and (b)</u> These proposals are not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the requirement of the LCO, there are to be 5 GCs for the purpose of returning Members for the 2020 LegCo General Election. This requirement is a statutory pre-condition for the EAC, as to which the EAC must strictly adhere and has no authority to make any variation. Under the circumstance that there is no addition to the number of GCs under the law, the EAC cannot add 2 GCs to HKI or 1 GC to the Islands District; and</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>transport and background of the population. Besides, some LegCo Members of NTW GC are not living in the Islands District and do not have a good understanding of the needs of the residents in the Islands District. They are therefore not qualified to represent the electors of the Islands District; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Islands District has fewer residents than other places in NTW GC. The LegCo Members concerned will not spend much time in taking care of the needs of the residents in the Islands District. | <p>(ii) please see views (ii) and (iii) under item 2.</p> <p>As the proposals made in the representation involve amendment to the LCO, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC, the EAC has referred the relevant views to the CMAB for consideration.</p> |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | <p>Propose that the whole territory of Hong Kong should be designated as one single GC for returning Members for all the 35 GC seats. One of the representations provides the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the area of Hong Kong is not large. Electors should choose all the LegCo Members whom are deemed suitable; and LegCo Members should represent all members of the | <p>The proposal made in the representations is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration.</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | public in Hong Kong, and should not be elected by electors of individual GCs. | |
| 5 | - | 2 | <p>(a) The representations consider that NTW GC covers a large area, and its percentage deviation of the population from the resulting number is high and near +12%. In the anticipation that the population of NTW GC will increase further, they propose that the Government should rectify the problem of insufficient seats for NTW GC as soon as possible. The review should commence upon the conclusion of the 2020 LegCo General Election so that the relevant work can be completed by 2020 or 2021, with a view to facilitating those who have intention to stand at the election to plan in advance the relevant preparatory work.</p> <p>(b) One of the representations proposes an increase in the number of GCs to solve the problem of insufficient seats for NTW GC, and considers that a delay in the review on whether or not to increase the number of GCs until 2022 or 2023 is infeasible.</p> | <p><u>Items (a) and (b)</u> The proposals made in the representations are related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the relevant views to the CMAB for consideration.</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| Number of seats | | | | |
| 6 | 2 | - | Support the EAC's provisional recommendations on maintaining the number of seats allocated to each GC unchanged. | The supporting views are noted. |
| 7 | 1 | - | (a) Agrees in principle with the EAC's provisional recommendations as it is understood that the EAC is required to comply with various statutory provisions and criteria in conducting the delineation exercise, and hence, there is very limited room for adjustment. The representation also agrees with the current calculation method for allocating seats to each GC and the rationale behind (i.e. the "principle of equal representation" which enables the number of people represented by each seat of the LegCo GCs be roughly the same on average). | <u>Item (a)</u> The view is noted. |
| | | | (b) The EAC can only allocate 9 seats to NTW GC in view of the statutory upper limit of seats even though it should be entitled to 10 seats. This reflects the failure of the existing legislation in keeping up with the actual situation and hence imposed restrictions on the EAC's | <u>Item (b)</u> The proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration. |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | work in delineating GC boundaries. Such situation is considered undesirable. Proposes to revise the LCO to increase the upper limit of seats for each GC to 10 in the next LegCo general election. | |
| 8 | - | 1 | Proposes that the Government should revise the existing requirement of returning no more than 9 Members for each GC, with a view to allowing 10 seats be allocated to NTW GC. | The proposal made in the representation is related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration. |
| 9 | 1 | - | <p>Proposes to add 10 seats to the LegCo bringing the total number of seats to 80, and to create a new GC named "Islands" and two new functional constituencies ("FCs"), namely Sports FC and Landscape FC. The allocation of the newly-added seats is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● "Islands" GC: 3 seats; ● Sports FC: 1 seat; ● Landscape FC: 1 seat; ● NTW GC: 1 seat; ● Kowloon East ("KE") GC: 1 seat; ● District Council ("DC") (First) FC: 2 seats; and | The proposal made in the representation is related to Annex II to the Basic Law and provisions of the primary legislation, which do not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration. |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DC (Second) FC: 1 seat. | |
| Working principles | | | | |
| 10 | 1 | - | <p>Although understands that the EAC has no authority to revise the statutory criteria for delineating GC boundaries, hopes that the EAC or the Government will look into the following issues in details in future for long term planning:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • although it is understood that one of the EAC's established working principles is to treat HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories separately, given the rapid urban development, the boundaries of many areas have become increasingly unclear, and the delineation in the early years has failed to keep up with the changing need of the community. For instance, Stonecutters Island, once a small island, has been connected to the nearby land after reclamation works in recent years. Due to the constrains of the delineation of administrative districts in the early years, Stonecutters Island currently spans over the Sham Shui Po and Kwai Tsing Districts, but not entirely belongs to the nearest Sham Shui Po District. This greatly increases the cost of district | <p>(i) While the EAC agrees that on-going development in community infrastructure and transport network may increase connections of HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories, in particular their bordering areas, the EAC also notes that the boundaries and names of the existing GCs were drawn up according to the relevant working principle in the first LegCo general election in 1998 and have been used since then. Members of the public are already used to the conventional division of HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories as three distinct components. In this connection, the EAC considers that HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories should be treated separately as far as possible having regard to the statutory criteria under the EACO (in particular the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number of the GCs are all within the 15% statutory permissible range); and</p> <p>(ii) the proposal made in the representation to put the</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>administration in the Kwai Tsing District; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the Kwai Tsing District should be transferred to a GC in Kowloon. Many electors of the Kwai Tsing District have regarded Kwai Chung as an extension of Kowloon West (“KW”) GC. Most residents would not consider that there is an obvious difference between the surrounding area of the Kwai Tsing District and Kowloon. Therefore, geographically speaking, there would be no big problem to transfer the Kwai Tsing District to a GC in Kowloon. | <p>entire Stonecutters Island into the Sham Shui Po District involves changing of boundaries of administrative districts, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.</p> |
| 11 | - | 1 | <p>Considers that treating HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories separately is only a working principle of the EAC but not a statutory requirement. In view of the growing population of NTW and KE GCs, proposes to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> transfer part of the Islands District (with the population) to HKI GC; and transfer those parts of KE GC which is geographically close to KW GC (with the population) to KW GC. | <p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) according to the EACO, the delineation exercise must be conducted on the basis of the projected populations of individual GCs in the year in which the election is to be held. Regarding the delineation of GC boundaries for the 2020 LegCo General Election, in accordance with the calculation based on the latest projected population figures, the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | | <p>of the existing 5 GCs are all within the 15% permissible range stipulated under section 20(1)(b) of the EACO. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not necessary; and</p> <p>(ii) please see view (i) under item 10.</p> |
| 12 | - | 1 | <p>Objects to the working principle of treating HKI, Kowloon and the New Territories separately due to the continuous decrease in HKI's population and the continuous population growth in the New Territories. Under such circumstances, some areas in the New Territories should be transferred to HKI GC, rather than creating the new "New Territories North" GC.</p> | <p>This proposal is not accepted because:</p> <p>(i) regarding the delineation of GC boundaries for the 2020 LegCo General Election, in accordance with the calculation based on the latest projected population figures, the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number of the existing 5 GCs are all within the 15% permissible range stipulated under section 20(1)(b) of the EACO. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries is not necessary; and</p> <p>(ii) please see view (i) under item 10.</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| 13 | - | 1 | Considers that apart from the projected population, the EAC should also take the community integrity, in particular the transport network into account when conducting the delineation exercise. | The view is noted. The EAC conducts the delineation exercise in accordance with all relevant statutory provisions and criteria as well as established working principles, in which the EACO stipulates that apart from taking into account the projected population, the EAC shall also have regard to other statutory factors, including community identities, preservation of local ties and physical features (such as size, shape, accessibility and development) of the relevant area. Therefore, under the EAC's delineation recommendations, the GCs are all along composed of entire administrative districts and there would be no splitting of DCCAs in an administrative district. |
| Others | | | | |
| (I) Legislative amendments | | | | |
| 14 | 1 | 2 | <p>The representations raise views on the statutory permissible range of deviation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> one of the representations states that the percentage deviation of the population from the resulting number is near +12% for NTW GC and near -6% for NTE GC. The two GCs differ in population by about 350 000 but are both allocated with 9 seats. It is doubtful whether the | The views made in the representations are related to the enactment of the primary legislation, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the relevant views to the CMAB for consideration. |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>existing 15% permissible range of deviation is still appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • one of the representations considers that the existing 15% permissible range of deviation has provided adequate flexibility for the delineation exercise. The Government should not relax this restriction easily. • one of the representations considers the existing statutory permissible range of the percentage deviation of the population from the resulting number of 15% is appropriate. Nevertheless, as a result of the Government's development, the population in some areas of the New Territories (such as Kwu Tung North and Fanling North, etc.) will increase in the long run. In view of this, the Government should be forward-looking and review the legislation relating to the delineation so as to tie in with the latest demographic situation in individual GCs. | |
| 15 | 1 | - | <p>Requests the EAC to urge the Government to revise the LCO and repeal the largest remainders formula of "Hare quota" adopted for allocation of the elected GC seats and DC (Second) FC seats. Instead,</p> | <p>The proposal made in the representation is related to the electoral system, involving provisions of the LCO, which does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | the “d’Hondt method” or the “Droop quota” should be adopted to avoid the significant wastage of votes cast and minimise the fragmentation of the LegCo caused by multiple minority parties. | CMAB for consideration. |
| 16 | - | 1 | <p>(a) Considers that the Lok Ma Chau Loop is part of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. However, the Lok Ma Chau Loop is not included in the area of NTW or NTE GC as shown in the maps of the EAC’s provisional recommendations on GC boundaries.</p> <p>(b) Enquires about the responsible party for delineating the boundaries of administrative districts and whether the EAC will include the Lok Ma Chau</p> | <p><u>Item (a)</u> Regarding the boundaries and names of GCs for the 2020 LegCo General Election, the EAC must follow sections 18 and 19 of the LCO, and the statutory criteria stipulated under section 20 of the EACO in drawing up the recommendations. Amongst which, section 20 of the EACO stipulates that the EAC shall have regard to the existing boundaries of the administrative districts and existing boundaries of GCs. Since the Lok Ma Chau Loop does not fall within the areas of the existing boundaries of the administrative districts as specified under the District Councils Ordinance (Chapter 547) (“DCO”), the Lok Ma Chau Loop is not included in the provisional recommendations.</p> <p><u>Item (b)</u> The statutory functions of the EAC include considering or reviewing the boundaries of LegCo GCs, but not delineating the areas of administrative</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | Loop in any of the GCs if there is a change to the boundaries of the administrative districts in the 2023 DC Ordinary Election. | districts, which is under the purview of the Government. In drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC must, in accordance with the requirements of the EACO, give regard to the existing boundaries of administrative districts. The EAC will continue to adhere to the relevant legislation in future delineation exercises. |
| 17 | - | 1 | Suggests that the Government should revise the electoral systems and fully implement direct GC elections in future LegCo elections. | The suggestion made in the representation is related to Annex II to the Basic Law and provisions of the primary legislation, which do not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred the relevant view to the CMAB for consideration. |
| 18 | - | 1 | Proposes to delineate a new administrative district at the Tseung Kwan O New Town of the Sai Kung District in the delineation exercises for future DC ordinary elections. In addition, proposes to transfer Ma On Shan from the Sha Tin District to the Sai Kung District to reflect the existing community environment and flow of residents. | The proposal made in the representation involves changing of the number and boundaries of administrative districts, which do not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration. |
| <i>(II) Adjustment of GC boundaries</i> | | | | |
| 19 | 1 | - | Proposes to transfer islands, other than Lantau Island or islands to the east of Lantau Island of the Islands District | This proposal is not accepted because: |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>from NTW GC to HKI GC, and put the aforementioned islands in the Central & Western DC. Reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the percentage deviations of the population for both NTW and HKI GCs will be smaller under this proposal than that in the provisional recommendations; • the islands proposed to be transferred are isolated from NTW GC geographically and have no actual ties with the GC. In contrast, they are closely connected with HKI, especially in terms of transport; • there are precedents for transferring part of an administrative district to another administrative district. Taking the delineation of constituency boundaries of DC ordinary elections as an example, the EAC would also split or merge DCCAs in accordance with changes in population and transfer DC seat from one administrative district to another one; and • the population structure of those islands is relatively stable. If they are transferred to HKI GC, where the planning is | <p>(i) regarding the delineation of GC boundaries for the 2020 LegCo General Election, in accordance with the calculation based on the latest projected population figures, the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number of the existing 5 GCs are all within the 15% permissible range stipulated under section 20(1)(b) of the EACO. According to the established working principles, adjustment to their existing boundaries will not be necessary;</p> <p>(ii) the boundaries of the existing 5 GCs have come into existence since the first LegCo general election in 1998. These GCs are all along composed of entire administrative districts. This is also in line with the EAC's established working principles (in particular the percentage deviations of the projected population from the resulting number of the GCs are all within the 15% statutory permissible range);</p> <p>(iii) regarding the constituency boundaries for DC ordinary elections, in</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>mature, further adjustment to GC boundaries due to changes in population distribution in the future can be avoided.</p> | <p>drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC must follow the number of elected seats stipulated for each DC under the DCO and delineate the same number of DCCAs within the existing boundaries of the administrative districts. The EAC has no power to increase or reduce the number of DCCAs in any administrative districts. Similarly, the EAC may not transfer the new seats and DCCAs approved for a certain administrative district to another administrative district;</p> <p>(iv) all along, for LegCo general elections, the latest projected population figures as at 30 June of the election year are adopted for the delineation exercise in accordance with the established practice. Developments thereafter will not be taken into consideration; and</p> <p>(v) changing of boundaries of administrative districts does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration.</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| 20 | 1 | - | <p>Proposes to transfer Tseung Kwan O from NTE GC to KE GC. Reasons are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tseung Kwan O is similar to Kowloon in terms of development. In geographical location, it is also closer to Yau Tong and Lam Tin of KE GC; and • Tseung Kwan O is under the Kowloon East Police Region. | <p>This proposal is not accepted. Please see views (i) and (ii) under item 19.</p> |
| 21 | - | 1 | <p>In view of the growing population in NTW GC, proposes to merge KE and KW GCs into 1 GC so as to free up a GC for creation in the New Territories.</p> | <p>This proposal is not accepted. Please see view (i) under item 19.</p> |
| <i>(III) Matters not relating to the present consultation</i> | | | | |
| 22 | - | 1 | <p>Raises the following views regarding the GC boundaries for the 2024 LegCo General Election:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • objects to grouping the North, Yuen Long and Tuen Mun Districts to form a new GC named “New Territories North”. This is because the surrounding areas of the Sha Tin District or Kowloon, rather than the Yuen Long or Tuen Mun Districts, are the main areas of activity for residents of the North District; | <p>(i) In drawing up the provisional recommendations, the EAC must strictly adhere to the statutory provisions under sections 18 and 19 of the LCO, the statutory criteria under section 20 of the EACO and the established working principles. The delineation should be made on the basis of the projected populations. The EAC will continue to adhere to the relevant legislation in future delineation exercises; and</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in view of the growing population in NTW GC, proposes to transfer Tsing Chau Tsai Peninsula and Disneyland or Ma Wan from the Tsuen Wan District to the Islands District in the delineation exercise for the 2023 DC Ordinary Election. Afterwards, to transfer the Islands District from NTW GC to HKI GC in the delineation exercise for the 2024 LegCo General Election; and • proposes to transfer the Sai Kung District from NTE GC to HKI GC if the population continues to drop in HKI GC but grow in Tseung Kwan O New Town of the Sai Kung District. | (ii) changing of boundaries of administrative districts does not fall under the purview of the EAC. The EAC has referred this view to the Government for consideration. |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | <p>(a) Proposes to put Tak Bo Garden, Wang Kwong Building, Lee Kee Building and Amoy Gardens of the Kwun Tong District into the same DCCA.</p> <p>(b) Worries that the present public consultation on the delineation of GCs may have an impact on the 2019 DC Ordinary Election, and considers that the EAC has only taken into account population figures but not</p> | <p><u>Items (a) and (b)</u> The present public consultation concerns the provisional recommendations on the boundaries and names of GCs for the 2020 LegCo General Election drawn up by the EAC for submission of the final recommendations to the Chief Executive in accordance with the requirements of the EACO. The delineation of constituency boundaries for DC ordinary elections is outside the scope of the present consultation.</p> |

| <i>Item No.</i> | <i>No.*</i> | | <i>Representations</i> | <i>EAC's Views</i> |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | <i>W</i> | <i>O</i> | | |
| | | | <p>other factors such as geographical and environmental issues when delineating the DCCAs. Taking On Tat Estate in Kwun Tong as an example, two of its 11 blocks (i.e. Oi Tat House and Shing Tat House) are delineated in the Sau Mau Ping Central Constituency, while the remaining nine blocks are delineated in the Po Tat Constituency. This makes it difficult for candidates representing On Tat Estate to be elected in future elections.</p> | |